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The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development and the United Nations (UN) reform have underscored the need for heightened accountability within our UN system and the important role that evaluation plays in this regard. Equally gained was the importance of collective learning that focus on the realities on the ground, rather than on isolated interventions. The opportunity to work collaboratively across the UN system allowed us to put forward new and innovative modes for such collectively learning, collating evidence and sharing insights in readily digestible manner.

This report – the product of the first system-wide meta-synthesis of evaluation results from UN entities participating in the implementation of the UN Global Counter-Terrorism Strategy (UNGCTS) – is a prime example of how work can be delivered jointly and with the objective to contribute to learning and accountability at a global level. The basis for this work was laid in the launch of the UN Global Counter-Terrorism Coordination Compact (UNGCTCC) by the UN Secretary-General Antonio Guterres in 2018 and the subsequent establishment of eight inter-agency Working Groups to operationalize coordination and coherence, including one dedicated to Resource Mobilization, Monitoring and Evaluation (RMME WG). This structure has allowed for the linking of monitoring, evaluation, and thematic counter-terrorism experts to identify comprehensive responses to the most pressing accountability needs – itself a best practice. Seed funding from the State of Qatar provided by the United Nations Office of Counter-Terrorism (UNOCT) through the Working Group, combined with in-kind contributions from UNOCT together with the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC), the United Nations Interregional Crime and Justice Research Institute (UNICRI) and the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) and the time and effort of involved staff in the various Compact entities, have furthermore enabled this valuable report to be developed and bring to light the insights at an aggregate level of achievements of the UN towards implementing the UNGCTS. By mainstreaming human rights and gender equality considerations in this work, the report also ensures that results relating to vulnerable and underrepresented groups are also placed at centre stage for subsequent work of Compact entities.

The United Nations Evaluation Group (UNEG), being the primary platform that brings together the evaluation units of the UN system commends and welcomes these efforts. This is a highly useful report, which I am confident will facilitate learning, the sharing of experiences, reporting on results and, ultimately, help us all to enhance accountability with our UN system. It can be a model for future similar work and a practical first step for Compact entities towards a system-wide evaluation of the results achieved under the UNGCTS).

Masahiro Igarashi
Director, Office of Evaluation, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO)
UNEG Chair
Ms. Elca Stigter, Senior Evaluation and Criminal Justice Expert:

The report ‘Learn Better, Together: Independent Meta-Synthesis under the Global Counter-Terrorism Strategy’ has been the outcome of the daunting task of conducting a meta-synthesis of evaluation and other oversight reports, and aggregate data on the basis of the Theory of Change (ToC) developed in close cooperation with the Subgroup on Evaluation of the WG RMME of the UNGCTCC.

The meta-synthesis has followed UNEG and UNODC standards for evaluation reports; the quality assurance assessment criteria were adapted from the UNEG Quality Checklist for Evaluation Reports and UNODC Evaluation Quality Assessment template (version 2020). The quality assurance process was undertaken in parallel with the drafting of the report. With an average rating of 8,4 out of 10 points, the validity of the meta-synthesis has been assessed in a positive light. The limitations given in the beginning of the report, as well as various lessons learned and recommendations at the very end, clearly offered insights into some of the challenges and obstacles encountered in conducting the meta-synthesis, as well as the tasks ahead for UN Global Compact entities with respect to harmonizing the Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) framework, establishing a knowledge platform, developing M&E capacity, and supporting grounded research. A full-fledged evaluation of the UNGCTS could confirm the overall direction to be taken by offering robust findings for the road ahead.
INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

The UNGCTS was adopted by consensus by the UN General Assembly (UNGA) on 8 September 2006. This strategy is a global instrument, which seeks to enhance national, regional, and international efforts to counter terrorism. The UNGA reviews the UNGCTS every two years, making it a living document attuned to Member States (MS)’ counter-terrorism (CT) priorities. To facilitate coordination of CT work, UN Secretary-General António Guterres launched The UN Counter-Terrorism Compact Coordination Committee (CTC) in December 2018. This coordination committee is responsible for providing oversight and strategic level guidance to the inter-agency Working Groups on the implementation of the UNGCTS), in accordance with the coordination and implementation frameworks outlined in the UNGCTCC. The committee is chaired by the Under-Secretary-General for Counterterrorism and comprises representatives of all Compact entities, as well as the Chairs and Vice-Chairs of its eight Working Groups. In July 2019, the CTC adopted its first Joint Programme of Work, for 2019-2020, to guide its work and that of its Working Groups. UNOCT provides Secretariat support to the CTC.

DESCRIPTION AND OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

This study was commissioned by the Subgroup on Evaluation of the RMME WG of the UNGCTCC. It sought to aggregate and synthesize the results of evaluation and other oversight reports produced under the aegis of the UNGCTS. The overall goal of the study was to better inform policy formulation and decision-making, and ultimately technical assistance delivery to MS. It encompassed all available evaluations and other relevant oversight, reviews and assessment reports produced by Global Compact entities since January 2018. In line with the SG’s report A/73/866 to further strengthen evaluation framework for the UN to better assess the results of its CT work and encourage peer learning through the dissemination of good practices, the study synthesized evidence from evaluations conducted by the Compact entities implementing the UNGCTS. It is also useful in the context of the 2030 Agenda, which requires information beyond the individual project and programme, to inform decision-making at the highest political levels.

PURPOSE, SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

As per the ToC developed for this meta-synthesis, the overall objective of the UNGCTS is to prevent and reduce global terrorism and its associated effects. It has three specific objectives. Specific objective 1 (SO1) pertains to Pillar I that seeks to minimize the conditions conducive to the spread of terrorism. SO2 pertains to Pillars II and III, which seek to strengthen the infrastructure and systems to prevent and combat terrorism. SO3 seeks to increase respect for human rights and rule of law as the fundamental basis of the fight against terrorism (Pillar IV).

The study followed a mixed-method, inclusive and participatory approach with adequate triangulation to arrive at as credible, reliable, and unbiased findings as possible. It was carried out in close collaboration with the Sub-Group on Evaluation of the RMME WG consisting of UNODC, UNOCT, UNICRI and UNDP representatives. A total of 118 documents including mid-term and final evaluations, end of project reports, special reports, syntheses, audits, oversight, strategic reviews, and assessment reports available from Global Compact entities, among others were reviewed and included in content analysis. The findings were analyzed using a ToC developed and validated in the inception phase. This process was complemented with survey and interviews of the key informants, to the extent feasible and within the limitations outlined in the methodology section. The methodological approach, draft and final report were peer reviewed and independently assessed.
META-SYNTHESIS UNDER THE GLOBAL COUNTER-TERRORISM STRATEGY

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

SO1 seeks to minimize the conditions conducive to the spread of terrorism. There are six outcomes that help achieve this objective: (1) increased capacity for successful prevention and peaceful resolution of unresolved conflicts; (2) increased inculcation of a culture of peace, justice, rule of law and human rights; (3) reduction in incitement to commit a terrorist act or acts; (4) reduced perceptions on marginalization and victimization; (5) national systems of assistance that promote the needs of victims of terrorism and their families and facilitate the normalization of their lives are put in place; and, (6) timely and full realization of the development goals and objectives agreed at the major UN summits.

SO2 pertains to Pillars II and III, which seek to strengthen the infrastructure and systems to prevent and combat terrorism. Eight outcomes undergird the achievements of this objective: (1) increased international cooperation among MS towards prevention and reduction of terrorism; (2) increased number of MS who join and implement the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime (UNTOC) and the three protocols supplementing it; (3) increased number of MS who take appropriate measures to protect asylum for legitimate reasons, while guarding against its abuse for terrorist activity; (4) relevant regional and subregional organizations create and/or strengthen CT mechanisms or centers; (5) MS implement the comprehensive international standards embodied in the Forty Recommendations on Money-Laundering and Nine Special Recommendations on Terrorist Financing of the Financial Action Task Force; (6) fair, transparent and humanitarian international travel and transport practices that are also effective at curbing opportunities for terrorism; (7) increased security and protection of particularly vulnerable targets such as infrastructure and public places; and, (8) strengthened implementation of the international conventions and protocols related to the prevention and suppression of terrorism and relevant UN resolutions.

Finally, SO3 seeks to increase respect for human rights and rule of law as the fundamental basis of the fight against terrorism (Pillar IV). Three outcomes are expected to contribute towards the achievement of this objective, which are: (1) increase in the number of MS which implement the fundamental framework for the protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms while countering terrorism; (2) increase in the number of MS that are parties to and implement the core international instruments on human rights law, refugee law and international humanitarian law; and, (3) increase in number of MS that develop and maintain an effective and rule of law-based national criminal justice system for preventing and reducing terrorism.

The meta-synthesis found adequate qualitative evidence to suggest that visible progress in achieving most of these outcomes (excepting those pertaining to human rights on which limited information was available) was being made. It found adequate evidence to conclude that the Compact entities were delivering a wide variety of vital technical assistance and support to MS. There was no evidence to suggest that any delay or cost overruns were affecting delivery of planned outputs in any significant manner. It was readily apparent that the Compact agencies were contributing to achieving change in knowledge and awareness. There was also some evidence to suggest behavioral change in the immediate and intermediate period, however not enough information was available on the long-term changes towards inculcating a culture of peace, justice, rule of law and human rights in targeted countries.

While progress on achieving most of the outcomes envisaged in the ToC was apparent, it was also evident that most of the available evidence was inadequate to assess (not to mention quantify) the extent to which these outcomes were being achieved. This is true not just with respect to second-order outcomes with complex causal chains such as behavioral changes, but even with respect to the first-order outcomes such as change in knowledge and awareness.

While qualitative evidence suggested a reduction in incitement to commit terrorist acts, more definitive information was needed to assess overall impact and specific contributions of various Compact entities. The meta-synthesis, likewise, noted that while the reviewed reports described in general terms high-level of
satisfaction with the interventions, concrete data on the outcomes and impacts (e.g., level of trust between communities, or between communities and government institutions) of these interventions was not available. Similar patterns were observable across other notable outcomes. Qualitative evidence suggested an increased international cooperation among MS on Prevention of Violent Extremism (PVE) and CT. While the meta-synthesis found adequate evidence for the promotion of international cooperation among MS towards PVE/CT, more evidence was needed to conclude how closely Compact entities themselves collaborate with each other in supporting MS.

Overall, the meta-synthesis noted evidence for success as well as areas where perceptible improvements can be made. Even more importantly, it identified large gaps in availability of evidence that require more information to make a determination on the degree of success. Thus, the meta-synthesis concluded the need for a full-fledged evaluation of the UNGCTS. Such an evaluation will also help identify evaluation capacities (and gaps), including on the need for investment into producing data necessary to measure change, as well as in development of a common evaluation framework across disparate Compact entities.

BEST PRACTICES

This section synthesizes best practices, identified in the reports reviewed, that have relevance beyond specific projects and programmes. The findings are organized by their potential significance and not by sheer count of observations. To some degree, they have been generalized to be relevant for 37 disparate Compact agencies (and beyond).

1) **Multi-dimensional approaches** that combined context-specific technical assistance, capacity-building, mentorship, community empowerment, unity forums, advocacy groups, attention to religious and cultural elements, and, use of multimedia messaging incorporating theatre and radio, were found to be most effective at achieving their intended goals (see, for example, findings on outcome 1.3).

2) **Partnerships**: The successful examples that stood out invariably involved leveraging partnerships to achieve either a larger or a wider impact or both. Partnering with civil society organizations (CSOs), academia, private sector, and regional bodies to go beyond traditional partnerships with MS and other international organizations was found to accelerate achievement of intended results. Some of the most successful interventions found ways to leverage youth interests — arts, sports, media, informal learning, and personal relationships — to teach peace-building skills.

3) **Critical mass**: Projects that focused on the achievement of a critical minimum number (“mass”) of participants were reported to be not just more effective, but also more sustainable.

4) **Community service models**: Community service efforts combined with new attitudes of social responsibility generated a sense of greater belonging, serving the implicit goal of social cohesion. These positive models of community belonging were more effective than negative models in terms of creating sustained changes in behavior and senses of communal obligations.

5) **Establishing networks**: Building networks that bring together practitioners for exchanging valuable information with the aim of promoting collaboration emerged as a best practice for promoting sustainability.

6) **Developing institutional infrastructure**: Projects that relied on construction and rehabilitation of socio-economic infrastructure and strengthening the capacities of vulnerable groups such as young people, refugees, and displaced persons were found to be more effective at preventing radicalization.

7) **Strategic communications**: Strategic communications via social media were reported to play a vital role in prevention and countering violent extremism narrative.

