Welcome students to this class.

Below are some introductory notes - not necessarily to be read to the class.

Crime prevention is a broad topic that encompasses a great many programs and initiatives. A great diversity of crimes can be the target of crime prevention and different approaches to crime prevention target different factors contributing to crime. Consequently, a diversity of actors will frequently be engaged in efforts to prevent crime. This can range from international agencies such as the United Nations Office of Drugs and Crime, to national crime prevention bodies, down to local actors. Government, non-government, civil society, voluntary, activist, private sector and individual citizens can and do engage in crime prevention activities.

Preventing crime produces many positive outcomes. The prevention or non-occurrence of some future criminal incident reduces the human costs for victims of crime. Lives can be saved, physical harm can be prevented, and financial lost can be minimised. For this reason, crime prevention is very attractive to many governments and other groups, and it is the reason why crime prevention has become an important area of policy and practice.

However, crime prevention is not without problems. Governments and private entities can, in the name of crime prevention, seek to control and monitor
citizens; crime prevention can become a commodity and sold to those who can afford it, leaving those who cannot even more insecure; efforts to prevent crime can stigmatise people and communities; and victims can be blamed for contributing to their own victimisation. Therefore, it is always important to consider the negative unintended consequences of efforts to prevent crime.

It is important to acknowledge that there are significant legal, cultural and political differences across regional and local contexts that impact on how crime prevention is considered and practiced. Even the term ‘crime prevention’ will be used differently, if at all, across regions of the world. The body of knowledge about crime prevention often comes from a small number of countries and regions, which is highly problematic. Particular approaches might work well in one context but have negative impacts in another.
Introduction to Crime Prevention

• Learning outcomes:
  1. Understand the definition of crime prevention used by the United Nations
  2. Distinguish between key terms used in crime prevention and community safety contexts
  3. Describe different crime prevention typologies
  4. Apply different crime problem-solving approaches to common crime problems
  5. Critically analyse ‘what works’ in crime prevention (including what constitutes evidence and the transferability of this evidence) and identify relevant clearinghouses of such information

Discuss the learning outcomes and mention that these outcomes guide the lecture/presentation.
Exercise: What is crime prevention?

- A home visit by an early health nurse to all new parents is provided to check on how they are managing the demands of parenthood. Is this a crime prevention measure?
- A landscape architect makes a number of recommendations in relation to the development of a new housing estate. Some of these recommendations include the planting of low growing vegetation at the front of all homes and the installation of a garden bed along the front of the fences. Is this a crime prevention measure?
- The diagrams below show an anti-theft device that fits beneath tables in bars. Is this a crime prevention measure?


- Machine-readable microchips will be implanted under the skin of thousands of offenders as part of an expansion of an electronic tagging scheme. Tiny chips would be surgically inserted under the skin of offenders in the community, to help enforce home curfews. The radio frequency identification (RFID) tags, as long as two grains of rice, are able to carry scanable personal information about individuals, including their identities, address and offending record. Is this a crime prevention measure?
- Mandatory drug testing has been introduced in some workplaces, especially where the performance of intricate physical tasks is required. Is this a crime prevention measure?
- The work of security personnel responsible for the management of cash-in-transit (i.e. large collection and distribution of cash to businesses) is governed by work safety guidelines. These guidelines seek to protect security personnel involved in cash-in-transit activities. Is this a crime prevention measure?

This brief exercise is designed to encourage students to consider the breadth of possible crime prevention measures and techniques. Below are a number of scenarios that can be read to the class. After each scenario ask the students if they would consider this to be a form of crime prevention. Beyond being useful in discussing what might/might not be considered crime prevention, this exercise also introduces the importance of considering the ethical and moral dimensions of crime prevention - at what price might we pursue the prevention of crime? It is designed to provoke discussion and debate.

Possible answers/discussion points:
- At least in some respects all of these examples could be considered to be forms of crime prevention. This demonstrates the breadth of what might be considered to be crime prevention and some of the ethical and moral considerations arising from efforts to prevent crime. Some discussion points that might arise from this exercise include:
  - Technology is increasingly contributing to the prevention of crime. For example, very successful crime prevention measures have been applied to motor vehicles to make it harder to steal them. However, the use of technology to prevent crime is not without problems. Inserting microchips in known offenders might technologically possible but raises numerous ethical and moral issues. What lengths we should go to prevent crime and the potential unintended consequences of crime prevention...
measures should always be considered.

