



UNODC

United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime

Mechanism for the Review of Implementation of the United Nations Convention against Corruption—Basic Documents



UNITED NATIONS

UNITED NATIONS OFFICE ON DRUGS AND CRIME
Vienna

**Mechanism for the
Review of Implementation of
the United Nations Convention
against Corruption—Basic Documents**



UNITED NATIONS
New York, 2011

© United Nations, March 2011. All rights reserved.

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area, or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

Publishing production: English, Publishing and Library Section, United Nations Office at Vienna.

Resolution 3/1 of the Conference of the States Parties to the United Nations Convention against Corruption

Review mechanism

The Conference of the States Parties to the United Nations Convention against Corruption,

Recalling article 63, paragraph 1, of the United Nations Convention against Corruption,¹ which established the Conference of the States Parties to the Convention to, inter alia, promote and review the implementation of the Convention,

Recalling also article 63, paragraph 7, of the Convention, according to which the Conference is to establish, if it deems it necessary, any appropriate mechanism or body to assist in the effective implementation of the Convention,

1. *Takes note with appreciation* of the work of the Open-ended Intergovernmental Working Group on Review of the Implementation of the United Nations Convention against Corruption at its five intersessional meetings;

2. *Adopts*, subject to the provisions of the present resolution, the terms of reference of the Mechanism for the Review of Implementation of the United Nations Convention against Corruption,¹ contained in the annex to the present resolution, and the draft guidelines for governmental experts and the secretariat in the conduct of country reviews and the draft blueprint for country review reports, contained in the appendix to the annex, which will be finalized by the Implementation Review Group;²

3. *Decides* that each review phase shall be composed of two review cycles of five years each and that one fourth of the States parties will be reviewed in each of the first four years of each review cycle;

4. *Decides also* to review during the first cycle chapters III (Criminalization and law enforcement) and IV (International cooperation) and during the second cycle chapters II (Preventive measures) and V (Asset recovery);

¹United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 2349, No. 42146.

²See section IV.C of the terms of reference.

5. *Requests* the Implementation Review Group to conduct an evaluation of the terms of reference, as well as the challenges encountered during the country reviews, at the conclusion of each review cycle and to report to the Conference of the States Parties on the outcome of those evaluations;

6. *Decides* that a comprehensive self-assessment checklist shall be used as a tool to facilitate the provision of information on implementation of the United Nations Convention against Corruption;

7. *Requests* the Secretariat to finalize a comprehensive self-assessment checklist no later than two months after the conclusion of the third session of the Conference, using as a model the draft comprehensive self-assessment checklist, in consultation with States parties;

8. *Also requests* the Secretariat to distribute the comprehensive self-assessment checklist to States parties as soon as possible to begin the process of information-gathering;

9. *Requests* States parties to complete and return the checklist to the Secretariat according to the time frame established in the guidelines for governmental experts and the secretariat in the conduct of country reviews;

10. *Decides* that the Implementation Review Group shall be in charge of following up and continuing the work undertaken previously by the Open-ended Intergovernmental Working Group on Technical Assistance;

11. *Underlines* that the Mechanism will require a budget that ensures its efficient, continued and impartial functioning;

12. *Recommends* that the General Assembly finance the staffing requirements of the Secretariat necessary to implement the Mechanism through reallocation of existing resources of the regular budget of the United Nations for the biennium 2010-2011;

13. *Requests* the Secretary-General to propose to the Implementation Review Group, for its consideration and decision at its first meeting, further means of funding the implementation of the Mechanism;

14. *Decides* that the Implementation Review Group shall consider the resource requirements for the functioning of the Mechanism for the biennium 2012-2013;

15. *Requests* the Secretary-General to prepare for the first meeting of the Implementation Review Group a proposed budget for the Mechanism for the biennium 2012-2013.