8) **Evidence-based programming**: The best designed programmes start with robust research, pay upfront attention to implementation plans including on continuous data collection for monitoring, pursue a long-term strategy, and adjust as needed to stay on track.
9) **Gender-responsive interventions**: Effective gender-sensitive and gender-responsive projects address the root causes of violence and discrimination against women in all spheres of life. Best practices involve mainstreaming gender into institutional policies, regulations, protocols, guidelines, and communication strategies, as well as designing, implementing, monitoring, and evaluating programmes, policies, and strategies, which are intentional in engaging women, promoting the realization of women’s rights, and strengthening women’s economic independence.

10) **Monitoring and evaluation**: Using standardized surveys and shared databases across countries and organizations is a best practice for enhancing the consistency and international comparability of counterterrorism statistics and use of data by national governments.

**LESSONS LEARNED**

The lessons presented below, *identified in the reports reviewed*, offer a synthesis from the generalizable perspective of multiple Compact entities, while those of relevance only to individual entities are left to be covered in internal reviews of relevant entities.

1) **Funding model**: The project-based funding model emerged as one of the most significant challenges to adopting a strategic and programmatic approach to CT. Since the funding of CT is not institutionalized, it is primarily dependent on donors’ interests.

2) **Beneficiaries and participants as co-creators**: Involving targeted beneficiaries (rights holders) throughout the process was identified as an important issue. Maintaining contact with them from design and implementation to follow-up monitoring and eventual evaluation needs to be incorporated in related logistics and budgetary needs assessments.

3) **Field staff as co-developers**: Field staff should ideally be empowered to drive the design and implementation processes based on their intimate knowledge of local culture and context. At a minimum, including them in core coordination and cross-sectoral groups was found to help build a closer relationship between the field and headquarters (HQ).

4) **Complementary interventions** significantly increase both the likelihood and sustainability of success (e.g., business loans and training, vocational training and labor market access, and advocacy campaigns with literacy education).

5) **Anticipate resistance to change**: Despite good intentions to include women (as well as youth and other marginalized groups), projects often underestimate social resistance to their participation. These aspects should be paid closer attention to if the projects are to make a meaningful contribution.

6) **Mentoring**: The importance of mentoring key stakeholders was recognized for long-term and sustainable changes.

7) **Instrumentalization of the religion**: The instrumentalization of religion that serves political objectives and/or legitimates violent extremism, though challenging, is clearly understudied. This is a gap in our understanding that calls for rigorous research.

8) **Environment and risk management**: There is growing recognition of the role environment and climate change plays in disasters and radicalization (as in the case in Sahelian countries such as Mali). Evaluators have started identifying specific lessons pertaining to risk management in this regard.

9) **Evaluation methodologies**: Barring notable exceptions as noted earlier, most evaluation reports used perceptual measures such as interview and survey data, often without incorporating statistical methodologies that establish their validity and reliability. As far as feasible, Compact agencies would do well to encourage increased triangulation by incorporating objective data and methodologies, including archival and big data as well as impact evaluation and experimental designs, to validate their findings.
10) **Evaluation capacity development**: The need for building evaluation capacity of programmes/projects/organizations was widely recognized. These suggestions included training in development and use of common indicators for outcomes and impacts, incorporating measurement strategies in programmes and projects, and encouraging a culture of quality monitoring and evaluation by key M&E specialists.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

**Recommendation 1 - A full-fledged evaluation**: While this meta-synthesis provides a comprehensive look at information gleaned from 118 evaluation and other oversight reports, it was intended to be a building block towards a full-fledged, independent evaluation of the UNGCTS. Therefore, the Sub-Group on Evaluation, in coordination and cooperation with all Compact entities, should raise resources to initiate such an evaluation to derive robust findings on results achieved, good practices, and lessons learned.

*Timeframe: To be initiated within the next 9-12 months, or once sufficient funding is available (Proposed action: Sub-Group on Evaluation of the RMME WG; in coordination and cooperation with all Compact entities)*

**Recommendation 2 - Knowledge platform**: The meta-synthesis established preliminary evidence to suggest the need for establishing a knowledge platform for sharing knowledge. It is recommended to identify, leverage, or build on already available systems (e.g., UNOCT’s “Connect & Learn” platform) for sharing knowledge for disseminating relevant evaluation and oversight results to the Global CT Compact entities.

*Timeframe: To be initiated within the next 12-18 months (Proposed action: Sub-Group on Evaluation of the RMME WG)*

**Recommendation 3 - Evaluation capacity and common M&E framework**: The meta-synthesis made clear the need for assessing and developing evaluation capacity among the Compact entities as well as indicated the absence of a common M&E framework of initiatives under the UNGCTS. It is recommended to initiate activities for (a) strengthening evaluation knowledge and capacities of internal and external stakeholders as well as (b) developing a common M&E framework for the UNGCTS for identifying and measuring results and impact of the work conducted by all Compact entities under the UNGCTS.

*Timeframe: To be initiated within the next 12-18 months (Proposed Action: Sub-Group on Evaluation of the RMME WG)*

**Recommendation 4 - Policy dialogue on counter-terrorism**: This meta-synthesis calls for UNOCT to contribute to equipping the international community and donor States with the knowledge base necessary for engaging in sustained and open policy dialogue on what constitutes terrorism and CT and how best to reconcile CT measures and humanitarian action (especially if the full-fledged evaluation validates this finding). This work should encompass all relevant CT/PVE sectors as well as the humanitarian community at both HQ and field level.

*Timeframe: To be initiated within the next 24-36 months (Proposed coordination: UNOCT in coordination with all Compact entities)*

**Recommendation 5 - Grounded research**: Compact entities should partner with research sectors of the UN and beyond to leverage existing research and to study what works and what does not. Due to the limited knowledge on how people are radicalized and driven to violent extremism, investment in research activities is essential to enlarge the intellectual horizon, stimulate critical thinking and produce new understandings and approaches on contemporary violence, asymmetrical conflicts, and peacebuilding.

---

1 These recommendations are arranged sequentially in the order in which they should ideally be undertaken and the management response, coordinated by the RMME WG, should be based on an inclusive process, and provide dedicated responsibilities and steps for implementation. Please find the full details for each recommendation in the respective chapter.
Timeframe: To be initiated as feasible by individual Compact entities or different Working Groups (Proposed coordination: UNOCT, UNICRI and UNODC in cooperation with all Compact entities)

RECOMMENDATION 6 – Special attention to human rights issues: This meta-synthesis found limited evidence of an increase in respect for human rights and rule of law as the fundamental basis of the fight against terrorism. While training of criminal justice and law enforcement officials was contributing towards this goal, it also appeared that MS need tailored technical assistance for fully implementing fundamental freedoms and human rights and for consistently maintaining an effective rule of law-based national criminal justice systems. Therefore, it is recommended to identify concrete measures for strengthening technical assistance to MS, increase resources and capacity of Compact entities for effective mainstreaming of human rights and fully consider the support provided by Compact entities in the full-fledged evaluation (ref recommendation 1) to strengthen human rights.

Timeframe: To be initiated as feasible by individual Compact entities (Proposed coordination: UNOCT Working Group on Human Rights in consultation with the RMME WG and the Sub-Group on Evaluation)
BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT

Terrorism refers to the use of violence to create “terror” and fear. The narrative accounts on terrorism are diversified and are based on different perspectives, “representations” and “perceptions” of what is in fact “lived”. During the years 2000-2010, the fear that this phenomenon generated among the public was often the main endorsing factor of security policies that aimed at fighting terrorism around the world. But terror and fear, under-estimated or amplified, are emotional factors and cannot be easily measured. Perception studies on terrorism and radicalization have shown that the level of fear may often be inversely proportional to the actual level of danger. The level of fear can be high in countries where the terrorist risk is rather low and its potential lethal impact relatively limited. In countries where terrorism and violent extremism destabilize entire states and impact the society at large, we may observe on the contrary that communities directly confronted with frightful events have often developed a more rational risk assessment or even desensitization to their situation.

Individual victims of, or those indirectly affected by terrorism and violence, often express their capacity to live with a certain level of risk if, or as long as, the state or other perceived authority can guarantee them other aspects of their basic needs: access to housing, food, health, education, etc. This “informal” risk assessment established by vulnerable communities is indicative of what kind of CT operations are needed from the perspective of the victims. It also shows how individuals affected by precarious and painful circumstances can reinforce their resistance and resilience. It is also a reminder from the people’s perspective that the solution to terrorism and violent extremism cannot be only military and/or penal.

This perception of danger and the risk assessment expressed in terms of representation and perception are also in phase with the UN75 global consultation (January-September 2020) where the respondents showed that immediate and long-term priorities for a safer world concern a variety of issues. One million people responded to this online survey, called “The Future We Want, The UN We Need”, to identify key findings and top priorities for immediate post-COVID recovery and long-term prosperity. From this perspective, terrorism is a threat that may have external and internal drivers which are not necessarily ideological or religious. Thus, it is crucial to distinguish between symptoms and social, economic, and political root causes, which are often intertwined. There is much to be learned from this difference in perceptions about fear generated by terrorist activity, which may then help to better identify interventions that more accurately address the concerns of target audiences.

OVERALL CONCEPT AND DESIGN

The Global Counter-Terrorism Strategy amid the UN wider strategic context

In the 21st century, terrorism remains a critical and politically highly sensitive issue constantly evolving and rapidly mutating over time and space. When a threat evolves so rapidly, the challenge is to determine how to
adequately adjust policies, strategies and programmes countering terrorism. This is precisely the raison d’être of the UNGCTS. This Strategy, based on four main pillars, is itself both oriented and framed around a certain number of guiding principles, past and future, of the UN (see figure below): the four founding pillars of the UN³ (1945), the three pillars of the UN Reform (2017) and the seventeen Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) specified in the 2030 Agenda (for more details on the pillars of the UNGCTS in the framework of the guided principles and priorities of the UN, see Annex VI).

Figure 1: Counter-Terrorism Compact structure

³ These pillars are (1) Peace and Security, (2) Human Rights, (3) Rule of Law and (4) Development.
This study was commissioned to aggregate and synthesize the results of evaluation and other oversight reports produced under the aegis of the UNGCTS. It was undertaken within the framework of the Sub-Group on Evaluation of the RMME WG of the UNGCTCC.

The overall goal of the study is to better inform policy formulation and decision-making, and ultimately technical assistance delivery to MS. It encompassed all available evaluations and other relevant oversight and assessment reports produced by Global Compact entities since January 2018, as specified in the terms of reference. It is fully in line with the SG’s report A/73/866 to further strengthen evaluation frameworks for the UN to better assess the results of its CT work and encourage peer learning through the dissemination of good practices. It provides synthesized evidence from evaluations conducted by the Compact entities implementing the UNGCTS, thus enabling better utilization of already available information. It is also useful in the context of the 2030 Agenda, which requires information beyond the individual project and programme, to inform decision-making at the highest political levels.

The meta-synthesis contributes to Pillar 3 of the UNGCTS on Measures to build states’ capacity to prevent and combat terrorism and to strengthen the role of the UN system in that regard, while cutting across all Pillars. It also lays the grounds for a strategic evaluation of the UNGCTS. Furthermore, it offers insights into what future evaluations on CT and PVE can do to support the achievement of results envisaged in the UNGCTS. Lastly, it informs future work regarding the development and systematization of common monitoring and evaluation frameworks, approaches, and knowledge-management systems.

This meta-synthesis followed a mixed-method, inclusive and participatory approach with adequate triangulation to arrive at as credible, reliable, and unbiased findings as best as possible. It utilized a mixture of primary and secondary sources of data. Evaluation and oversight reports were the chief source of data. The other primary data sources included interviews and an online survey of key stakeholders. The methodological approach, draft and final report were peer reviewed and independently assessed by two external reviewers – contracted through the Independent Evaluation Section, UNODC - to assess the validity and utility of the study.

The meta-synthesis began by collecting documents available from any entity or observer of the UNGCTCC. More than 200 documents were obtained through this process. After removing duplicates and extraneous documents without much substantive value, a total of 118 documents were coded using NVivo, a qualitative data analysis software, with the purpose of extracting data relevant to achievement of objectives and outcomes identified in the ToC and associated Logical Framework for the UNGCTS (See next section for more details). These documents included 61 evaluations (including programme, project, organizational and thematic evaluations), 53 reviews (including special reports, strategic reviews, and synthesis) and 4 audit reports.