- Measuring the impact of the diverse techniques employed to prevent crime is difficult. For example, an early intervention program involving home visits to new parents might well help families but it can be difficult demonstrating the crime prevention benefits that accrue many years after the intervention.
Definition

The Prevention of Crime comprises:

“strategies and measures that seek to reduce the risk of crimes occurring, and their potential harmful effects on individuals and society, including fear of crime, by intervening to influence their multiple causes” (https://www.unodc.org/pdf/criminal_justice/Handbook_on_Crime_Prevention_Guidelines_-_Making_them_work.pdf)

Invite the students to reflect on the definition. Ask them to consider and discuss what might be some common crime types/problems that we might seek to prevent. Consider and discuss what might be the multiple causes of crime. Consider and discuss which agencies might be involved.

Possible answers/discussion points:

• Common crime types/problems - each jurisdiction will define what is classified as a crime. Most criminal law statutes or laws will cover many thousands of offences ranging from the minor (such as not paying to ride on public transport or consuming alcohol in a public place) to the major (such as murder, sexual assault, drug trafficking). This means that decisions often need to be made about which crimes we might seek to prevent, which can result in focus and resources perhaps unfairly or inappropriately going to particular crime types.

• The causes of crime might vary depending upon the offence, the perpetrator and the context. Some crimes might be motivated by need, such as theft of food; others might be motivated by greed, such as fraud to acquire greater wealth and assets; others might be politically motivated, such as anti-government graffiti. It is not possible in this Module to explore all causes of crime. This exercise is merely designed to trigger discussion of the diverse, and often inter-connected, causes of crime.
Invite students to define these terms. This can be done in small groups or as a larger group.

Note that the terms might need to be modified to reflect regional and/or local preferences in terminology.
Terminology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Safety</td>
<td>“Community safety is realized through an integrated consideration of diverse harms to the public, and ‘refers to the likely absence of harms from all sources, not just from human acts classifiable as crimes’ (Wiles and Pease, 2000). Community safety also provides a strategic viewpoint on community harms by focusing attention towards the development of programmes that set targets to manage risks and aims to maximise public safety” (2005:17-18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime Prevention</td>
<td>Crime prevention involves any activity by an individual or group, public or private, which attempts to eliminate crime prior to it occurring or before any additional activity results. By drawing on the public health model, some theorists have distinguished between primary crime prevention (universal), secondary crime prevention (at-risk) and tertiary crime prevention (known offenders).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime Reduction</td>
<td>“Crime reduction is concerned with diminishing the number of criminal events and the consequences of crime. Crime reduction is applied within the bandwidth of an available resource input (e.g. financial input) and needs to be considered as an action that brings net benefits, fear of crime and the impact of other programmes that may have contributed to any specific crime reduction activity. Crime reduction promotes a spirit of optimism that actions towards a problem will reduce crime or reduce the seriousness of criminal events ... it aims to intervene directly in the events and their causes” (2005: 19).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime Control</td>
<td>“Crime control considers that crime has already happened and that some management of these criminal activities is required to ensure that it does not spiral out of control. It points to the need for maintenance of a problem, one where crime is kept to a tolerable level, and not to a situation where crime can be prevented” (2005: 18-19).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Discuss the answers. Possible discussion points:

- Consider the different timeframes, focus and agencies responsible invoked by these terms. Crime control is often considered narrower and more immediate than crime prevention or community safety. Police are generally seen to be responsible for crime control measures, whereas community safety and crime prevention can be the domain of non-criminal justice agencies such as education, health, housing, child protection, family support, urban planning, voluntary, civil society, private companies and local government agencies.

- Community safety is broader than just focusing on crime. It is recognised that many communities experience harms that are more important or devastating than crime. Focusing on merely preventing crime might be unhelpful in circumstances where communities face great hardship, loss of life and ill-health on a daily basis. Discussing this can place crime and the associated harms in a wider context.
Models of Prevention

“Primary crime prevention identifies conditions of the physical and social environment that provide opportunities for or precipitate criminal acts. Here the objective of intervention is to alter those conditions so that crimes cannot occur. Secondary crime prevention engages in early identification of potential offenders and seeks to intervene in their lives in such a way that they never commit criminal violation. Tertiary crime prevention deals with actual offenders and involves intervention in their lives in such a fashion that they will not commit further offenses” (Brantingham, P.J. and Faust, F.L. (1976) ‘A conceptual model of crime prevention’, Crime and Delinquency, vol. 22, no. 3: 290).

Ask students to identify possible primary, secondary and tertiary forms of crime prevention.

Some possible answers/discussions points (drawing from Brantingham and Faust, 1976):

• ‘Primary crime prevention identifies conditions of the physical and social environment that provide opportunities for or precipitate criminal acts. Here the objective of intervention is to alter those conditions so that crimes cannot occur’. This covers a myriad of approaches to crime prevention which are truly preventative - they work before a crime has been committed. Designing out crime by making a new product inherently secure or providing parenting skills or after-school programs in disadvantaged areas/communities can prevent crime.

