

## **Israel\_Statement\_Agenda\_Item\_6**

### **Provisions on procedural measures and law enforcement.**

Thank you Madam Chair,

Israel wishes to thank the delegations that have spoken thus far on the procedural provisions. The answers they have provided are constructive in delineating in broad terms the possible contours of a future convention. Israel's observations should be construed along the same lines – these are preliminary comments, based on our initial assessments.

Regarding the placement of a provision on jurisdiction- from Israel's perspective, it makes sense to include such a provision in the chapter on general provisions or criminalization.

Regarding the scope of the chapter on procedural measures: similar to the Budapest Convention, as we mentioned yesterday, we propose that the measures relate to cybercrime as criminalized by the convention, as well as evidence collection for the investigation and prosecution of other crimes.

With respect to the question of human rights and safeguards, as noted yesterday, Israel supports a reference to, and inclusion of, core human rights principles relevant to the investigation and enforcement of cybercrime. To that end, we reserve our position with respect to the precise method of making such reference. If it is decided to refer to general principles, as suggested by the distinguished delegate of Japan yesterday, Israel's view is that these should include legality, necessity, proportionality and due process. At this point, we have a slight preference for this approach, but we are open to the suggestions of other delegations.

Thank you Madam Chair.