4 The criteria for inclusion are discussed in the subsequent sections, especially in the methodology.
5 Within the limitations mentioned in the next section.
reports. These documents were either collected from the website of Global Compact entities or obtained from representatives of WG members of the Global Compact entities. Frequency analysis was used to convert content from relevant reports into quantitative data by recording frequency of mentions within the revised documents, as well as specifically extracting lessons learned, best practices and recommendations.

These reports came from a total of 18 different Compact entities (see Annex III for the desk review list). 51% (60/118) of these reports were from UNDP, 12% UNODC, and the rest from various Compact entities as shown in Figure 3. These reports provided information relevant to all pillars of the UNGCTS. 100 reports covered projects that sought to minimize conditions conducive to the spread of terrorism (Pillar I), followed by 61 reports on increasing respect for human rights and rule of law as fundamental basis of the fight against terrorism (Pillar IV), and 41 reports on strengthening infrastructure and systems to prevent and combat terrorism are strengthened (Pillars II and III). While 70% of the reviewed documents used both qualitative and quantitative methods, 30% relied only on qualitative methods. 88% of the primary sources were in English, 9% in French, while 2% were in Spanish.

Although evaluation and other oversight reports were the primary source of information, interviews and an online survey supplemented this information. The meta-synthesis endeavored to carry out key informant interviews with representatives of WG members/Global Compact entities. A total of 9 online semi-structured interviews with 10 interviewees (4 females and 6 males) were conducted to collect project-specific and contextual information. Interviewees (Annex IV) represented 7 Compact entities (UNDP, UNODC, International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO), International Criminal Police Organization (INTERPOL), UNOCT, United Nations Department of Peace Operations (DPO) and the Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms while countering terrorism SR/HR CT. They included a variety of stakeholders with expertise in evaluation, CT, project management and senior management. While only a handful of Compact entities were available for interviews, these provided rich, in-depth qualitative information to complement information extracted from the documents.
Further, an online survey via SurveyMonkey obtained feedback from a wider range of Global Compact entities. 29 respondents from 12 Global Compact entities participated in the survey (Annex IV).

The relevant key focal points of UNODC, UNOCT, UNICRI and UNDP in the WG RMME Subgroup on Evaluation were closely engaged throughout the process to guide the selection of evaluation reports, co-design the codebook for analysis, and provide regular feedback to ensure the utility of results and deliverables.

Overall, this meta-synthesis report collated and documented the best evidence available for achievement (or not) of objectives and outcomes for the UNGCTS. It also documented gaps that are identifiable at this stage.

**LIMITATIONS TO THE META-SYNTHESIS**

This report primarily summarizes the findings of prior evaluation and other oversight reports. As a derivative product, the validity of the findings is thus limited by the quality and quantity of information available in the prior reports, which is partly related to the purpose various evaluations are conducted and partly to methodological variations in the way evaluations are conducted at various agencies. This report made the best use of available data and sources, including interviews and survey to mitigate these limitations to the extent possible.

The limited timeframe for conducting this assignment and summer period adversely effected the response rate. As this report is primarily based on a synthesis of findings from other reports, this is not a major limitation. However, increased participation would have helped with both increased access to other products and better triangulation of some of the findings included in this report.
The ToC, presented in Figure 4, underlying the UNGCTS was derived from the UN Global Counter-Terrorism Strategy (A/RES/60/288) solely for the purpose of aggregating and reporting the findings across various Global Compact entities. Its linkages to the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development are shown in Annex VI. The synthesis team also developed a more detailed results framework associated with this ToC, which includes indicators and data sources (See Annex VII). The ToC and results framework were shared with the RMME WG for validation. It was also presented at a meeting of the RMME WG in July 2021.

The ToC is discussed in the order of a logical chain from the right-side (intended impacts/ultimate goals) to the left-side (outputs). To realize a vision of “A world free of terror and extremist violence”, the overall objective of the UNGCTS is to “prevent and reduce global terrorism” (and its associated effects). The UNGCTS specifies four areas of work to realize this objective, which are here grouped under three specific objectives, with Pillars II and III combined for the sake of brevity due to their similar goals.

SO1 pertains to Pillar I that seeks to minimize the conditions conducive to the spread of terrorism. The actions specified under this pillar aim at achieving six outcomes:

1) Increased capacity for successful prevention and peaceful resolution of unresolved conflicts.
2) Increased inculcation of a culture of peace, justice, rule of law and human rights.
3) Reduction in incitement to commit a terrorist act or acts.
4) Reduced perceptions on marginalization and victimization.
5) National systems of assistance that promote the needs of victims of terrorism and their families and facilitate the normalization of their lives are put in place; and,
6) Timely and full realization of the development goals and objectives agreed at the major United Nations conferences and summits.

The outputs that will help UN entities achieve these outcomes are shown in Figure 4: ToC underlying UNGCTS

SO2 pertains to Pillars II and III, which seek to strengthen the infrastructure and systems to prevent and combat terrorism. Eight outcomes undergird achievement of this objectives, which are:

1) Increased international cooperation among MS towards prevention and reduction of terrorism.
2) Increased number of MS who join and implement the UNTOC and the three protocols supplementing it.
3) Increased number of MS who take appropriate measures to protect asylum for legitimate reasons, while guarding against its abuse for terrorist activity.
4) Relevant regional and subregional organizations create and/or strengthen CT mechanisms or centers;
5) MS implement the comprehensive international standards embodied in the Forty Recommendations on Money-Laundering and Nine Special Recommendations on Terrorist Financing of the Financial Action Task Force (FATF).
6) Fair, transparent, and humanitarian international travel and transport practices that are also effective at curbing opportunities for terrorism.
7) Increased security and protection of particularly vulnerable targets such as infrastructure and public places; and,
8) Strengthened implementation of the international conventions and protocols related to the prevention and suppression of terrorism and relevant UN resolutions.
SO3 seeks to increase respect for human rights and rule of law as the fundamental basis of the fight against terrorism (Pillar IV). Three outcomes are expected to contribute towards the achievement of this objective. These are:

1) Increase in the number of MS which implement the fundamental framework for the protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms while countering terrorism.

2) Increase in the number of MS that are parties to and implement the core international instruments on human rights law, refugee law and international humanitarian law; and,

3) Increase in number of MS that develop and maintain an effective and rule of law-based national criminal justice system for preventing and reducing terrorism.

As shown in Figure 4, these objectives and outcomes are interlinked and support the achievement of the overall objective. The indicators that were used for the meta-synthesis are included in the results matrix, as is any data that is collected on the achievement of more advanced outcomes (such as changes in laws, behavior, etc.).

Overall, this ToC not just provides a framework for the current meta-synthesis, but it can also serve as a basis or starting point for a potential full-fledged evaluation of the UNGCTS, including identification of evaluation capacities and development of potential evaluation frameworks across Compact entities.
### Theory of Change

**Outputs**

- Capacity development of UN in relevant conflict prevention and peacebuilding areas
- Interventions aimed at encouraging dialogue and tolerance
- Scaled up cooperation and assistance in rule of law, human rights and governance
- Measures in accordance with respective obligations under international law
- Development and social inclusion interventions, youth unemployment to reduce marginalization and sense of victimization
- Technical assistance and capacity development
- Preventing MS to refrain from terrorist activities including use of their territories for acts of terror
- Comprehensive database on biological incidents
- Efforts to counter terrorism on internet
- Support to improve border and customs control
- Harmonized reporting and knowledge sharing
- Advocacy, technical assistance, capacity building
- Counter-terrorism mechanisms or centers
- Combat money laundering and terror financing
- Terrorist-travel related obligations
- Strengthen effectiveness of travel ban
- Secure manufacturing of ID and travel document
- IMCO, WCO, ICAO support MS identify shortfalls in transport security
- UN support for response to terrorist attacks by improving coordination
- Support for security of vulnerable targets
- UNODC facilitates technical assistance to MS
- IAEA and OPCW help states to ensure security and respond in case of attacks
- WHO helps states prevent and prepare for biological attacks
- Advocacy and support for implementation of GA resolution 69/158 of 16 Dec 2015
- Advocacy, technical assistance and capacity development
- Strengthened operational capacity of the OHCHR for Human Rights, HRG, and Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms.

**Outcomes**

- Increased capacity for prevention and peaceful resolution of unresolved conflicts
- Increased incitement of a culture of peace, justice, rule of law and human rights
- Reduction in incitement to commit terrorist acts
- Reduced perceptions on marginalization and victimization
- National systems of assistance that promote the needs of victims of terrorism and their families and facilitate the normalization of their lives are put in place
- Realization of the development goals and objectives agreed at major UN conferences and summits
- Increased international cooperation among MS towards prevention and reduction of terrorism
- Increased number of MS who join and implement UNTOC and the protocols supplementing it.
- Increased number of MS who take appropriate measures to protect asylum for legitimate reasons.
- Relevant regional and subregional organizations create and/or strengthen counter-terrorism mechanisms or centers
- MS implement international standards embodied in the 40 recommendations on Money-Laundering and 9 recommendations on Terrorist Financing of FATF
- Fair, transparent and humanitarian international travel and transport practices that are also effective at curbing opportunities for terrorism
- Increased security and protection of vulnerable targets
- Strengthened implementation of conventions & protocols related to prevention and suppression of terrorism and relevant UN resolutions
- Reduced use and danger from nuclear, chemical, or radiological materials in terror
- Increased number of MS implement fundamental framework for the “Protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms while countering terrorism”
- MS parties to and implement core international instruments on human rights law, refugee law and international humanitarian law
- Increased number of MS develop and maintain rule of law-based national criminal justice system for preventing and reducing terrorism.

**Specific objectives**

- **SO1**: The conditions conducive to the spread of terrorism are minimized (Pillar I)
- **SO2**: The infrastructure and systems to prevent and combat terrorism is strengthened (Pillars II & III)
- **SO3**: Increased respect for human rights & rule of law as the fundamental basis of the fight against terrorism (Pillar IV)

**Overall objective**

- **Prevention and reduction in global terrorism**

**Vision**

- A world free of terror and extremist violence

**Assumptions**

- Adequate resources available.
- Member state continue to support UN’s global CT agenda.
- External events do not significantly constrain the CT agenda.

---

*Figure 4: Theory of Change underlying the United Nations Global Counter-Terrorism Strategy*
STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE 1: MINIMIZING CONDITIONS CONDUCIVE TO THE SPREAD OF TERRORISM

This section is organized according to the outcomes that contribute to the achievement of SO1 pertaining to Pillar I of the UNGCTS. 100 of 118 reports (85%) included in this meta-analysis contained evidence relevant to preventing or minimizing conditions conducive to the spread of terrorism. Figure 5 below summarizes the number of reports and references to key terms, included in the chart, that were observed in coded documents. If an outcome had less than 5 references, it was left out of the graph below for the sake of brevity (though discussed in the text). The key details from the graph below are discussed at length under each outcome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome 1.1</th>
<th>Outcome 1.2</th>
<th>Outcome 1.3</th>
<th>Outcome 1.4</th>
<th>Outcome 1.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dialogue</td>
<td>Technical support</td>
<td>Capacity development</td>
<td>Knowledge Exchange</td>
<td>Advisory Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of reports</td>
<td>1117</td>
<td>3694</td>
<td>34207</td>
<td>1584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of references by term</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5: References to key outcomes in coded documents (Specific objective 1)

OUTCOME 1.1: INCREASED CAPACITY FOR SUCCESSFUL PREVENTION AND PEACEFUL RESOLUTION OF CONFLICTS.

73 reports mentioned capacity building and 34 reports capacity development (In total, 96 reports touched on some aspect of this outcome). These reports provided rich information on a variety of technical support, including capacity development, knowledge exchange, advisory services, and networking, provided by the Compact agencies for prevention and resolution of conflicts. For instance, UNOCT’s 2020 Annual Report specified that over 450 PVE/CT projects that were being implemented by 20 UN entities, benefiting more than 95 MS in all regions, and addressing all priority areas recommended by the United Nations Plan of Action to Prevent Violent Extremism. It also mentioned that the UN had supported the PVE/CT strategies and/or action

---

As the documents reviewed include a variety of reports including strategic reviews, evaluation of global programmes, and organization-wide audits and evaluations, it is not possible to ascertain how many projects are covered by these 118 reports. Any such attempt will likely result in duplicative counting in some cases and undercounting in others.
plans of 25 MS and two regional organizations. In addition to agencies such as DPO, UNODC, UNICRI and UNOCT for which this is their main mandate, even primarily development-focused agencies realize the significance of peace for development. Thus, UNDP reported that it was supporting governments and CSOs in 34 countries through integrated programming on livelihoods, governance and the rule of law, conflict prevention and peacebuilding, conflict sensitivity and gender equality.