• ‘Secondary crime prevention engages in early identification of potential offenders and seeks to intervene in their lives in such a way that they never commit criminal violation’. This form of prevention is often directed at ‘at-risk’ young people. Young people who are showing signs of potential involvement in crime might be considered ‘at-risk’. Young people not actively engaged in school (where it is provided), who associate with known offenders, and who use alcohol and other drugs, might be considered to be at-risk of involvement in crime. Providing programs to help these young people before they become entrenched in offending would be considered to be a form of secondary crime prevention.
• ‘Tertiary crime prevention deals with actual offenders and involves intervention in their lives in such a fashion that they will not commit further offenses’. This form of prevention is generally linked to criminal justice agencies, particularly courts, prisons and community correctional agencies. Rehabilitation programs offered by these agencies that seek to prevent further offending would be considered to be forms of tertiary crime prevention.

Briefly introduce this typology.
Discuss these different approaches to crime prevention.

This could be done as an exercise. The table could be copied and key text removed. Students could then be invited to define the forms of crime prevention and to identify examples. This could be done individually, in pairs, small groups or as a larger group.

Mention that each model will now be dealt with in a little detail.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Developmental</td>
<td>Often known as early intervention, developmental crime prevention seeks to address the early causes of criminality. Reducing community and individual risk factors and increasing protective factors, help to prevent crime later in life.</td>
<td>The most celebrated examples of developmental crime prevention include parenting programs, school enrichment initiatives like skills training, pre-school regimes and improvements in transition to school arrangements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community / Social</td>
<td>Strengthening neighbourhoods helps prevent crime. Local communities that have strong bonds and where people know each other are generally less prone to experience crime. Enhancing ‘social capital’ or the relationships between people can be beneficial in protecting people from crime.</td>
<td>Community building activities, provision of welfare services and increasing community support groups all help to enhance the sense of community and can contribution to the prevention of crime.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Situational</td>
<td>Stopping the opportunities for crime is an effective way of preventing crime. Increasing the risks of detection, reducing the rewards for offending and increasing the difficulty of offending are all ways to prevent crime.</td>
<td>Situational crime prevention can be as simple as installing locks and alarms, increasing surveillance through lighting and making buildings harder to enter, damage or hide near.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Enforcement / Criminal Justice</td>
<td>This form of crime prevention is associated with the criminal justice system - police, courts and prisons – and is the most commonly understood form of crime prevention.</td>
<td>Problem-oriented policing can help prevent recurring problems requiring a policing response through detailed analysis of crime problems and inter-agency responses; community-oriented policing is a strategy for encouraging the public to act as partners with the police in preventing and managing crime; treatment programs offered through court processes can address causes of crime; rehabilitation programs in prison can prevent re-offending.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Developmental Crime Prevention

‘Developmental prevention involves the use of scientific research to guide the provision of resources for individuals, families, schools or communities to address the conditions that give rise to antisocial behaviour and crime before these problems arise, or before they become entrenched ... Doing something about crime early, preferably before the damage is too hard to repair, strikes most people as a logical approach to crime prevention. The twin challenges, of course, are to identify exactly what it is in individuals, families, schools and communities that increases the odds of involvement in crime and then to do something useful about the identified conditions as early as possible’ (Homel, R. and Thomsen, L. (2017) Chapter 4: ‘Developmental Crime Prevention’, in Tilley, N. and Sidebottom, A. (eds.) Handbook of Crime Prevention and Community Safety, Willan Publishing, Devon: 57).

Introduce developmental crime prevention by reading the above text.
Risk Factors

- Risk is cumulative and risk factors are inter-related (not easy to isolate causal link)
- The most significant risk factors associated with offending:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual Risk Factors</th>
<th>Family Risk Factors</th>
<th>Environmental Risk Factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low intelligence and attainment</td>
<td>Criminal or antisocial parents</td>
<td>Growing up in a low SES status household</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personality and temperament</td>
<td>Large family size</td>
<td>Associating with delinquent peers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empathy and impulsiveness</td>
<td>Poor parental supervision</td>
<td>Attending high-delinquency-rate schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental conflict and disrupted families</td>
<td>Living in deprived areas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Introduce risk factors as a key feature of developmental crime prevention.

Ask students to consider what challenges might exist in effectively isolating key risk factors for later offending behaviour?