**Terms of reference of the Mechanism for the Review
of Implementation of the United Nations Convention
against Corruption**

Contents

	<i>Page</i>
Preamble	4
I. Introduction	4
II. Guiding principles and characteristics of the Mechanism.	4
III. Relationship of the Mechanism with the Conference of the States Parties	6
IV. Review process	6
A. Goals.	6
B. Country review	6
C. Implementation Review Group.	11
D. Conference of the States Parties	11
V. Secretariat	12
VI. Languages	12
VII. Funding	13
VIII. Participation of signatories to the Convention in the Mechanism	13
Guidelines for governmental experts and the secretariat in the conduct of country reviews	15

Preamble

1. Pursuant to article 4, paragraph 1, of the United Nations Convention against Corruption,³ which states that States parties shall carry out their obligations under the Convention in a manner consistent with the principles of sovereign equality and territorial integrity of States and non-intervention in the domestic affairs of other States, the Conference of the States Parties to the United Nations Convention against Corruption establishes the following mechanism to review implementation of the Convention.

I. Introduction

2. The Mechanism for the Review of Implementation of the United Nations Convention against Corruption (hereinafter “the Mechanism”) includes a review process that shall be guided by the principles contained in sections II and III and be carried out in accordance with the provisions contained in section IV. The Mechanism shall be supported by a secretariat as set out in sections V and VI and be financed in accordance with section VII.

II. Guiding principles and characteristics of the Mechanism

3. The Mechanism shall:
- (a) Be transparent, efficient, non-intrusive, inclusive and impartial;
 - (b) Not produce any form of ranking;
 - (c) Provide opportunities to share good practices and challenges;

³ United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 2349, No. 42146.

(d) Assist States parties in the effective implementation of the Convention;

(e) Take into account a balanced geographical approach;

(f) Be non-adversarial and non-punitive and shall promote universal adherence to the Convention;

(g) Base its work on clear, established guidelines for the compilation, production and dissemination of information, including addressing issues of confidentiality and the submission of the outcome to the Conference, which is the competent body to take action on such an outcome;

(h) Identify, at the earliest stage possible, difficulties encountered by States parties in the fulfilment of their obligations under the Convention and good practices adopted in efforts by States parties to implement the Convention;

(i) Be of a technical nature and promote constructive collaboration, inter alia, in preventive measures, asset recovery and international cooperation;

(j) Complement existing international and regional review mechanisms in order that the Conference may, as appropriate, cooperate with those mechanisms and avoid duplication of effort.

4. The Mechanism shall be an intergovernmental process.

5. In conformity with article 4 of the Convention, the Mechanism shall not serve as an instrument for interfering in the domestic affairs of States parties but shall respect the principles of equality and sovereignty of States parties, and the review process shall be conducted in a non-political and non-selective manner.

6. The Mechanism shall promote the implementation of the Convention by States parties, as well as cooperation among States parties.

7. The Mechanism shall provide opportunities to exchange views, ideas and good practices, thus contributing to strengthening cooperation among States parties in preventing and fighting corruption.

8. The Mechanism shall take into account the levels of development of States parties, as well as the diversity of judicial, legal, political, economic and social systems and differences in legal traditions.

9. The review of implementation of the Convention is an ongoing and gradual process. Consequently, the Mechanism shall endeavour to adopt a progressive and comprehensive approach.

III. Relationship of the Mechanism with the Conference of the States Parties

10. The review of implementation of the Convention and the Mechanism shall be under the authority of the Conference, in accordance with article 63 of the Convention.

IV. Review process

A. Goals

11. Consistent with the Convention, in particular article 63, the purpose of the review process shall be to assist States parties in their implementation of the Convention. In this regard, the review process, inter alia, shall:

(a) Promote the purposes of the Convention as set out in its article 1;

(b) Provide the Conference with information on the measures taken by States parties in implementing the Convention and the difficulties encountered by them in doing so;

(c) Help States parties to identify and substantiate specific needs for technical assistance and to promote and facilitate the provision of technical assistance;

(d) Promote and facilitate international cooperation in the prevention of and the fight against corruption, including in the area of asset recovery;

(e) Provide the Conference with information on successes, good practices and challenges of States parties in implementing and using the Convention;

(f) Promote and facilitate the exchange of information, practices and experiences gained in the implementation of the Convention.