Since training and capacity development is a major component — a steppingstone for achieving other outcomes — of these efforts, the first step for the synthesis was to examine the evidence in this regard. The synthesis tallied that at least 164,350 persons had been trained across 39 training events documented in the evaluation reports reviewed (Annex IX). These included a wide variety of participants ranging from law enforcement and judiciary to youth and religious leaders. Most of these reports only documented the outcome of such trainings in qualitative terms, which meant that overall satisfaction or knowledge change rates cannot be ascertained in quantitative terms. The impact of training and capacity development in CT in general is not particularly evidenced in the research literature and the studies conducted by Non-Governmental Organization (NGOs) either (see Annex VIII for more on this issue), partly due to funding and methodological challenges such as lack of experimental, behavioral, or other data obtained at pre-defined timeframes before and after interventions.

However, qualitative evidence appeared to be positive overall. After listing some prominent examples of results achieved, notable common themes are identified in what follows:

1) A UNICRI evaluation reported that the trained 30 young leaders had started applying the skills and knowledge they have received in various ways, including by engaging their peers and local communities to spread non-violent messages and implement conflict-management initiatives and techniques.

2) A UNDP evaluation found the Border Management Project in the Moughataa of Bassikounou illustrated a consistent alignment of its objectives with the priorities of the Mauritanian Government in terms of the fight against terrorism and the circulation of small arms and light weapons on frontier of the border between Mauritania and Mali, and thereby produced the expected effects and impacts in the framework for consolidating peace and security in the Sahel.

3) A UNODC evaluation reported that a collaborative inter-agency training programme in the Philippines titled “Collaborative intelligence, investigation and prosecution of terrorism-related cases” was officially recognized by the Philippines’ Government at a speech delivered at the UNGA in June 2014 for its contribution to mitigating terrorist activity in Manila and the region of Mindanao.

4) An evaluation by the International Organization for Migration (IOM) provided information on the success of intercommunity reconciliation campaigns in rural Nalewa Mada Network (NM)) sites. It demonstrated how the Niger Community Cohesion Initiative (NCCI) programming inspired mutual trust between communities by working to resolve potential conflict. Not only had this reduced the

---

7 United Nations Global Counterterrorism Coordination Compact, 2020: Annual Report to the Secretary-General.
9 This impact is still to be evaluated particularly in African contexts where, since already many years, regional and sub-regional institutions, peacekeeping/building and security sector actors are well engaged in multilateral collaboration and cooperation (cf. Adams Oolo. "Capacity Building and Training for Peace Operations and Conflict Resolution in East Africa", Africa Institute of South Africa, AISA Policy brief Number 17, June 2010. The situation is the same in European contexts where a major side-effect of counterterrorism is the discrimination against certain types of citizens. The question is to determine if there is enough training and capacity building for officials and national institutions to prevent and treat this negative impact of counterterrorism. Cf. Tufyal Choudhury (2021), Suspicion, discrimination and surveillance. The impact of counter-terrorism law and policy on racialised groups at risk of racism in Europe. European Network Against Racism.
10 UNICRI, 2021: Mali (Dis-)Engagement and (Re-)Integration related to Terrorism (MERIT).
12 UNODC, 2016: Final Independent Project Evaluation Sub-Programme on Counterterrorism, East and Southeast Asia Partnerships on Criminal Justice Response to Terrorism (XAPX37).
risk of land disputes breaking out, but also it had lessened the likelihood of extremists exploiting longstanding tensions between ethnic groups.

5) An evaluation\textsuperscript{14} by the United Nations Institute for Training and Research (UNITAR) found the trainings to be effective as they produced individual changes in behavior related to a) positive conflict resolution and inter-personal relations; b) awareness of risks associated to forced recruitment and change in perception of former combatants; and c) changes in the narratives of violent past events in communities.

The prominent themes underlying the reports reviewed related to the importance of dialogue, mentorship, and capacity development of specific groups to understand and interact with legal environment.

**Dialogue:** 96 reports mentioned the role of dialogue in improving social cohesion. For example, an evaluation by the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) reported that, there had been a marked improvement in collaboration between the communities fostered by the organization of intra- and inter-community dialogues, with the result that land conflicts were reduced, people’s knowledge of the content of the peace agreement was strengthened, human rights were promoted, conflicts were reduced, and social cohesion was improved.\textsuperscript{15}

Similarly, a UNDP evaluation reported that dialogue was useful in youth reintegration and the establishment of social cohesion mechanisms in Bentiu and Rubkona towns in South Sudan. Dialogue complemented with religious counternarratives, literature, drama, and vocational training helped dissuade people from joining violent extremist groups in Afghanistan. In that case, participants who had completed positive transformation programme formed volunteer peace groups to understand local drivers of violent extremism. They also provided early warning of potential violence and intervened to promote social cohesion and non-violent conflict resolution\textsuperscript{16} These examples provide rich qualitative evidence on the delivery of intended outcomes pertaining to the use of social dialogue for conflict resolution and increased social cohesion.

This observation is also supported by a vast body of field research\textsuperscript{17} which emphasizes the necessity of knowing the vernacular context of conflictual situations, to learn from the perceptions, representations, expectations and needs of endangered communities; many of whom have their own traditions for engaging in dialogue, promoting peace, and reducing violence.\textsuperscript{18}

**Mentorship** was recognized as another important strategy to achieve this goal. 21 reports highlighted its importance. Most notably, an evaluation\textsuperscript{19} by the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) identified that the mentorship, when paired with market-based skills training, was an effective mechanism to mitigate radicalization and prevent extremism. The report mentioned that the UNIDO skills training programme in Kismayo, Somalia, produced graduates who were less likely to re-join militant groups, more easily able to integrate within their communities and felt better equipped to manage local conflict and

---

\textsuperscript{14} UNITAR, 2021: Evaluation of the “Youth-Led Peace and Reconciliation in Colombia: A Transformational Approach.
\textsuperscript{15} UNFPA, 2020: Project for the Prevention of Radicalization and Violent Extremism (PREV) in areas at risk in Guinea, p.32.
\textsuperscript{16} UNDP, 2019: Invisible Women - Gendered Dimension of Return, Rehabilitation and Reintegration from Violent Extremism.
\textsuperscript{17} UNDP for example produced eight national reports based on perceptions studies within endangered communities in the Sahel. See What 800 Sahelians have to say. Perception study on the drivers of insecurity and violent extremism in the border regions of the Sahel. The Centre for Humanitarian Dialogue, UNDP, 2016.
\textsuperscript{18} This trend in recent research is also a successful attempt of enriching while reforming security policies. It is best represented by the fecund concepts of “hybrid security” and “security in the vernacular.” Cf. Niagale Bagayoko, Eboe Hutchful & Robin Luckham (2016) Hybrid security governance in Africa: rethinking the foundations of security, justice and legitimate public authority, Conflict, Security and Development, 16(1): 1-32. A major finding of this study is the role of traditional methods of resolving conflicts as a desideratum in resolving the Boko Haram crisis. “As custodians of traditions and customs, traditional institutions enjoy respect and loyalty from members of their communities. This is why they have always been called upon to intervene in resolving conflicts that can lead to serious security problems in the community. Traditional methods of intelligence gathering such as informing the village or district head of any stranger in town will help in identifying members of the Boko Haram” (comment by a participant at Group Session in Abuja, June 2015).
\textsuperscript{19} UNIDO, 2017: Strengthening Resilience to Violent Extremism (STRIVE) in the Horn of Africa.
tensions. The programme paired small-group mentorship focused on life skills and conflict minimization with vocational skills training activities.

**Capacity development:** Promoting understanding of legal environment and an increased trust in institutions was found to be especially useful. Thus, a UNODC evaluation\(^\text{20}\) noted that trainings helped improve institutional coordination at the national level and helped officials improve their own trainings and training tools, while a UNDP evaluation\(^\text{21}\) observed that such capacity development work led to strengthening of legal and institutional framework that was now more capable of responding to violent extremism while respecting the fundamental principles of human rights. It strengthened the capacities and skills of actors to fight against violent extremism and put in place a coordinated and effective security system in high-risk areas. Citing interviews data, a UNITAR evaluation\(^\text{22}\) noted that the capacity development work had strengthened understanding among police forces in countries neighboring Mali on their specific roles and responsibilities in effectively contributing to regional and international stabilization efforts in Mali.

These increased understanding, in conjunction with the rise of social media, relatedly also appears to have enabled youth to influence governments in some instances. The role of new/social media and digital (ill)literacy in the radicalization and the diffusion of hate speech and violent extremist ideology is a significant element conducive to the spread or the diminution of terrorism (on this aspect of a genuine “semantic warfare”, see Annex VIII). Youth leaders from the South Sudan Civil Society Forum (SSCF) used social media platforms to put pressure on parties to the conflict as outside observers. After the 2016 peace deal between the Government of Colombia and the Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia (FARC) faltered, it sparked a large youth pro-peace movement through social media hashtags (#PazAlaCalle, #RespiraPaz, #LaPazesImparable and #MarchaporlaPaz) and student networks, which mobilized over 30,000 young people onto the streets of Bogota within a very short time.\(^\text{23}\)

However, barring some exceptions, few reports followed up to collect data on the actual use of capacity development or further needs assessment (See Annex IX). While the above-mentioned UNODC report noted that “a move away from one-time training events to more long-term capacity-building has been noticed in the period under evaluation, which needs to be considered in the context of available funding and different MS needs in CT capacity building”, this was a rare recognition of the need for a long-term strategy in capacity development as most capacity building programmes use one-off interventions without considering long-term strategies to achieving lasting changes.

The question of temporal horizon – evaluation, impact, results of CT activities in the long-term perspective – is a recurrent issue and a potential weakness of the current UNGCTS, well identified by CT experts, practitioners, and researchers (also confirmed in the interviews for this review). The effect of undetermined long-term strategy and unknown duration of interventions nevertheless remains largely understudied. This is particularly critical when the CT community, since September 11, has a background of twenty years of international mobilization on an unprecedented scale (See also Annex VIII).

Overall, the meta-synthesis found qualitative evidence for the use and usefulness\(^\text{24}\) of capacity building efforts of the Compact agencies, however qualitative and perception-based snapshots in time are not adequate to truly understand what works and what does not. Studies, impact evaluations and more robust monitoring, evaluating, and reporting tools are urgently needed to ensure that UNGCTS interventions are helping achieve intended results.

\(^{20}\) UNODC, 2021: Final Independent Project Evaluation: Strengthening the Legal Regime against Terrorism (GLOR35).


\(^{22}\) UNITAR, 2019: Independent Evaluation of the Sustaining Peace in Mali and the Sahel Region through Strengthening Peacekeeping Capacities Project (Phase 2).

\(^{23}\) UNDP, 2019: We are Here: An integrated approach to youth-inclusive peace processes.

\(^{24}\) Note that a product, service, or an intervention may be used but not be useful (or vice versa).
META-SYNTHESIS UNDER THE GLOBAL COUNTER-TERRORISM STRATEGY

OUTCOME 1.2 INCREASED INCULCATION OF A CULTURE OF PEACE, JUSTICE, RULE OF LAW AND HUMAN RIGHTS.

Fifty-five of 118 reviewed reports mentioned promoting a culture that values peace, justice, rule of law and human rights as one of their stated objectives. Most of these reports linked it to education, training and/or advocacy campaigns. Typical examples of work by Compact agencies in this respect include:

- A project by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) co-funded with the United Nations Counter-Terrorism Centre (UNCCT) launched an integrated plan of action, which proposes a multidisciplinary framework for responding to violent extremism. It involves advocacy and programmatic actions at the global, regional, and national levels to support MS on PVE through Global Citizenship Education, media and information literacy and promoting an understanding on cultural diversity.

- A UNDP project in Bangladesh used digital literacy model for preventing violence and promoting a culture of peace. The project has built and provided access to an impressive database that can be used for research and understanding terrorism and its effects.

- IOM used a slightly different approach to promoting a culture of peace. Building on the experience of South Africa, it supported the Truth Commission in Colombia to engage with children and young people in the process of documenting victim experiences during the conflict, seeking their perspectives and recommendations for ensuring that such conflict does not happen again. In this case, advocacy was built on the foundations of discovering truth, commonalities, and reconciliation.

- In another variation on advocacy, a DPO programme supported complementary capacity development in the justice chain in Somalia. It reportedly helped to establish Ministries of Justice in the South-Central States, provided scholarships for future legal professionals, and created a policing model that received political buy-in and is now being replicated by state organizations.