Some possible answers/discussions points:

- Many theorists in this area stress that risk is not destiny. This means that the mere presence of risk factors does not mean that someone will necessarily commit crime or become a persistent offender. It is important to stress this point.
- Similarly, it is important to stress the point that risk factors are not necessarily universal. What might be considered a risk factor from crime in one country or region might not be considered a risk factor in another. For example, large family size has been identified by Farrington and Welsh (and others) as a risk factor for crime. This might be considered to be a protective factor in particular cultural or regional contexts.
- Many people in any one area might have a number of the aforementioned risk factors. Few will become persistent offenders. Consequently, predicting who will become a persistent or repeat offender is difficult. Identifying someone as potentially at-risk of future offending can have important consequences for that individual, including increased policing surveillance. Consequently, great care needs to be taken when trying to identify risk factors and at-risk individuals.
Case Study: Elmira Home Visiting Program

- The seminal Elmira prenatal and infancy home visiting program provided support to 400 young mothers who were single or from low socioeconomic backgrounds in the city of Elmira, New York. It intended to address issues of poor birth outcomes, child maltreatment, welfare dependence and poor maternal life courses. Nurses visited young mothers on a bi-weekly basis until their child reached the age of two. The home visitation sessions were focused on providing prenatal care, baby health care and support to keep the young mothers’ lives on track, through helping them find employment, planning for the future or linking them up with much needed services within the community.

- Very positive outcomes emerged from the Elmira home visitation program. Participants in the home visitation program exhibited the following outcomes in comparison the control group (Olds et al, 1999 p.44): improved pregnancy outcomes; better parenting skills; higher maternal employment; fewer and more widely spaced pregnancies; more mothers returned to education; less abuse and/or neglect the children; less smoking and drinking; and by the time the children were at 15 years of age, fewer arrests and convictions (both mother and child).

- The home visitation program was also successful in delivering considerable cost savings for the government. Every $1USD spent on the home visitation program resulted in future savings of $4USD (Olds et al, 1999, p.56).


Discuss this case study. In particular, it is beneficial to focus on the nature of the intervention, the benefits and the cost-benefit analysis. It is also important to consider the challenges of taking programs delivered at this scale and trying to deliver them across many communities. Invariably, problems in scaling-up arise which means that we do not always get the same benefits when taking small, successful programs to scale.
Community Crime Prevention

• “Community crime prevention refers to actions intended to change the social conditions that are believed to sustain crime in residential communities. It concentrates on the ability of local social institutions to reduce crime” (Hope, T. (1995) ‘Community crime prevention’, in M Tonry & D Farrington (eds.) Building a safer community: strategic approaches to crime prevention, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago: 21)

• Generally speaking, high levels of informal social control and collective efficacy in local communities result in lower crime. The following provides an insight into the nature of these constructs:
  ► “Sampson and his coauthors then introduced the term ‘collective efficacy’, which is defined in terms of the neighbourhood’s ability to maintain order in public spaces such as streets, sidewalks, and parks. Collective efficacy is implemented when neighbourhood residents take over actions to maintain public order, such as by complaining to the authorities or by organizing neighbourhood watch programs. The authors argued that residents take such actions only when ‘cohesion and mutual trust’ in the neighbourhood is linked to ‘shared expectations for intervening in support of neighbourhood social control’. If either the mutual trust or the shared expectations are absent, then residents will be unlikely to act when disorder invades public space” (Vold et al, 2002, Theoretical Criminology, pages 131-132)

Introduce community crime prevention through this slide.
Case Study: Communities that Care

- Communities That Care (CTC) is a community-based prevention system. CTC aims to promote the healthy development of children and young people through long term community planning to prevent health and social problems.
- CTC guides communities towards identifying and understanding local needs, setting priorities and implementing effective evidence-based interventions to address those needs. The CTC model has been implemented in numerous countries around the world and is currently operating in over 500 communities.
- CTC is founded upon the ‘Social Development Strategy’, it is a strategy that promotes positive youth development by organising all the evidence-based protective factors into a simple strategy for action. It comprises of the following five key components (CTC, 2018):
  - Healthy beliefs and clear standards of behaviour – young people are more likely to engage in prosocial and responsible behaviour when they are surrounded by teachers, parents and a community that communicates healthy beliefs and standards
  - Bonding – young people need to develop and maintain strong relationships with those who hold healthy beliefs and clear standards
  - Opportunities – developmentally appropriate opportunities should be provided to young people, for active participation and meaningful interaction with prosocial others
  - Skills – young people should be taught the skills they need to succeed in life
  - Recognition – consistent, specific praise and recognition should be provided to young people for effort, improvement and achievement
- Results reported eight years after implementation of the CTC prevention system reveal that:
  - Students in CTC communities were more likely than students in control communities to have abstained from any drug use, smoking cigarettes, and engaging in delinquency; and
  - They were also less likely to ever have committed a violent act.
- More information about CTC and their prevention programs can be found at https://www.communitiesthatcare.org.au/ or https://www.communitiesthatcare.net/

This case study provides an example of community crime prevention. Discuss the multiple strategies employed through this approach. Invite students to visit the websites provided and to review relevant materials.