B. Country review

12. The Mechanism shall be applicable to all States parties. It shall gradually cover the implementation of the entire Convention.

13. The review of all States that are parties at the start of a review cycle should be completed before a new review cycle begins. In exceptional cases, however, the Conference may decide to launch a new review cycle before the completion of all reviews of the previous cycle. No State party shall undergo a review twice in the same review cycle, without prejudice to the right of a State party to provide new information.

14. The number of States parties from each regional group participating in the review process in a given year shall be proportionate to the size of that regional group and the number of its members that are States parties to the Convention. The selection of States parties participating in the review process in a given year of a review cycle shall be carried out by the drawing of lots at the beginning of each review cycle. A State party selected for review in a given year may, with a reasonable justification, defer participation to the following year of the review cycle.

15. Each State party shall provide to the secretariat the information required by the Conference on its compliance with and implementation of the Convention, using the comprehensive self-assessment checklist as an initial step for that purpose. States parties shall provide complete, up-to-date, accurate and timely responses.

16. Assistance in the preparation of the responses to the checklist shall be provided by the secretariat to States parties requesting such assistance.

17. Each State party shall appoint a focal point to coordinate its participation in the review. Each State party shall endeavour to appoint, as a focal point, a person or persons with substantive expertise on the provisions of the Convention under review.

1. Conduct of the country review

18. Each State party shall be reviewed by two other States parties. The review process shall actively involve the State party under review.

19. One of the two reviewing States parties shall be from the same geographical region as the State party under review and shall, if possible, be a State with a legal system similar to that of the State party under review. The selection of the reviewing States parties shall be carried out by the drawing of lots at the beginning of each year of the cycle, with the understanding that States parties

shall not undertake mutual reviews. The State party under review may request, a maximum of two times, that the drawing of lots be repeated. In exceptional circumstances, the drawing of lots may be repeated more than twice.

20. A State party under review may defer serving as a reviewing State party that same year. That same principle, *mutatis mutandis*, shall apply to the reviewing States parties. By the end of a review cycle, each State party must have undergone its own review and performed a minimum of one review and a maximum of three reviews.

21. Each State party shall appoint up to 15 governmental experts for the purpose of the review process. The secretariat shall, prior to the drawing of lots to select the reviewing States parties, compile and circulate a list of such governmental experts, which shall include information on their professional background, their current positions, relevant offices held and activities carried out and their areas of expertise as required for the respective review cycle. States parties shall endeavour to provide information necessary for the secretariat to compile that list and keep it up to date.

22. The secretariat shall develop a set of guidelines for governmental experts and the secretariat in the conduct of country reviews (hereinafter “the Guidelines”)⁴ in consultation with the States parties. The Guidelines shall be endorsed by the Implementation Review Group.

23. The reviewing State parties shall carry out, in accordance with the Guidelines, a desk review of the response to the comprehensive self-assessment checklist by the State party under review. Such desk review shall entail an analysis of the response focused on measures taken to implement the Convention and on successes in and challenges of such implementation.

24. In accordance with the guiding principles set out in section II and in conformity with the Guidelines, the reviewing States parties, supported by the secretariat, may request the State party under review to provide clarifications or additional information or to address supplementary questions related to the review. The ensuing constructive dialogue may be carried out, *inter alia*, by way of conference calls, videoconferences or e-mail exchanges, as appropriate.

25. The schedule and requirements of each country review shall be established by the secretariat in consultation with the reviewing States parties and the

⁴See document CAC/COSP/IRG/2010/7.

State party under review and shall address all issues relevant to the review. The reviews should ideally be designed to take no longer than six months.

26. The country review shall lead to the elaboration of a country review report based on a blueprint,⁵ to be developed by the secretariat in consultation with the States parties and endorsed by the Implementation Review Group to ensure consistency.