- Some programmes used other creative approaches for advocacy such as the establishment of a theatre troop and board games to promote peace and enable voice for the disaffected youth who were among the most marginalized groups in their community. Yet others focused on training security forces in good citizenship, respect for human rights and the principles of the rule of law, which reportedly achieved its intended goals of changing perception on human rights.

As education and advocacy achieve their intended results in a sequential manner, the review next examined whether the changed knowledge and/or increased awareness also led to change in behaviors towards intended results. The review found that about half of these reports stated to have achieved the second order effect of better preparing the targeted groups to prevent, reduce and manage conflicts. A UNDP evaluation in Kyrgyzstan noted that the programme’s integrated area-based development efforts had led to an increased potential of youth for critical thinking and conflict reduction, and that 87% of respondents believed that the number of conflicts was decreasing. Another UNDP evaluation reported that because of capacity development, Lebanese authorities were now better equipped to maintain internal security and law and order.

---


27 UNDP, 2020: The United Nations: A Champion for Youth?


29 UNDP, 2019: Frontlines - Young people at the forefront of preventing and responding to violent extremism.

30 UNDP, 2019: Mid-term Project Evaluation: Enhancing the role of Religious Education in Countering Violent Extremism.

31 UNDP, 2019: Security Sector Reform Project in the Union of the Comoros (RSS).

32 While the phases may sometimes overlap or move in a recursive fashion, all these results need to be achieved to obtain the desired change.


Children’s involvement and youth empowerment emerged as a prominent theme in results pertaining to this outcome. The focus on the youth and its relation to violence is based on the recognition that the young people are more likely to be enrolled as soldiers, combatants, and terrorists. Empowering them, then, is fundamental to avoid the risk of a societal fragmentation. 20 reports appeared to accord special attention to this necessity. The Sierra Leone Truth and Reconciliation Commission is widely considered a milestone in having created the first ‘child-friendly’ forum to enable children to search for closure to the violence that tore their country apart. For DPO, it is no longer an isolated activity, leading to the inclusion of child protection advisers in peacekeeping missions.

A UNDP peacebuilding project in Chad, for example, empowered community actors to initiate interventions, which led to visible changes in the behavior of young people and their parents, as well as in their capacities for self-care. Further, parents' perceptions of women's rights and the importance of sending girls to school and the age of marriage were also reported to have significantly changed. Youth felt more empowered in the political and social decision-making processes, thanks to the execution of the project, which improved relations with the Law Enforcement Forces and social cohesion between groups of young people in the cross-border area between Chad and Cameroon. The formation and strengthening of platforms such as peace committees, unity forums and advocacy groups reportedly transformed their roles from actors in conflicts to champions of peace and positive change agents with skills to transform conflicts.

Methodologically, most reports used perceptual measures of outcome accomplishment regarding this outcome, but some did it in a more robust manner. An IOM report (see Figure 6) which compared change

37 However, some consider violence and terror to be an expression of a lack of education on one side and a divide between modern ‘Western’ and the traditional (religious) education on the other side. See, for example, Perception study on the drivers of insecurity and violent extremism in the border regions of the Sahel. The Centre for Humanitarian Dialogue, UNDP, 2016.
39 UNDP, 2019: Final Evaluation of the Project “Supporting mechanisms for consolidating peace at the community level and the inclusion of young people in areas located on the border between Chad and Cameroon.”
40 UNDP, 2019: Final Evaluation of the Beyond Bentiu Protection of Civilian Site (PoC) Youth Reintegration Strategy: Creating Conditions for Peaceful Coexistence between Youth Internally Displaced Persons, Returnees and Host Community Members.
41 62 reports reported using interviews and 71 used some sort of survey. Only two reports used archival data. Thus, most reports relied on perception-based measures. While using perceptual measures is perfectly okay, it is possible to use these methods in a more robust manner. The basic measures of perceptions capture user satisfaction at single points in time (e.g., How satisfied are you with the training?). Using them more robustly require pre- and post-measurements that can be further enhanced in experimental set ups to control for other extraneous effects and
in perceptions, before and after intervention was found to be an example of this more robust approach to measuring outcome change. In this project, evaluators measured changes in perception in supported and non-supported (control, no intervention) groups at different times (waves) because of intervention. They also provided statistical significance of their findings regarding change in perceptions.

Related to this approach, UNDP has developed a guide for using more robust approach that uses behavior science to support PVE changes. This guidance is very promising but is yet to be applied.

However, in other cases, several reports were also candid in noting that, at the mid-term stage, they were yet to observe any changes. For example, a UNICRI evaluation noted that the project’s objective was to contribute to the efforts of civil society and central and local authorities in the Western Balkans to prevent radicalization, recruitment, and inspiration of individuals to violent extremist ideologies and groups. However, results in terms of intermediate outcomes and impacts were not visible at the time of mid-term evaluation. Another

---

**Figure 6: Change in confidence in institutions.**

NCCI: Niger Community Cohesion Initiative; NM: Nalewa Mada Network; Non-Program: Control group.

Source: IOM: Endline Evaluation of Niger Community Cohesion Initiative Programming

---

establish causality. However, evaluators who are generally hired only for the specific task cannot achieve this by themselves. Projects and programmes need to collect this information on a regular basis as well to establish baseline for the evaluators.

UNDP, 2021: Applying behavioral science to support the prevention of violent extremism: experiences and lessons learned.

UNICRI, 2018: Special Report: The EU Chemical, Biological, Radiological and Nuclear Centres of Excellence: more progress needed. Though the project had been in operation since 2010, the report noted that “the lack of clear, well-defined objectives, together with the absence of relevant outcome/impact indicators at both the Initiative and project level39, made it impossible to assess the results in terms of improved capacities to mitigate and prepare for CBRN risks and threats” (p. 31).
report mentioned that the only evidence of changes in terms of numbers of at-risk youth reached was through the workshops, which provided strong evidence of improved resilience immediately after the workshop but not about their long-term effects. Yet another report stated that while seven CSOs had received grants to carry out activities associated with trust-building and awareness raising for reconciliation, no quantitative assessment for increased capacity was available. This finding also echoed in another evaluation of a project on community-based approaches aimed at reducing young people’s susceptibility to radicalization and violent extremist influences in Bosnia and Herzegovina. The report noted that it was not able to assess the contribution of the project to outcomes. These reports exemplified change in the knowledge of immediate beneficiaries, and to some extent, in their behavior. Not much evidence is discernible in terms of results beyond those who received direct (or proximate to direct) support.

Overall, the meta-synthesis finds sufficient evidence to indicate that the Compact agencies are contributing to achieving immediate outcome (change in knowledge and awareness). There is also some evidence to suggest behavioral change in the immediate and intermediate period, however not enough information is available on the long-term changes towards inculcating a culture of peace, justice, rule of law and human rights in targeted countries.

OUTCOME 1.3: REDUCTION IN INCITEMENT TO COMMIT TERRORIST ACTS

26 evaluation reports provided information pertinent to this outcome. A wide variety of initiatives from training of law officials and stronger legislation to education and development, depending on the respective missions of Compact entities, undergird these reports. However, education, advocacy and technical assistance are common across various reports. Thus, for example, the core focus of a UNDP initiative was on countering violent narratives and extremist propaganda. Some qualitative evidence suggests that these initiatives are contributing to a reduction in the incitement to commit terrorist acts. For instance, an IOM evaluation in Niger noted that qualitative research suggested that sensitization efforts against illicit activities and radicalization pointed to decreasing rates of terrorism and criminality in programme villages as compared with non-programme villages. This echoed the findings in a UNICRI evaluation, which found that local Islamic committees in Chad, after taking part in awareness raising activities, were able to recognize behaviors and acts that could inspire or lead to violent extremist acts. Similarly, UNESCO is supporting key education stakeholders in developing policies, strategies and practices to ensure that places of learning do not become breeding grounds for violent extremism and exclusionary worldviews.

This is easier in cases where the links to violent extremism are explicit and better understood once new knowledge is provided. This was the case in Western Balkans where, according to an IOM evaluation, there was ‘compelling evidence’ for reduced social tensions and associated violent conflict against the state and local authorities. In some cases, activists also reportedly used their new knowledge to influence government policies on detection of radical activities. Another significant achievement in this respect was reported to be the Jakarta Declaration on Violence Extremism and Religious Education, which aims to enhance the early

---

45 UNDP, 2020: Assessment of UNDP Libya Results on Reconciliation and Peace Building Processes Phase One.
46 IOM, 2019: Internal Programme Evaluation of Community based approaches to PVE in Bosnia and Herzegovina: Community based approaches to support youth in targeted municipalities in BiH and moving towards sustainable approaches to prevent violent extremism.
49 UNICRI, 2020: Many hands on an elephant. What enhances community resilience to radicalization into violent extremism?
META-SYNTHESIS UNDER THE GLOBAL COUNTER-TERRORISM STRATEGY

detection and prevention by the state apparatus. The impact of such efforts will need to be assessed in due course.

Other evidence regarding this outcome to emerge from the reports reviewed indicated that:

1) 17 reports discussed the role of co-option of local communities and religious bodies, which was found to be a more effective strategy than simply relying on the security forces. These also highlighted that security forces could not be omnipresent, and in most times, were not always trusted because of their approach and methods. In Thailand, similarly, the project was credited for promoting a developmental approach, which focused less on 'hard' terrorism and more on the prevalence of fake news, hate speech and incitement to violence. This focus made the effort more politically palatable and allowed a much broader range of civil society stakeholders to support the overall effort.

2) Nine reports highlighted the role of the targeted interventions such as the participation of youth in the political process in Indonesia were more effective at reducing the risk of young people resorting to acts of terrorism.

3) Eight reports highlighted that the interventions which adopted culturally aware (i.e., sensitive to local customs and traditions) approaches were more likely to succeed. Thus, the approach of UNICRI’s local partners in Maghreb and Sahel that incorporated Islamic values and teachings into their counter-radicalization efforts reportedly proved more effective. This also echoed in the findings of a UNDP evaluation, which suggested that incorporating dialogue and partnership between religions and cultures helped promote mutual understanding and constructive cooperation and counteracted. Taking cultural and religious contexts into consideration is a crucial factor for any successful counterterrorism project, it is massively confirmed by the research literature and the studies conducted by civil NGOs. Academic works confirm also that religious principles, values, and teachings belong to all individuals from a given society, and consequently constitute a matter that is subject to diverse interpretations and even manipulation for bellicose ends, but their most inclined interpretative outcomes are for the maintenance of peace and safety, respect of the state and the law, promotion of awareness and understanding, encouragement of dialogue and social harmony.

4) 27 reports indicated that the multi-dimensional approaches are more effective at preventing radicalization and violent extremism. Thus, the support of the economic programme, the government and the business communities to other counterterrorism activities were reported to foster an increase of confidence among former radicals towards leaving their violent jihadism in the past. In contrast, programmes that only deal with single causes or outward manifestations of the problem were found to be less successful. In Kazakhstan, for example, the government had reportedly invested significant financial resources on programmes, such as shutting down extremist websites, which mostly dealt only with addressing the outwards signs of radicalization.

In terms of relevant objective, albeit indirect, evidence regarding inciting violence from archival data sources, the Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project (ACLED) noted that the attacks by groups located in Sub-Saharan Africa and affiliated to Al-Qaeda and the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant (Da’esh, sometimes also called ISIL) increased by 37% between mid-March 2020 and mid-April 2020, but it could not determine

---

54 UNDP, 2019: Mid-term Evaluation of Inclusive Democratic Governance pillar/Evaluation of Tanzania PVE Project.
56 ibid.
57 UNICRI, 2019: Preliminary Findings: Evaluation of pilot project on countering violent extremism in the regions of Maghreb and Sahel.
58 UNDP, 2019: Research: The Roles of Islamic Moderate Organizations in Countering Violent Extremism. Note that cultural awareness refers to understanding of differences in cultural values, beliefs, and perceptions and how these might affect behavioral interventions.
59 UNDP, 2019: Conflict Sensitivity in Approaches to Preventing Violent Extremism.
whether increased violence stemmed from existing trends, shifts in terrorist or CT approaches due to the pandemic, or both.\(^{61}\) However, this was in direct contrast to the data compiled by the Global Terrorism Index (GTI).\(^{62}\) GTI has provided a benchmark for tracking trends and patterns in terrorist activity in 163 countries. From its peak in 2014, terrorism has reportedly decreased by 44%.