Consider asking students what might be some of the challenges of delivering interventions and programs like these?

Some possible answers/discussions points:
- Maintaining political will for long-term investment - programs of this kind often take a long time to produce outcomes (which is similar to developmental crime prevention)
- Combating international trends that impact on local crime trends through programs designed to operate at the local or community level
- Everyone’s but no one’s responsibility - “The crux of the problem is that in this new-found era of ‘crime is everybody’s problem’, responsibility has become so diffused as to no longer reside anywhere in particular, with all the problems for funding to which that gives rise” (Crawford, A. (1998) Crime Prevention and Community Safety: Politics, Policies and Practices, Longman, Harlow: page 122)
- Some communities and neighbourhoods will feel that they are being labelled and stigmatised if they are selected for a program or intervention
- There are numerous difficulties of conducting quality evaluations when multiple programs are running simultaneously
The ‘criminalisation of social policy “refers to the situation in which social welfare issues become redefined as crime problems. When goals of providing affordable homes, improving health, and providing incomes through employment become secondary to crime reduction in social policy, criminalisation of social policy has occurred” (Knepper, P. (2007) Criminology and Social Policy, Sage, Los Angeles, page 139)
Definition - Situational Crime Prevention

“Situational prevention comprises opportunity reducing measures that
(1) are directed at highly specific forms of crime,
(2) involve the management, design or manipulation of the immediate environment in as
systematic and permanent way as possible,
(3) make crime more difficult and risky, or less rewarding and excusable as judged by a
wide range of offenders” (Clarke, R. V. (1997) Situational Crime Prevention – Successful Case Studies, Harrow and
Heston, New York: 4).

Introduce situational crime prevention. Note that it is a significant departure from the
previously discussed approaches – the focus turns to opportunities for crime rather
than individuals and communities.
Discuss the Crime Triangle - a key aspect of situational crime prevention.
Consider what might assist motivated offenders to come together with suitable targets/victims in time and space in the absence of capable guardians. This encourages some consideration of the way that cities and neighbourhoods are constructed and operate. It also raises the impact of work and leisure routines, population densities, urban sprawl, increasing consumer items of increasing portability, etc.
### 25 Opportunity-Reducing Techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Increase the Effort</th>
<th>Increase the Risks</th>
<th>Reduce the Rewards</th>
<th>Reduce Provocations</th>
<th>Remove the Excuses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steering column locks</td>
<td>Fake routine precautions</td>
<td>Off-street parking</td>
<td>Efficient queues and polite service</td>
<td>Rental agreements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-robbery screens</td>
<td>‘Cocoon’ neighbourhood watch</td>
<td>Gender-neutral phone directories</td>
<td>Expanded seating</td>
<td>Harassment codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamper-proof packaging</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unmarked bullion trucks</td>
<td>Soothing music/muted lights</td>
<td>Hotel registrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entry phones</td>
<td>Improved street lighting</td>
<td>Removable car radio</td>
<td>Separate enclosures for rival soccer fans</td>
<td>‘No parking’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic card access</td>
<td>Defensible space design</td>
<td>Women’s refuges</td>
<td>Reduce crowding in pubs</td>
<td>‘Private property’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baggage screening</td>
<td>Support whistleblowers</td>
<td>Pre-paid phone cards for pay phones</td>
<td>Fixed cab fares</td>
<td>‘Extinguish camp fires’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ticket needed for exit</td>
<td>Taxi driver IDs</td>
<td>Property marking</td>
<td>Controls on violent pornography</td>
<td>Roadside speed display boards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic merchandise tags</td>
<td>‘How’s my driving?’ decals</td>
<td>Vehicle licensing and parts marking</td>
<td>Enforce good behaviour on soccer field</td>
<td>Signatures for customs declarations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCTV for double-decker buses</td>
<td>CCTV for double-decker buses</td>
<td>Monitor pawn shops</td>
<td>‘Idiots drink and drive’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two clerks for convenience stores</td>
<td>‘How’s my driving?’ decals</td>
<td>Controls on classified ads</td>
<td>‘It’s OK to say no’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reward vigilance</td>
<td>School uniforms</td>
<td>License street vendors</td>
<td>Disperse troublemakers at school</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Smart’ guns</td>
<td>Red light cameras</td>
<td>Ink merchandise tags</td>
<td>Controls on violent vandalism</td>
<td>Easy library check-out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabling stolen mobile phones</td>
<td>Burglar alarms</td>
<td>Graffiti cleaning</td>
<td>V-chips in TVs</td>
<td>Public lavatories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restrict spray paint to juveniles</td>
<td>Security guards</td>
<td>Speed humps</td>
<td>Censor details of modus operandi</td>
<td>Litter bins</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Situational Crime Prevention Techniques