27. The country review shall be carried out as follows:

(a) The desk review shall be based on the responses to the comprehensive self-assessment checklist and any supplementary information provided by the State party under review;

(b) In the context of the constructive dialogue between the governmental experts, the State party under review shall facilitate the exchange of information relevant to the implementation of the Convention;

(c) If the State party under review is a member of a competent international organization whose mandate covers anti-corruption issues or a regional or international mechanism for combating and preventing corruption, the reviewing States parties may consider information relevant to the implementation of the Convention produced by that organization or mechanism.

28. The State party under review shall endeavour to prepare its responses to the comprehensive self-assessment checklist through broad consultations at the national level with all relevant stakeholders, including the private sector, individuals and groups outside the public sector.

29. If agreed by the State party under review, the desk review should be complemented with any further means of direct dialogue, such as a country visit or a joint meeting at the United Nations Office at Vienna, in accordance with the Guidelines.

30. States parties are encouraged to facilitate engagement with all relevant national stakeholders in the course of a country visit.

31. The reviewing States parties and the secretariat shall maintain the confidentiality of all information obtained in the course of, or used in, the country review process.

⁵Contained in annex B to the guidelines for governmental experts and the secretariat in the conduct of country reviews (contained in CAC/COSP/IRG/2010/7).

32. The secretariat shall organize periodic training courses for experts who participate in the review process, in order to familiarize them with the Guidelines and increase their capacity to participate in the review process.

2. *Outcome of the country review process*

33. The reviewing States parties shall, in accordance with the Guidelines and the blueprint, prepare a country review report, including an executive summary of the report, in close cooperation and coordination with the State party under review and assisted by the secretariat. The report shall identify successes, good practices and challenges and make observations for the implementation of the Convention. Where appropriate, the report shall include the identification of technical assistance needs for the purpose of improving implementation of the Convention.

34. The country review report, including the executive summary, shall be finalized upon agreement between the reviewing States parties and the State party under review.

35. The secretariat shall compile the most common and relevant information on successes, good practices, challenges, observations and technical assistance needs contained in the country review reports and include them, organized by theme, in a thematic implementation report and regional supplementary addenda, for submission to the Implementation Review Group.

36. The executive summaries of all finalized country review reports shall be translated into the six official languages of the United Nations and be made available as documents of the Implementation Review Group for information purposes only.

37. The country review reports shall remain confidential.

38. The State party under review is encouraged to exercise its sovereign right to publish its country review report or part thereof.

39. In order to improve and strengthen cooperation and learning among States parties, States parties shall, upon request, endeavour to make country review reports accessible to any other State party. The requesting State party shall fully respect the confidentiality of such reports.

3. Follow-up procedures

40. In the following review phase, each State party shall submit information in its responses to the comprehensive self-assessment checklist on progress achieved in connection with the observations contained in its previous country review reports. As appropriate, States parties shall also provide information on whether technical assistance needs requested by them in relation to their country review reports have been provided.

41. The Conference, through the Implementation Review Group, shall assess and adapt, where appropriate, the procedures and requirements for the follow-up to the conclusions and observations emerging from the review process.

C. Implementation Review Group

42. The Implementation Review Group shall be an open-ended intergovernmental group of States parties. It shall operate under the authority of and report to the Conference.

43. The Implementation Review Group shall hold meetings at least once a year in Vienna.

44. The functions of the Implementation Review Group shall be to have an overview of the review process in order to identify challenges and good practices and to consider technical assistance requirements in order to ensure effective implementation of the Convention. The thematic implementation report shall serve as the basis for the analytical work of the Implementation Review Group. On the basis of its deliberations, the Implementation Review Group shall submit recommendations and conclusions to the Conference for its consideration and approval.