Overall, qualitative evidence available in the reports reviewed indicated some evidence for the contribution by Compact entities towards achieving intended CT/PVE results. However, very few reports provided robust quantification of medium or long-term results (noting that in some cases it would be impossible to do as mid-term evaluations are often carried out while the projects are being implemented. However, even long-running interventions did not provide any long-term results, which is partly due to methodological limitations in that impact evaluations are rarely carried out by the Compact entities. The examples that could be gleaned from these reports, including the case of the Counter-Terrorism Division of the Royal Malaysian Police, which reported to have foiled 25 terrorist attacks since 2013 in addition to identifying up to 22 homegrown militant groups.\(^{63}\) Another evaluation reported that due to CT activities by Kosovo police as well as the justice system, the number of Kosovans that leave Kosovo to join ISIL and other extremist groups had decreased substantially since 2015.\(^{64}\) Yet, another report indicated that the project targets with respect to establishment of 20 early warning and response systems and alerting the national network of mediators to 20 potential conflicts by Dec 2015 were achieved.\(^{65}\)

While these examples provide some qualitative information on the progress towards reduced incitements for violence, more definitive information is needed to assess overall impact and specific contributions of various Compact entities.

**OUTCOME 1.4: REDUCED PERCEPTIONS ON MARGINALIZATION AND VICTIMIZATION**

This outcome is covered in dedicated chapters on human rights and gender equality.

**OUTCOME 1.5: NATIONAL SYSTEMS OF ASSISTANCE FOR VICTIMS OF TERRORISM AND THEIR FAMILIES PUT IN PLACE.**

17 of 118 reviewed reports contained information pertinent to this outcome. With some exceptions outlined in the next paragraph, limited information on the assistance to ‘normal’ victims of terrorism was available in these reports.\(^{66}\) The reintegration of former radicals and combatants, refugees and prisoners was the primary area of focus for these reports.\(^{67}\)

One major initiative to “elevate the visibility of victims of terrorism, assist victims with training on media and provide a platform for cross-regional networking” appeared to be emerging in the UNCCT’s flagship Assistance to Victims portfolio, which is implemented in collaboration with CSOs.\(^{68}\) Another exception to this general rule was the impact on children, which was covered by five reports. The Compact agencies appeared to be oriented towards preventing and reducing conflict, while leaving assistance to the current or past victims to respective governments.

---


\(^{62}\) UNDP, 2019: Amman Forum on Measuring, Monitoring and Assessing PVE.

\(^{63}\) UNDP, 2020: Entry and Exit Points: Violent Extremism in South-East Asia.

\(^{64}\) UNDP, 2017: Public pulse analysis on prevention of violent extremism in Kosovo.


\(^{66}\) With few exceptions (Southeast Asia or South America), a vast majority of these projects were in middle east or Africa (especially in countries such as Niger, Nigeria, Mali and Somalia).

\(^{67}\) Radicalization can occur for a variety of reasons such as misguided and misled youth, false propaganda, and reaction to loss of family members. Many of these radicalized youth can also be considered victims. Normal victims would be those persons, who had no role in extremist ideology, but just end up falling prey to some incident/s either because they were targeted or were at the wrong place at the wrong time.

\(^{68}\) UNOCT, 2020: Final Report of the Evaluation of the United Nations Counter-Terrorism Centre (p.38). Based on interviews with UNCCT personnel, this evaluation suggested that the portfolio was showing positive results.
Another important theme appeared to emphasize the role of women as ‘re/integrators’ as exemplified by the following statement:

“In many parts of sub-Saharan Africa, Southeast Asia and the Middle East, women have been at the forefront of efforts to counter the political, social and cultural factors that enable violent extremism. Women are among the most powerful voices of prevention – in their homes, schools, and communities - and women’s organizations and movements have played a significant role in advocating for inclusion and tolerance. Women’s organizations also provide alternative social, educational, and economic activities for at-risk young women and men. Hence, they can uniquely help build the social cohesion needed to resist the appeal of a violent extremist group.”

This appears to place a unique burden on women to play the role of integrators or peacemakers when in many cases they are inadequately empowered or even invisible. The effects of such an approach, thus, need to be examined more closely and robustly. On the role of women as re/integrators, it would also be useful to determine how they contribute to, are involved in radicalization and violent extremism. A study in Mali showed how marriage and progeny have been a tangible strategy for jihadists to establish ties with local communities. Far more attention and research are needed on the dual role (victims and agents) of women (and youth as well) confronted with violence to better design successful projects of empowerment and healing communities.

Most interventions appeared to be focused on economic support (e.g., skills development, small business creation and jobs). Some of them also contained an element of psychological support to the ‘returnees’ combatants. In Nigeria, the Allamin Foundation for Peace and Development supports female returnees and works with community leaders for reducing stigma and reintegrating them into society. This was described as a holistic community-based reintegration module, whereas another UNDP programme in Indonesia, run in coordination with The National Counter Terrorism Agency (Indonesian: Badan Nasional Penanggulangan Terorisme (BNPT)) was primarily focused on economic activities but also included a component on religion-based interventions. This programme aimed to provide life skills training like breeding cows, cooking, agriculture, and other skills to help them integrate into society. A few interventions incorporated other innovative elements such as the use of arts-based initiatives (drama, storytelling) to promote critical thinking and resilience to exclusionary and violent rhetoric.

An evaluation of a project jointly implemented with UNFPA mentioned that over 90% of the beneficiaries consider that the activities implemented within the framework of these interventions largely met their expectations.

While the reports described in general terms high-level of satisfaction with the interventions, concrete data on the outcomes and impacts of these interventions was not available. These satisfaction rates are typical of similar other interventions. However, information on the extent to which these interventions succeeded in achieving their stated objective of social rehabilitation and reintegration was not available in the reports reviewed.

---

72 UNDP, 2019: Mid-term Project Evaluation: Enhancing the Role of Religious Education in Countering Violent Extremism.
74 UNDP, 2019: Final Evaluation of supplementary funds for Japan.
STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE 2: STRENGTHENING INFRASTRUCTURE AND SYSTEMS FOR PVE/CT

Figure 7. References to key outcomes in coded documents (Strategic objective 2)

OUTCOME 2.1: INCREASED INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AMONG MEMBER STATES ON PVE/CT.

30 evaluation reports contained pertinent information. These reports documented a variety of support provided by the Compact agencies for facilitating an increased international cooperation. Some prominent themes among these examples pertained to (1) increased awareness on multi-dimensional approaches (e.g., security issues among nearly 100 participants from different continents and different institutions)\textsuperscript{75}, (2) the

\textsuperscript{75} UNODC, 2017: Final Independent Project Evaluation: Integrating crime, corruption, drugs and terrorism related issues into the preparation of national plans and processes (1213V (UNDA).

establishment of networks (e.g., regional networks on recovering stolen assets)\textsuperscript{76}, (3) building public-private partnerships such as on countering the use of the internet for terrorist purposes; and, (4) empowerment of target groups such as youth empowerment for PVE under the Project Networks of Mediterranean Youth (NET-MED Youth) in Jordan, Libya, Morocco and Tunisia.\textsuperscript{77} In line with a previous UNODC meta-synthesis, which had reported that 41\% of sampled UNODC evaluations were using regional cooperation for crime prevention,\textsuperscript{78} this meta-synthesis observed that about 16 reports referenced regional cooperation. However, regional networks that were found to be a highly effective and sustainable strategy were used rarely. Public-private partnerships were also noted rarely and mostly in UNDP evaluations. Other UN agencies have found them more cumbersome and less useful.

The meta-synthesis also found some evidence for increased collaboration among MS on the ground. Five reports referenced cooperation among MS themselves. Thus, for instance, the Tanzanian Government reportedly worked closely under initiatives with South African Development Community (SADC), and East African Community (EAC), and Inter-Government Authority on Development (IGAD) to prevent violent extremism in the country.\textsuperscript{79} Similarly, the Government of Sudan and UNDP, in coordination with a number of national, regional and global partners; and in close partnership with CSOs, reportedly came together to try to articulate a response to the root causes of violent extremism in Sudan as well as its implications for the country and the region.\textsuperscript{80} These examples are representative of the broader efforts undertaken by the compact agencies.

Overall, is it your perception that over the past 3–5 years in integrated UN approach in the area of rule of law in your country has increased or decreased?

\textbf{N=119, only respondents from the field}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure8.png}
\caption{Integration of technical assistance across compact agencies. Source: DPO: Review of the Global Focal Point for Police, Justice, and Corrections 2018}
\end{figure}

One of the key future issues of the international cooperation among MS, that emerged from interviews and documents, is the need to institutionalize the funding on CT, so that the UNGCTS covers the multidimensional process of CT and does not depend on a reduced number of donor states and their specific needs and expectations in the design of CT projects.

Another important question on international cooperation pertains to cooperation among Compact agencies themselves. While 12 reports referenced it, these reports did not provide much information in this regard with apart from a 2018 DPO report (Figure 8) in which more than half the respondents believed that the degree of integration in UN’s work on rule of law in their countries had decreased over the past 3-5 years. The

\textsuperscript{76} UNODC, 2017: Mid-term Independent In-depth Evaluation: Global Programme against Money-laundering, Proceeds of Crime and the Financing of Terrorism (GPML).
\textsuperscript{79} UNDP, 2019: Mid-term Evaluation of Inclusive Democratic Governance pillar/Evaluation of Tanzania PVE Project.
\textsuperscript{80} UNDP, 2017: Violent Extremism in Sudan: An Evidenced-based Study.
results from any one study needs to be interpreted cautiously and it is hoped that cooperation likely has increased since the launch of the UNGCTCC on CT and other similar efforts at the highest level in the UN. However, this should be closely monitored and examined in future studies in this regard.

Overall, the meta-synthesis found adequate evidence for the promotion of international cooperation among MS towards PVE/CT, but more evidence is needed to conclude how closely Compact entities themselves collaborate with each other in supporting MS.

OUTCOME 2.2: INCREASED NUMBER OF MEMBER STATES WHO JOIN AND IMPLEMENT UNTOC.

The UNTOC was adopted by resolution A/RES/55/25 of 15 November 2000 at the 55th session of the UNGA. There were 147 signatories and 190 parties to the UNTOC as of July 2018.81 No other significant information on this outcome was available in the documents reviewed. A 2020 UNODC evaluation82 noted that Standard Operating Procedures for Border Liaison Officers (BLOs) were introduced to facilitate sharing of information between China and its neighboring countries. The report also mentioned that 76 locations of the BLOs network established across the Mekong subregion had been set up as of 2018. A human trafficking and migrant smuggling network of focal persons drawn from victim protection, police, immigration, and prosecution agencies had also been established.

The reports reviewed provided adequate information to show an increased number of MS had joined UNTOC, however little information was available on the degree and manner in which it was being implemented in practice. Compact entities may need to explore if this is due to limited interventions themselves or just because of coverage of reports for this meta-synthesis.

OUTCOME 2.3: INCREASED NUMBER OF MEMBER STATES WHO TAKE APPROPRIATE MEASURES TO PROTECT ASYLUM FOR LEGITIMATE REASONS, WHILE GUARDING AGAINST ITS ABUSE FOR TERRORIST ACTIVITY.

No evidence was available regarding this outcome in the reports reviewed.

OUTCOME 2.4: THE CREATION AND STRENGTHENING OF COUNTERTERRORISM MECHANISMS

Eight evaluation reports included information relevant to this outcome. As reported earlier, the Global Terrorism Index shows a decrease in number of terrorist incidents by 44%. It also shows that 99% of deaths resulting from terrorist activities in the past 17 years have been recorded in fragile and conflict-affected countries83, while the remainder have witnessed a decline in terrorist violence. This evidence suggests that CT mechanisms around the world are being strengthened.

The Compact entities also appear to be contributing to this process. A 2015 UNDP report84 noted that there was growing support behind the Western Balkans Counter Terrorism Initiative (WBCTI), which was supporting the Police Cooperation Convention for Southeast Europe (PCC SEE) in creating a Counter Terrorism Network. This network was modelled on EU’s Radicalization Awareness Network (RAN).

Similarly, a 2019 UNDP evaluation highlighted the establishment of a new agency called National Agency for Combating Terrorism (BNPT), whose role is to develop and implement policies on terrorism countermeasures.

---

82 UNODC, 2020: Final Independent In-depth Evaluation: Regional Programme for Southeast Asia and the Pacific, including the Country Programmes Myanmar and Indonesia.
83 The list of fragile and conflict-affected states is available from the World Bank site here.
Another UNDP study in Sudan⁸⁶ mentioned expansion of partnerships with non-governmental organizations, universities, centers of excellence and religious centers such as the Renaissance and Civilizational Communication Forum (RCCF), the Supreme Council for the Care and intellectual Dialogue, and the International Centre for Da’wa Studies & Training (ICDST).