Situational crime prevention techniques rest on five key pillars:

1. Increasing the effort
2. Increasing the risks
3. Reducing the rewards
4. Reducing provocations
5. Removing the excuses

This table provides an overview of the different types of situational crime prevention.

Ask students to review the 25 opportunity-reducing techniques and to identify those techniques currently being used in their local area.
Examples of Situational Crime Prevention

Electronic Article Surveillance
- Source: https://www.watcherprotect.com/electronic-article-surveillance/

Car Locking Devices and Immobilisers
- Source: https://www.confused.com/car-insurance/guides/car-engine-immobilisers-alarms-trackers

Bank Counter Screens

Airport Security

Use these images as a prompt for further consideration about situational crime prevention techniques operating in their local area.
Crime prevention through environmental design (CPTED) is related to situational crime prevention. Discuss the definition of CPTED.

CPTED now commonly includes a series of design techniques, which Cozens et al (2005) list as:

- **Surveillance**: natural and technical surveillance increases the risks for would-be offenders. Surveillance can be achieved through promoting ‘eyes on the street’, as advocated by Jane Jacobs, through land use to maximise the presence of pedestrians throughout the day and night, or technical surveillance through the use of closed circuit television (CCTV);
- **Access control**: blocking access to venues and items reduces the opportunities for crime. This might be in the form of fencing, landscaping, and video and telephone activated entry to residential areas;
- **Territoriality**: designing in a sense of ownership over a space promotes territoriality. Owners of a space will often intervene if there is antisocial behaviour. Design can influence the likelihood of such action being taken or not;
- **Activity support**: public spaces can be activated through the use of music or performances which draw people into a space. The presence of people will often make an area feel safer;
- **Image/maintenance**: maintaining an area helps to send cues about capable guardianship. An area that appears not to be maintained or cared for might invite illicit activity. Conversely an area that suggests high levels of capable
guardianship will be less likely to attract illicit activity; and
• Target hardening: hardening targets makes them less attractive to would-be offenders. This might include installing security devices to make items less likely to be stolen.

Use the Secured by Design interactive guide to demonstrate different features of CPTED. This is aimed at providing an insight into CPTED rather than encouraging any particular expertise or competence.
Law Enforcement / Criminal Justice Crime Prevention

“deals with offending after it has happened, and involves intervention in the lives of known offenders in such a fashion that they will not commit further offences. In so far as it is preventative, it operates through incapacitation and individual deterrence, and perhaps offers the opportunity of treatment in prisons or through other sentencing options (Cameron, M. and Laycock, G. (2002) ‘Crime prevention in Australia’, in Graycar, A. and Grabosky, P. (eds.) The Cambridge handbook of Australian criminology, Cambridge University Press, Port Melbourne, Australia: 314).

The final form of crime prevention, according to the Tonry and Farrington typology, is law enforcement or criminal justice prevention.

Discuss the definition provided here. Note that this aspect of crime prevention will not be covered in detail. Other Modules deal with these elements in more detail including:

- Module 3: Access to Legal Aid
- Module 5: Police Accountability, Integrity and Oversight
- Module 6: Prison Reform
- Module 7: Alternatives to Imprisonment
- Module 8: Restorative Justice
- Module 14: Independence of the Judiciary and Role of Prosecutors
Consider these different approaches to policing. Police are key players in crime prevention but they can adopt different approaches to their work. The above lists three approaches that relate to crime prevention.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policing for Prevention</th>
<th>Community-based Policing</th>
<th>Problem-oriented Policing</th>
<th>Pulling Levers or Focused Deterrence Policing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This approach recognises that police are of the people and for the people. Without community support police are not very effective because a considerable amount of crime is cleared as a result of reports from community members. Community-based policing favours tactics which connect the police to local communities. This might be through police involvement in community events; the creation of police-community committees to establish local policing priorities; the creation of community-based roles to help the police connect with hard-to-reach groups such as those from minority communities.</td>
<td>This approach, developed by Professor Herman Goldstein, seeks to ensure a more responsive policing. Rather than just responding to calls for service, Goldstein suggested that problems should be defined with much greater specificity; that effort needed to be invested in researching the problem; that alternative solutions should be considered (including physical technical changes, changes in the provision of government services, developing new community resources, increased use of city ordinances, and improved use of zoning); and that implementation should be carefully managed (Goldstein 1979, pp. 244–58). This approach utilizes the SARA model.</td>
<td>This approach, developed by Professor David Kennedy and his colleagues, seeks to prevent crime through detailed analysis of pressing crime problems, communicating with high risk offenders, providing swift policing resources if these high risk offenders continue to offend while also extending opportunities to exit crime through engaging with relevant support services and mobilising local community voices to condemn ongoing criminal (especially violent) activity. This approach relies and coordination of various services, including police, probation and parole, prosecutors, welfare services, youth workers, local community members impacted by crime, and other agencies. Its effectiveness rests on the swift delivery of a policing and criminal justice response if offending persists and the opportunities to exit offending.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Various crime problem-solving approaches exist - two are covered here.