D. Conference of the States Parties

45. The Conference shall be responsible for establishing policies and priorities related to the review process.

46. The Conference shall consider the recommendations and conclusions of the Implementation Review Group.

47. The Conference shall establish the phases and cycles of the review process, as well as the scope, thematic sequence and details of the review. The review phase shall be finalized upon reviewing the status of implementation of all articles of the Convention in all States parties. Each review phase shall be divided into review cycles. The Conference shall determine the duration of each review cycle and decide on the number of States parties that shall participate in each year of the review cycle, taking into account the number of States parties to be reviewed and the scope of the cycle.

48. The Conference shall endorse any future amendments to the terms of reference of the Mechanism. Following the completion of each review cycle, the Conference shall assess the performance of the Mechanism and its terms of reference.

V. Secretariat

49. The secretariat of the Conference shall be the secretariat of the Mechanism and shall perform all tasks required for the efficient functioning of the Mechanism, including providing technical and substantive support, upon request, to States parties in the course of the functioning of the Mechanism.

VI. Languages

50. The working languages of the Mechanism shall be Arabic, Chinese, English, French, Russian and Spanish, subject to the provisions of this section.

51. The country review process may be conducted in any of the working languages of the Mechanism. The secretariat shall be responsible for providing the required translation and interpretation into any of the working languages of the Mechanism, as necessary for its efficient functioning.

52. The secretariat shall, if requested by the State party under review, endeavour to seek voluntary contributions to provide for translation and interpretation into languages other than the six working languages of the Mechanism.

53. The executive summaries of the country review reports and the thematic implementation report shall, as documents of the Conference, be published in the six working languages of the Mechanism.

VII. Funding

54. The requirements of the Mechanism and its secretariat shall be funded from the regular budget of the United Nations.

55. The requirements set out in paragraphs 29 and 32 relating, *inter alia*, to the requested country visits, the joint meetings at the United Nations Office at Vienna and the training of experts, shall be funded through voluntary contributions, which shall be free of conditions and influence.

56. The secretariat shall be responsible for preparing a proposed biennial budget for the activities of the Mechanism.

57. The Conference shall consider the budget for the Mechanism biennially. The budget shall ensure the efficient, continued and impartial functioning of the Mechanism.

58. Adequate financial and human resources must be provided to the secretariat to enable it to perform the functions assigned to it in the present terms of reference.

VIII. Participation of signatories to the Convention in the Mechanism

59. A State signatory to the Convention may participate in the Mechanism as a State under review on a voluntary basis. The costs associated with such participation shall be paid from available voluntary contributions.

Guidelines for governmental experts and the secretariat in the conduct of country reviews

I. General guidance

1. Throughout the review process, governmental experts and the secretariat shall be guided by the relevant provisions of the United Nations Convention against Corruption⁶ and the terms of reference of the Mechanism for the Review of Implementation of the United Nations Convention against Corruption.⁷
2. In particular, governmental experts shall bear in mind article 4, paragraph 1, of the Convention, which states that States parties shall carry out their obligations under the Convention in a manner consistent with the principles of sovereign equality and territorial integrity of States and of non-intervention in the domestic affairs of other States.
3. Furthermore, governmental experts shall carry out the reviews in full recognition of the purpose of the review process as specified in paragraph 11 of the terms of reference.
4. During all interactions within the review process, governmental experts shall respect the collective approach. Governmental experts are expected to act with courtesy and diplomacy, and shall remain objective and impartial. Governmental experts need to be flexible in their approach and ready to adapt to changes in schedules.
5. Governmental experts and the secretariat shall maintain the confidentiality of all information obtained in the course of, or used in, the country review process, as well as the country review report, as stipulated

⁶United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 2349, No. 42146.

⁷CAC/COSP/2009/15, chap. I, sect. A, resolution 3/1, annex.

in the terms of reference. If there are serious grounds for believing that a governmental expert or a member of the secretariat has violated the obligation of confidentiality, the States parties concerned or the secretariat may inform the Implementation Review Group for appropriate consideration and action, including referring the matter to the Conference of the States Parties to the United Nations Convention against Corruption.