Figure 9: Countries with projects of the United Nations Development Programme on Prevention of Violent Extremism


The Terrorism Prevention Branch (TPB), UNODC, since 2016, reportedly supported developing and implementing regional and national plans of action for Afghanistan, Bolivia, Iran and regional programmes for the Sahel, Eastern Africa and West and Central Africa, as well as the UNDAF Roadmap for Yemen, Mali, Philippines, Burkina Faso, Lebanon, Mauritania, Nigeria, Lake Chad Basin countries, Lesotho, Malaysia, Mozambique, Iraq, Maldives, and Indonesia. A total of 22 regional and national strategies and action plans had further been developed with assistance of the UNODC Global Project on Strengthening the Legal Regime against Terrorism (GLOR35), which can be considered a significant achievement. The step would be to examine how these strategies and action plans were being implemented. A UNOCT evaluation similarly suggested the need for stronger monitoring at the field level to ascertain positive changes in attitudes and behaviors of beneficiaries.

Interestingly, most CT/PVE projects are in developing countries of Asia and Africa. See, for example, the UNDP projects in the map above. Islamic terrorism was found to be the chief focus across these projects. Other kinds of violent extremist acts such as state violence, right-wing or green terrorism, especially in the global north, do not appear to have received much, if any, attention. While this is partly related to funding and the capacity of countries in global north to address their own challenges, it is mainly related to the successful ideological association between terrorism and Islamic terrorism. This problem has also been noted in the academic literature. Shuurman (2019), for example, noted that the terrorism research is “too event-driven and too strongly tied to states’ interests” in developing policies to counter some threats even though “the degree to which they actually pose a threat is debatable”, while others, such as state-terrorism or right-wing extremist violence, are by this same logic left un- or under-examined” (p. 464). See also Annex VIII. Thus, the under-examination of this form of extremism does not appear to be purely a matter of funding or capacity. This observation calls for some self-examination by compact agencies as new projects and programmes are considered.

OUTCOME 2.5: MEMBER STATES IMPLEMENT THE COMPREHENSIVE INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS ON MONEY-LAUNDERING

18 reports contained information relevant to money-laundering and 40 on counter-financing of terrorist activity. As part of their national risk assessment, most MS have reportedly conducted a risk assessment on terrorist financing or broader money-laundering risk assessments. They have adopted a strategy on countering the financing of terrorism through formal or informal coordination. Moreover, most MS reported recently to be revising their laws on countering the financing of terrorism. Similarly, an evaluation from 2017, citing the 2016 annual report of FATF, noted that most of the 194 countries it surveyed had adopted legislation against money laundering, criminalized terrorist financing and implemented targeted financing sanctions in accordance with its standards and recommendations. The report also noted that the Global Programme against Money-laundering, Proceeds of Crime, and the Financing of Terrorism (GPML), UNODC, was contributing to raising awareness among relevant stakeholders on the negative economic and social impact of money laundering, disseminating necessary knowledge and expertise, and strengthening coordination and cooperation among MS, international organizations, and regional bodies to deal with it. It has also built networks on anti-money-laundering and recovery stolen assets that brought together practitioners for exchanging valuable information and collaborating towards assets forfeiture. The evaluation directly addressed the question of usefulness of the technical assistance for the counterparts and partners.

---

87 UNODC, 2021: Final Independent In-depth Evaluation: Strengthening the Legal Regime against Terrorism (GLOR35) (p.30).
and “heard clear evidence from practitioners of the value of the training to them in their work.” Figure 10 below shows that GPML products (i.e., technical assistance) were used for a wide variety of purposes.

Stakeholders expressed appreciation for improving their knowledge, as well as how they now see the benefit of having a robust anti-money-laundering and countering financing of terrorism process. They noted their better awareness of the norms and standards of FATF, and the status of and needs they have in their own laws related to FATF generally and specifically related to the national risk assessment for their country. As Figure 11 shows, there has been a marked increase in the number of countries amending their terrorism financing legislations.

---

The efforts of GPML have been supported by other programmes such as those of the Terrorism Prevention Branch (TPB), UNODC. For instance, its work on the normative and legislative changes made in Morocco’s penal procedure code regarding digital investigation, terrorism financing and money laundering, mutual legal assistance, judicial cooperation and extradition, due process, and Human Rights, was cited in the evaluation reports as an example of effective work (p.33).92

These initiatives appear to be making inroads into counteracting the financing of terrorist acts and organizations, especially with respect to major terrorist organizations such as ISIL (Da’esh) and Al-Qaeda. However, shortcomings reportedly remain in the implementation of provisions governing economic resources different from financial assets and the financing of foreign terrorist fighters.93 Another notable result evident in the reports was the revision of penal codes in Viet Nam and Cambodia. At the time of the 2017 Mid-term Independent In-depth Evaluation of GPML, UNODC had helped the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) countries ratify the treaty on mutual legal assistance in criminal matters and was supporting them in overcoming some legal and practical challenges. It was also establishing mechanisms for effective collaboration on legal and judicial cooperation on drug trafficking cases. However, the evaluation also noted new and emerging challenges such as trade-based money laundering, cybercrime, and abuse of cryptocurrencies that have since then become ever more prominent.

Significant progress has been made in adopting and implementing international standards on Money-Laundering. As this is an ever-evolving field, Compact entities and MS will likewise need to continue adapting in response.

---

92 UNODC, 2017: Mid-term Independent In-depth Evaluation: Global Programme on Strengthening the Legal Regime against Terrorism, .
93 1267 Committee: Joint Report of the Counter Terrorism Committee Executive Directorate and the Analytical Support and Sanctions Monitoring Team on actions taken by Member States to disrupt terrorism financing, p.3.
OUTCOME 2.6: FAIR, TRANSPARENT AND HUMANITARIAN INTERNATIONAL TRAVEL AND TRANSPORT PRACTICES THAT ARE ALSO EFFECTIVE AT CURBING OPPORTUNITIES FOR TERRORISM

Only three reports provide information relevant to this outcome.94 A UNCCT evaluation in Nigeria reported95 improvement in airport screening capability, with trained officials identifying contraband which would previously have not been intercepted. Similarly, UNCCT-2015-37 project was reportedly raising awareness on the requirements of Security Council resolution 2178 (2014) regarding Advance Passenger (API) Information as well as determining their related Technical Assistance Needs. This had resulted in Nigeria making a significant progress in collecting and using API.

However, a report by the United Nations Counter-Terrorism Committee’s Executive Directorate (CTED) noted that travel and trade restrictions had also impacted humanitarian organizations, with the result that some civilian populations – including refugees and internally displaced persons seeking to flee terrorist groups – have been vulnerable to both the direct and indirect consequences of the pandemic.96

Overall, the meta-synthesis did not find adequate information to draw conclusions regarding this outcome.

OUTCOME 2.7: INCREASED SECURITY AND PROTECTION OF PARTICULARLY VULNERABLE INFRASTRUCTURE AND PUBLIC PLACES.

36 reports referenced some aspect of hard (physical infrastructure such as electricity) or soft (institutions such as legislative framework) infrastructure, however only four provided substantive outcomes of these interventions. A 2019 UNDP evaluation97 noted that the project had prepared 57 village committees as major players of border surveillance and fight against terrorism in the region of Hodh El Chargui in Mauritania by enabling access to better communication with internal forces as well as collaboration between them, security forces and the administration. A border management coordination mechanism in the Moughataa town in Mauritania was reported to be fully operational, which helped provide a legal framework of the integrated border management system at regional and legal levels. The project had also helped the establishment of a National Commission and Regional Border Management Committees. For example, a regional border management committee had been set up at the level of the wilaya Hodh El Gharbi. The evaluation also noted that the technical and logistical capacities of the border management system to control small arms and light weapons had improved.

Another UNDP evaluation noted that the large-scale military response led by the Cameroonian, Nigerian and Niger governments considerably reduced the operational capacities of the Boko Haram sect and in particular its hold over the territories that it had used as bases for the living and deployment of its troops.98 Capitalizing on its success, UNDP worked with the government of Chad to develop a programme with seven strategic pillars towards a sustainable and national response to violent extremism in Chad.

The question of security of infrastructure around border needs to be assessed in the nuanced context of the type of existing borders in any given territory. In the case of the Sahel region, a UNDP study conducted in 2015-2016 showed that the borders are “unnatural”, “virtual” and consequently particularly “viscous” whilst the societies are based on deep ethnic solidarity across transnational groups, constant migration and mutual knowledge and exchange. In this immense geographical zone, any CT action should consider that the concept

---

94 International Civil Aviation Organization, one of the main agencies working on this outcome, did not provide its evaluation reports. An ICAO interviewee suggested that their reports were confidential and could not be shared.
Finally, a UNODC evaluation\(^{100}\) provided evidence for increased governmental attention and investment into the improvement of its border control infrastructure and facilities, including provision of modern monitoring, search, and detection of equipment to effectively combat drug trafficking and all kind of trans-boundary crime.

Overall, limited information on increased security and protection of particularly vulnerable infrastructure and public places is available. The evaluation reports also do not provide much information on the achievement of outcomes in relation to the magnitude of the challenge.

**OUTCOME 2.8: STRENGTHENED IMPLEMENTATION OF THE INTERNATIONAL CONVENTIONS AND PROTOCOLS ON COUNTER-TERRORISM AND PREVENTION OF VIOLENT EXTREMISM**

37 reports referenced international cooperation, but only seven evaluations provided substantive information on this outcome. The CT Strategy was adopted by the UNGA on 8 September 2006 (resolution A/RES/60/288) and was reaffirmed by UNGA resolutions A/RES/62/272, A/RES/64/177 and A/RES/66/282, which called upon MS to become parties to the existing international conventions and protocols against terrorism. It also requested UNODC to intensify its provision of technical assistance to MS for the ratification and implementation of those legal instruments\(^{101}\). 102 countries had ratified the then 12 universal legal instruments against terrorism by the end of 2007, which increased to 169 countries and 19 universal legal instruments by the end of 2013.\(^{102}\) As of 2021, 688 new ratifications of the universal legal instruments against terrorism have been undertaken by countries with the technical assistance of TPB, UNODC. This implies that 70 percent of the total number of ratifications required for the universality of the international conventions and protocols related to terrorism had been achieved by 2020, which is a three percent increase from the 2015 baseline.\(^{103,104}\) However, TPB also noted that, “universal ratification is far from achieved, particularly for those instruments that were more recently adopted in 2010 and 2014” and “even after ratification, long-term sustained efforts are required to achieve effective implementation of the provisions of these instruments.” \(^{105}\)

Some evidence at the specific national level changes was also available in the reports. For example, Indonesia drafted a National Action Plan (NAP) on preventing and countering violent extremism (PCVE) in 2017, which was to be implemented from 2020.\(^{106}\) Similarly, UNESCO was consulted on Lebanon’s National Strategy on PVE and on its National Action Plan on PVE. UNESCO provided case studies from different countries, with clear actions and recommendations, which were adapted in the country.\(^{107}\) The Kosovo Strategy on Prevention of Violent Extremism and Radicalization Leading to Terrorism 2015-2020 outlined the importance of the institution of the family in CT/PVE.\(^{108}\) Overall, UNODC alone had provided substantive briefing on the legal

---

99 Ibid.
100 UNODC, 2016: Final Independent Project Evaluation: Strengthening customs services & other law enforcement agencies in implementing border trade control, in particular strategic export/import control regimes (TKMX57).
102 UNODC, 2015: Mid-term Independent In-depth Evaluation: Global Programme on Strengthening the Legal Regime against Terrorism (GLOR35).
103 UNODC, 2021: Final Independent In-depth Evaluation: Global Programme on Strengthening the Legal Regime against Terrorism (GLOR35). Work in recent years focused primarily on promoting the universalization and effective implementation of the international legal instruments against nuclear terrorism, including the International Convention for the Suppression of Acts of Nuclear terrorism (ICSANT) and the Convention of the Physical Protection of Nuclear Material (CPPNM) and its 2005 Amendment (CPPNM/A). Within the period under evaluation, 17 additional MS had ratified ICSANT, while 34 Member States had ratified CPPNM/A since 2016 (p. 27).
regime against terrorism, especially the legal aspects and obligations arising from the universal legal instruments against terrorism and the related Security Council resolutions to 7,700 national criminal justice officials from some 120 countries and 16,566 justice officials by the end of 2013. While reports noted general satisfaction of stakeholders that received technical assistance in this regard, more specific information on the use of assistance was not available.