This video provides a basic introduction of two commonly used crime problem-solving approaches. Play the video (5 mins 9 seconds) and discuss the different approaches.

Questions that might be asked (answers provided in bold):

• What are the two crime problem-solving approaches introduced in the video? (SARA Model, Ekblom’s 5Is)
• What are the five key elements of Ekblom’s 5Is? (Intelligence, Intervention, Implementation, Involvement, Impact)
• What are some of the problems with crime data - a key feature of Intelligence? (Accessing appropriate data, reporting of crime, getting data from small local areas)
• What are some of the challenges to effective implementation? (Programs drift from original intention, clash of agencies with different perspectives, technological failures, staff changes, electoral changes resulting in different policies).
The SARA model, mentioned in the video, is frequently used by policing agencies. While the methodologies will differ, crime problem-solving approaches aim to encourage some form of analysis, planning and evaluation. It is important to stress that moving through such steps helps to build more robust responses to particular crime problems.
Ekblom’s 5Is is another commonly used crime problem-solving approach.
Crime Problem-solving Exercise

- Crime problem: There has been a significant increase in the number of burglaries in the local neighbourhood in the last six months. This is causing concern in the community and residents want action.

- Prompts:
  - What data will be needed to analyse the problem in fine detail?
  - How would you decide what should be done to respond?
  - What steps might need to be considered in developing a response to this problem?
  - What agencies and individuals might usefully be involved?
  - How would you determine the impact, remembering to consider both process and impact evaluation issues?

Some possible prompts/answers:

Ekblom’s 5Is

- Intelligence - it will be important to get data from the police. Ideally, the more specific the better. Information about the number of incidents, the location, the day/time of offending, the modus operandi (where known), the nature of the items stolen, any information from arrested offenders, and any information about where items are being sold or traded. Police will be key sources of data but other agencies might also be helpful.

- Intervention - based on the intelligence gathered, decisions will need to be made about best approaches. Rather than have the students generate definitive approaches, it will be appropriate to ask them the steps they might move through in deciding what might be done. Ideally, this will involve consulting relevant evidence, consulting relevant stakeholders, and developing a range of interventions.

- Implementation - prompt students to think of the potential steps in developing a plan to respond to this crime problem. Again, only indicative steps might be outlined.

- Involvement - prompt students to consider which agencies/individuals might participate in developing and implementing a plan. Local and regional variation will determine who might be involved. Nonetheless, police, local government, resident action groups, locksmiths and security personnel, and second hand dealers might be some of those likely to be involved.
• Impact - prompt students to discuss how they might evaluate the impact of the response. Consider process and impact evaluation issues such as measuring what was actually done to respond to the problem and whether there is any change in burglary pre and post implementation, while also considering any displacement to other areas.
The Campbell Collaboration was established in 2000 to address the gaps in knowledge base by drawing together the evaluations that have been conducted into particular crime prevention activities. This is achieved by systematic reviews.

Systematic reviews summarise and evaluate the best available research on specific programmes and interventions (Campbell Collaboration, 2018). The results from multiple high-quality studies are synthesised to produce the best possible evidence. Great importance is placed upon the integrity of the process in which systematic reviews are produced from.

The Crime and Justice coordinating group has published 43 systematic reviews in the Campbell Collaboration library as of August 2018. Plain language summaries (PLS) accompany 18 of the 43 reviews. And 37 of the 43 reviews are related to crime prevention interventions.

http://www.campbellcollaboration.org/

A key aspect of any crime problem-solving approach is evaluation. If we do not evaluate then we do not know if our interventions worked.