6. It is also expected that governmental experts are not to be influenced in their assessment of the implementation of the Convention. While information generated through competent international organizations whose mandates cover anti-corruption issues or regional and international mechanisms for combating and preventing corruption, of which the State party under review is a member, is to be taken into account, governmental experts shall make their own analysis of the facts provided by the State party under review in order to present findings that are in line with all the specific requirements of the Convention provisions under review.

7. Throughout the review process, governmental experts are encouraged to contact the secretariat for any assistance required.

II. Specific guidance for the conduct of the review

8. In accordance with the terms of reference of the Review Mechanism and consistent with the importance of ensuring the efficiency and effectiveness of the review process, reviews shall be conducted in a spirit of constructive collaboration, dialogue and mutual trust.

9. States parties and the secretariat shall endeavour to adhere to the indicative timelines in the paragraphs below.

10. The governmental experts shall prepare themselves by:

(a) Studying thoroughly the Convention and the terms of reference of the Review Mechanism, including the present guidelines;

(b) Familiarizing themselves with the *Legislative Guide for the Implementation of the United Nations Convention against Corruption*⁸ and with the official records (*travaux préparatoires*) of the negotiation of the

⁸United Nations publication, Sales No. E.06.IV.16.

Convention, particularly those parts pertaining to the articles that are the subject of the relevant review cycle;

(c) Reviewing the responses provided by the State party under review in its comprehensive self-assessment checklist and supplementary documentation and familiarizing themselves with the issues addressed by the State party under review;

(d) Informing the secretariat if additional information and material are required and highlighting issues requiring further clarification.

11. The secretariat shall organize periodic training courses for governmental experts who participate in the review process, so that they may familiarize themselves with the present guidelines and increase their capacity to participate in the review process.

12. The secretariat, within one month after the drawing of lots, shall inform officially the State party under review and the reviewing States parties of the beginning of the conduct of the country review, as well as of all relevant procedural matters, including the schedule for the training of experts and a provisional schedule for the country review.

13. The State party under review, within three weeks after officially being informed, shall appoint a focal point—and shall inform the secretariat accordingly—to coordinate its participation in the review, in accordance with paragraph 17 of the terms of reference of the Review Mechanism. The secretariat shall assign a staff member to each review.

14. The secretariat shall undertake consultations with the State party under review and the reviewing States parties on the establishment of schedules and requirements of the country review, including the selection of the working language or languages of the country review in accordance with section VI of the terms of reference of the Review Mechanism. The translation to and from those languages shall be provided by the secretariat throughout the review process.

15. The State party under review, within two months of being officially informed of the beginning of the conduct of the country review, shall provide to the secretariat the information required concerning its compliance with and implementation of the Convention, using the comprehensive self-assessment checklist as an initial step for that purpose. Assistance in the preparation of responses shall be provided by the secretariat to State parties requesting such assistance. The secretariat shall, within one month after

receipt of the completed response to the checklist, have the response translated and circulate it to the governmental experts.

16. Within one month after the State party under review has officially been informed of the beginning of the conduct of the country review, governmental experts shall participate in a telephone conference or videoconference, to be organized by the secretariat, for the purpose of an initial introduction of the reviewing States parties, the State party under review and the staff member of the secretariat assigned to the country review, as well as for general orientation, including a review of the schedule and requirements established for the review.

17. Governmental experts from reviewing States parties shall take a decision on how to divide tasks and issues among themselves, taking into account their respective fields of competence.

18. While governmental experts shall establish open lines of communication with the State party under review, experts shall keep the secretariat abreast of all these communications.

19. Throughout the process, the governmental experts shall give appropriate consideration to the information and material provided by the State party under review through the different means of communication described in the terms of reference of the Review Mechanism.

20. When seeking additional information and asking for clarification, governmental experts shall bear in mind the non-adversarial, non-intrusive and non-punitive character of the review and the overall goal of assisting the State party under review in reaching full implementation of the Convention.

21. Within one month after the receipt of the completed response to the comprehensive self-assessment checklist and any supplementary information provided by the State party under review, governmental experts shall submit to the secretariat the outcome of the desk review, including requests for clarification or additional information or supplementary questions, to be translated into the designated languages of the review and provided to the State party under review.

22. During the desk review, governmental experts shall avoid duplicating texts already contained in the comprehensive self-assessment checklist. The desk review is to be concise and factual and is to include solid reasoning

for the outcome of the desk review. Objective and impersonal language will aid understanding. When abbreviations and acronyms are used, these shall be defined upon their first use.

23. After the State party under review receives the outcome of the desk review, the secretariat will organize a telephone conference or videoconference bringing together the governmental experts of the reviewing States parties and the State party under review. During the conference call, governmental experts from the reviewing States parties shall introduce their parts of the desk review and explain the findings. The ensuing dialogue shall ideally last up to two months and consist of requests for additional information or specific questions from the governmental experts, to which the State party under review will respond, using various means of dialogue, including conference calls, videoconferences, e-mail exchanges or further means of direct dialogue as mentioned in paragraph 29 of the terms of reference of the Review Mechanism and specified below.

24. If agreed by the State party under review, the desk review should be complemented by any further means of direct dialogue, such as a country visit or a joint meeting at the United Nations Office at Vienna. The country visit or joint meeting at the United Nations Office at Vienna shall be planned and organized by the State party under review. While the secretariat will facilitate all practical arrangements, governmental experts shall take all necessary measures from their side to participate in the country visit or joint meeting at the United Nations Office at Vienna, bearing in mind paragraph 30 of the terms of reference.

25. During the country visit or joint meeting at the United Nations Office at Vienna, governmental experts shall uphold the principles and standards outlined in the general guidance above.

26. Governmental experts are expected to participate actively and constructively in all meetings, including in internal debriefings at the end of each working day or at the end of the country visit or joint meeting at the United Nations Office at Vienna.

27. Governmental experts are expected to be respectful and courteous in meetings, respecting time frames set in the programme and allowing time for all members to participate. At the same time, governmental experts are expected to be flexible, as the programme may change during the country visit or the joint meeting at the United Nations Office at Vienna.

28. Questions should seek to complement information already provided by the State party under review and relate only to the review process. Governmental experts shall therefore remain neutral and not voice personal opinions during the meetings.

29. Governmental experts are expected to take notes during all meetings, which they can refer to for the production of the final country review report. They shall share their opinions and preliminary findings in writing among themselves and with the secretariat within two weeks after the country visit or the joint meeting at the United Nations Office at Vienna.

30. At the final stage of the country review process and preferably within five months after the beginning of the review, based on the blueprint format, the governmental experts shall, with the assistance of the secretariat, prepare a draft country review report and send it to the State party under review in the designated language or languages of the review. The report shall identify successes, good practices and challenges and make observations for the implementation of the Convention. Where appropriate, the report shall include the identification of technical assistance needs for the purpose of improving implementation of the Convention. The comments of the State party under review shall be incorporated in the draft country review report.

31. Governmental experts shall include observations with respect to the implementation in national law of the articles of the Convention under review, as well as their application in practice.

32. Governmental experts shall further identify successes, good practices and challenges and make observations with respect to the implementation of the articles of the Convention under review and areas where technical assistance may be required.

33. At the request of the State party under review and as required, governmental experts may be asked to provide the State party under review with explanations of how to address the challenges identified so as to allow the State to fully and effectively implement the relevant articles of the Convention.

34. The secretariat shall send this draft country review report to the State party under review for agreement. In case of disagreement, there shall be a dialogue between the State party under review and the governmental experts to arrive at a consensual final report. An executive summary shall subsequently be prepared and agreed to.

Blueprint for country review reports and executive summaries

Review by [*names of reviewing States*] of the implementation by [*name of State under review*] of article[s] [*number(s) of articles*] of the United Nations Convention against Corruption for the review cycle [*time frame*]

I. Introduction

1. The Conference of the States Parties to the United Nations Convention against Corruption was established pursuant to article 63 of the Convention to, inter alia, promote and review the implementation of the Convention.