Evaluation is generally a complex activity. These complexities will not be considered here. Rather, two different clearinghouses will be introduced. These clearinghouses draw on evaluations of different types of crime prevention and provide summaries about their effectiveness. The Campbell Collaboration is one of these clearinghouses.
Exercise: Analyse a Campbell Collaboration Review

*Police-initiated diversion for youth to prevent future delinquent behaviour*

**Authors:** David B. Wilson, Iain Brennan, Ajima Olaghere  
**Published Date:** 1 June 2018  

**Plain Language Summary:** Available

**Conclusions:** The authors support the use of police-led diversion as an appropriate response to address youth crime, especially in response to first time young offenders.

Read the Plain Language Summary and discuss how this might be used by policymakers.

Invite students to read the Plain Language Summary of the Police-led diversion of low-risk youth ... ([file:///C:/Users/gclancey/Downloads/0287_CJCG_Wilson_Police-initiated_diversion.pdf](file:///C:/Users/gclancey/Downloads/0287_CJCG_Wilson_Police-initiated_diversion.pdf)) (either on screen or as a hand out) and ask them, in small groups, to discuss how this evidence might be used by policymakers. More advanced students might be asked to interrogate the review document ([https://campbellcollaboration.org/library/police-initiated-diversion-to-prevent-future-delinquent-behaviour.html](https://campbellcollaboration.org/library/police-initiated-diversion-to-prevent-future-delinquent-behaviour.html)) and to discuss the limitations of the review.

Possible discussion points:

- While criminal justice policymaking is a highly contested area with competing views being common, a review of this kind can help to inject an independent source of information into relevant debates. Evidence of the kind generated by the Campbell Collaboration helps to inject relevant policy debates with robust research findings which should provide greater confidence in adopting an approach covered by one of the Campbell Collaboration reviews.

- Possible limitations of this review include the following: All of the studies were originally conducted in developed Western countries; 11 studies were conducted in the United States, 4 in Australia, 2 in Canada and 2 in the United Kingdom. Follow up periods ranged from 4 months to 30 months. Sample sizes ranged greatly between studies. Many of the studies had sample sizes between 100-200 participants in each of the treatment and control groups. The largest study had 1232 participants in the treatment group and 595 participants in the control group. But a few of the included studies had
very small sample sizes, one of them had 8 participants in the treatment group and 11 in the control group. The way in which outcomes were measured also varied between studies, some used self-reported delinquency, others used contact with certain criminal justice institutions. Most of the studies were also conducted in the 1970s-80s and whether those findings continue to be an accurate reflection of current times is an issue to be considered. Similarly, questions of comparability, generalisability, transferability and relevance of the included studies with other jurisdictions arise.
Academics at the University College London have developed the rating and ranking system known as EMMIE. EMMIE seeks to go beyond what a Campbell Collaboration achieves. By providing some insight into the way an intervention works, the moderating variables, and how much it costs, EMMIE is more helpful in shaping decisions of policymakers than just merely outlining whether it works or not.
Summary - [http://ses.library.usyd.edu.au/handle/2123/14164](http://ses.library.usyd.edu.au/handle/2123/14164)

- What are the four models of crime prevention?
- How might criminal justice agencies prevent crime and why might these approaches have limited crime prevention benefits?
- What are the three elements of the crime triangle?
- What changes in our ‘routine activities’ increased opportunities for crime in the latter part of last century?
- What is informal social control and what are some examples?
- What are the challenges of implementing social crime prevention?
- What are some early intervention programs?

This concludes this Module. This final exercise gets students to reflect on the key elements of the Module. A video can be downloaded and played before posing these questions to the students. The questions can be asked even if the video cannot be shown.
Summary

- What are the four models of crime prevention?
  - Developmental
  - Social (or community)
  - Situational
  - Criminal justice / law enforcement

- How might criminal justice agencies prevent crime and why might these approaches have limited crime prevention benefits?
  Incapacitation, deterrence, rehabilitation. Always after an offence (or offences) has been committed.

- What are the three elements of the crime triangle?
  - Motivated offender
  - Victim or target
  - Absence of capable guardianship

- What changes in our ‘routine activities’ increased opportunities for crime in the latter part of last century?
  Suburbanisation, dual income families, increased wealth, weight of consumer items, ...

- What is informal social control and what are some examples?
  Action taken by residents in response to antisocial behaviour. Graffiti removal and stopping antisocial behaviour.

- What are the challenges of implementing social crime prevention?
  Free-rider effect; imposed; some people/communities will be left out.

- What are some early intervention programs?
  Nurse visitation and child enrichment programs.
Thank the students for their participation and encourage them to familiarise themselves with local/regional/national crime prevention policies and approaches.