2. In accordance with article 63, paragraph 7, of the Convention, the Conference established at its third session, held in Doha from 9 to 13 November 2009, the Mechanism for the Review of Implementation of the Convention. The Mechanism was established also pursuant to article 4, paragraph 1, of the Convention, which states that States parties shall carry out their obligations under the Convention in a manner consistent with the principles of sovereign equality and territorial integrity of States and of non-intervention in the domestic affairs of other States.

3. The Review Mechanism is an intergovernmental process whose overall goal is to assist States parties in implementing the Convention.

4. The review process is based on the terms of reference of the Review Mechanism.

II. Process

5. The following review of the implementation by [*name of State under review*] of the Convention is based on the completed response to the comprehensive self-assessment checklist received from [*name of State under review*], and any supplementary information provided in accordance with paragraph 27 of the terms of reference of the Review Mechanism and the outcome of the constructive dialogue between the governmental

experts from [names of the two reviewing States and the State under review], by means of [telephone conferences, videoconferences, e-mail exchanges or any further means of direct dialogue in accordance with the terms of reference] and involving [names of experts involved].

[Optional paragraph 6:

Option 1

6. A country visit, agreed to by [name of State under review], was conducted from [date] to [date].

Option 2

6. A joint meeting between [name of State under review] and [names of reviewing States] was held at the United Nations Office at Vienna from [date] to [date].

Option 3

6. A country visit, agreed to by [name of State under review], was conducted from [date] to [date]; and a joint meeting between [name of State under review] and [names of reviewing States] was held at the United Nations Office at Vienna from [date] to [date].]

III. Executive summary

7. [Summary of the following:

(a) *Successes and good practices;*

(b) *Challenges in implementation, where applicable;*

(c) *Observations on the implementation of the articles under review;*

(d) *Technical assistance needs identified to improve implementation of the Convention.]*

IV. Implementation of the Convention

A. Ratification of the Convention

8. [Name of State under review] signed the Convention on [date] and ratified it on [date]. [Name of State under review] deposited its instrument of ratification with the Secretary-General on [date].

9. The implementing legislation—in other words, the *[title of act ratifying the Convention]*—was adopted by *[name of national legislative body]* on *[date]*, entered into force on *[date]* and was published in *[name, number and date of official publication communicating adoption of the act]*. The implementing legislation includes *[summary of ratification legislation]*.

B. Legal system of *[name of State under review]*

10. Article *[number of article]* of the Constitution states that *[discuss whether treaties are self-executing or require implementing legislation, where the Convention fits into the hierarchy of law etc.]*.

C. Implementation of selected articles

Article *[number of article]*

[Title of article]

[Text of the article, block indented]

(a) Summary of information relevant to reviewing the implementation of the article

11. *[Information provided by the State under review through the comprehensive self-assessment checklist and any supplementary information provided in accordance with paragraph 27 of the terms of reference of the Review Mechanism and in the context of the constructive dialogue]*

(b) Observations on the implementation of the article

12. *[Observations of the governmental experts with regard to the implementation of the article. Depending on the scope of the review cycle, findings with respect to the way in which national law has been brought into line with the article, as well as to the implementation of the article in practice]*

13. *[Observations on the status of implementation of the article, including successes, good practices and challenges in implementation]*

(c) Successes and good practices

14. *[Identification of successes and good practices in implementing the article, where applicable]*

(d) Challenges, where applicable

15. *[Identification of any challenges in implementation, where applicable]*

(e) Technical assistance needs

16. *[Identification of technical assistance needs, priorities and actions to improve implementation of the Convention, where applicable]*



UNODC

United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime

Vienna International Centre, PO Box 500, 1400 Vienna, Austria
Tel.: (+43-1) 26060-0, Fax: (+43-1) 26060-5866, www.unodc.org

Printed in Austria



V.11-80369—March 2011—1,000