OUTCOME 2.9: REDUCED THREAT FROM NUCLEAR, CHEMICAL, OR RADIOLOGICAL MATERIALS IN TERROR

Five evaluation reports capture data relevant to this outcome. All five had information relevant to nuclear threats, one on chemical and two on radiological threats. A UNICRI report concluded that the initiatives undertaken under the relevant programmes were contributing to mitigating chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear (CBRN) threats, but that (1) many challenges remained and (2) accomplishments on outcomes and impacts were impossible to be ascertained given weaknesses in monitoring and evaluation. It also noted that the partner countries were now more involved in the initiation and implementation of projects, and that cooperation between decision-makers and implementing bodies had improved. Moreover, nuclear policy regimes had been strengthened, and the Initiative had matured sufficiently to allow for in-depth cooperation on CBRN security. Further, 10 countries from the Western Balkans and the Caucasus had effectively started focusing on implementation-related challenges, including Forensics and First Response to CBRN incidents. Following the Ebola crisis, the EU CBRN Centres of Excellence organized a workshop with to identify measures for mitigating biosafety and biosecurity risks in Africa. By October 2017, 26 partner countries out of 58 (45%) had finalized their needs assessment questionnaires, but only 18 of them (31%) had devised national action plans.

The report highlighted that the interaction and cooperation between relevant international, regional, and sub-regional organizations (IROs) and other intergovernmental institutions and arrangements, especially those possessing non-proliferation expertise, had increased significantly. While noting this increase, the report also mentioned that only nine of the 47 States that were registered as assistance providers had responded to mutual assistance requests, and that in most cases the responses received had been modest, related to already ongoing assistance or subject to certain conditions, mainly of financial nature.

The Final Evaluation of the UN Counterterrorism Centre, similarly, noted an observable increase in awareness of International Convention for the Suppression of Acts of Nuclear Terrorism (ICSANT), the main relevant treaty, which currently has 115 signatories.

A 2016 Comprehensive Review Background Paper for the Formal Consultation by the 1540 Committee provides the best evidence for increase in relevant control measures. It noted an overall increase in control measures adopted since 2011 in the nuclear area, while highlighting that “the increases are mostly related to national frameworks and are not matched in the area of enforcement measures” (p.4).

There had been a ‘satisfactory’ increase in the number of recorded measures (15%) on chemical weapons between 2011 and 2016. In 2016, 161 States had a legal framework in place to prohibit manufacture of chemical weapons by non-State actors as compared to 135 in 2011. However, the security of chemicals in transportation and physical protection measures in facilities had not witnessed similar improvements, which were outlined as the focal areas for increased attention and collaboration.

In 2016, 116 of the 193 Member States had specific prohibition on the possession of a biological weapon by non-State actors. As in the other weapons areas additional efforts were needed in enforcement. The report found that the weakest areas for recorded legal measures to be the security, accounting, and transport of biological materials.

---

109 UNODC, 2015: Mid-term Independent -In-depth Evaluation: Global Programme on Strengthening the Legal Regime against Terrorism (GLOR35).
110 UNICRI: The EU chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear centres of excellence: more progress needed.
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Overall, these reports give good indications on the focus and relevance of the interventions designed to reduce threat from nuclear, chemical, or radiological materials in terror. These efforts also appear to be delivering on the intended outcomes such as implementation of relevant treaties, however a future evaluation/s will need to assess the impact of these interventions.

**STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE 3: INCREASING RESPECT FOR HUMAN RIGHTS AND RULE OF LAW**

**OUTCOME 3.1: INCREASE IN THE NUMBER OF MEMBER STATES, WHICH IMPLEMENT THE FUNDAMENTAL FRAMEWORK FOR THE PROTECTION OF HUMAN RIGHTS AND FUNDAMENTAL FREEDOMS WHILE COUNTERING TERRORISM**

46 reports (40%) contained information relevant to this outcome. Out of these reports, six mentioned increased awareness of the framework for protection of human rights and/or fundamental freedoms through the training of law enforcement and criminal justice authorities, and local community in some cases. A UNDP evaluation report on a capacity building project, described a workshop on national and international legislative and normative framework in border management and migration, the protection and promotion of human rights, as well as the training of regional security and justice authorities on international instruments against illicit drug trafficking, corruption, arms trafficking and migration, while promoting their respect for human rights. Another evaluation highlighted that investigators interviewed by the evaluators said the training has helped them to re-evaluate their interviewing techniques of witnesses, suspects and victims. When they are dealing with suspects, they are moving away from the ‘interrogative style’ to rapport building. This shift in attitude towards human right was significant, because even though human rights considerations within terrorism cases were always a component of the project, national beneficiaries had earlier expressed a level of indifference or ‘hostility’ towards the need to respect human rights in CT investigations, prosecutions and adjudication. As raised in another evaluation report related to a project dealing with criminal justice systems, the integration of CSOs is key in the protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms as they can play an important role to keep local and central authorities accountable.

---

Consistent with the above, a UNODC meta-synthesis on the prevention of crime and violent extremism, found that an effective approach included human rights training for security forces, law enforcement agents and all those involved in the administration of justice, in particular with regards to the prohibition of incitement to hatred.\footnote{UNODC, 2020: Meta-Synthesis: Prevention of Crime and Violent Extremism, p. VII.} A particular example of this could be found in Tanzania, where one project trained government officials in the justice area to provide access legal aid to the segments of the populations previously not considered. Thanks to the field visits carried out as part of the project, the living conditions of inmates in holding cells and prisons has been assessed, with interventions to improve these conditions formulated. The report found that this represented a key milestone in the promotion of human rights of those in conflict with the law.\footnote{UNDP, 2019: Mid-term Evaluation of Inclusive Democratic Governance pillar/Evaluation of Tanzania PVE Project, p.21}

Two evaluation reports highlighted increased awareness of the framework through the development of manuals and handbooks. One evaluation pointed out the development of a handbook and a ‘pocketbook’ in compliance with international human rights law to be used during screening and controlling of persons at entry and exit border posts. The report did not include information on targeted audience or plan for dissemination.\footnote{UNOCT, 2020: Final Report of the Evaluation of the UN Counterterrorism Centre (0 119 Preliminary Findings: Evaluation of pilot project on countering violent extremism in regions of Maghreb and Sahel, 2019, p.40.} Another report noted project interventions including the development of manuals and organization of civic education activities on active citizenship, and advocacy for the adoption of a school curriculum on civic education.\footnote{UNESCO, 2020: Evaluation of UNESCO’s Action to Prevent Violent Extremism, p.21} Similarly, a project that worked in Jordan helped in developing a package of material including information on media information literacy, human rights, and gender. The material was used to train and coach seven CSOs that then went on to give training in schools in a cascading model. During those ensuing training sessions, the civil society representatives observed that some children were teaching their parents and peers the notions that they had been taught.

Another UNODC evaluation pointed out a lack of adequate attention to a human rights approach in some areas of its implementation. The programme reportedly did not promote coordination and knowledge sharing with scholars, academia, or CSOs with an interest in exploring the substantive links between money laundering and gender and human rights issues. Even those of its components that encouraged the creation of networks,
they did not include efforts to partner with organizations promoting human rights departments, specialized UN agencies or programmes such as UN Women.\textsuperscript{121} Likewise, the evaluation of UNCT emphasized that its staff, with the exception of a Human Rights Officer, did not distinguish between programmes with a human rights (Pillar IV) component or emphasis and human rights mainstreaming, that is taking into account the existing status of human rights protections in national CT institutions and processes, and assessing the likely positive or negative effect that a particular programme may have.\textsuperscript{122} Although there were positive results in initiatives elevating the visibility of victims of terrorism, reportedly no reflection was given to a strong human rights mainstreaming process.\textsuperscript{123}

Other evaluation reports refer to the protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms in the context of religious education and religious groups. One evaluation report on a project enhancing the role of religious education in countering violent extremism, maintains that the project activities encouraged the implementation of human rights, especially in weaving the religious freedom of individual and community groups and the freedom of self-expression.\textsuperscript{124} In the same line, another report mentions the Global Unity Forum held in 2018 in which interfaith groups participated to find solutions to violence in the name of religion. According to the report, the forum was intended to create fair and harmonious relationships on the basis of respect of human rights and equality.\textsuperscript{125}

**Development of counter-financing of terrorism mechanisms that consider impacts on humanitarian activities.**

![Figure 13. Counter-terrorism mechanisms and humanitarian activities](image)

As indicated in Figure 13, more than half of the mechanisms (50/89=56\%) aimed at countering the financing of terrorism did not take into consideration their potential impact on humanitarian activities.

In sum, the evaluations reviewed show that diverse and tailored support has been extended to national or regional stakeholders in an effort to strengthen the implementation of the fundamental framework for the protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms while countering terrorism. However, as some reports suggest, more attention needs to be given to adequately mainstreaming human rights in all aspects of programme management, including programme design, implementation, monitoring and evaluation.\textsuperscript{126} Present efforts at mainstreaming human rights are neither consistent nor have a direct link to expectations.

\textsuperscript{121} UNODC, 2017: Mid-term Independent In-depth Evaluation: Global Programme against Money-laundering, Proceeds of Crime and the Financing of Terrorism, p.16.
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\textsuperscript{124} UNDP, 2019: Mid-term Project Evaluation Report: Enhancing the role of Religious Education in Countering Violent Extremism, p.69.

\textsuperscript{125} UNDP, 2019: The Roles of Islamic Moderate Organizations in Countering Violent Extremism, p.64.
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UNDP is supporting innovative research to ascertain greater accountability for human-rights based PVE efforts, through piloting a new approach, including what works to address the psychological impact of violent extremism, a new risk assessment tool, and greater accountability for human-rights based PVE efforts, including monitoring and learning to help address the factors underpinning violent extremism.127

OUTCOME 3.2: INCREASE IN THE NUMBER OF MEMBER STATES THAT ARE PARTIES TO AND IMPLEMENT THE CORE INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENTS ON HUMAN RIGHTS LAW, REFUGEE LAW AND INTERNATIONAL HUMANITARIAN LAW

As evidenced in the report providing analytical reflections of the content, observations, and recommendations of the second global meeting on preventing violent extremism held on 23-24 May 2018 in Norway, the UN has renewed its commitment to supporting MS to formulate and implement national PVE policies consistent with the rule of law and international human rights standards. Accordingly, “the CTITF Working Group on Promoting and Protecting Human Rights and the Rule of Law while Countering Terrorism was established to support efforts by Member States to ensure the promotion and protection of human rights and the rule of law in the context of countering terrorism. In addition, the Human Rights Council (HRC) resolution ‘Human rights and preventing and countering violent extremism’ urged states to ensure that any measures taken to prevent and counter violent extremism comply with all their obligations under international law, and in particular, international human rights.”128

Only six evaluation reports mentioned any connection to outcome 3.2. In the 2018 evaluation of a project assisting Nigeria to strengthen criminal justice responses to terrorism, training on the constitutional provisions, laws, regulations, and guidelines that translate the international obligations into domestic law was found very effective. The training included aspects of human rights safeguards concerning the investigation and prosecution processes, witness protection, admissibility of evidence allegedly obtained through coercion, as well as impunity for sexual and gender-based violence by terrorist groups.129

UNESCO, on the other hand, is supporting key education stakeholders in their efforts at advancing human rights, tolerance, mutual respect and understanding as it supports developing policies, strategies and practices aimed at ensuring that places of learning do not become breeding grounds for violent extremism.130

In terms of refugee law, there is scarcely any evidence in the reports reviewed. Only one evaluation report, mentions the adoption of “a repatriation policy”. This is the case of Malaysia, whereby returnees (foreign terrorist fighters who have fought with the Islamic State (IS) and return to their country of origin) face judicial proceedings and rehabilitation upon returning home. According to the evaluation, to date, 11 Malaysian have returned home. “To deal with the repatriation of returnees, the government employs a raft of existing anti-terrorism laws. The treatment of returnees, the evaluation follows, “highlights the constant need for states to balance national security with the protection of human rights.”131 Despite the importance of the implementation of instruments on refugee law, which has been aggravated by COVID-19, there is not sufficient evidence to confirm that it has taken a relevant role. As mentioned in the impact of travel and trade

127 UNDP, 2020: Review of UNDP’s Global PVE practice, p.3 (UNDP IH 19)
128 UNDP, 2018: Assessing the progress made and future of development approaches to PVE.
restrictions of COVID-19 report, “refugees and internally displaced persons seeking to flee terrorist groups – have been vulnerable to both the direct and indirect consequences of the pandemic.” 132

The reviewed reports did not provide information on the ratification status of important international Human Rights treaties; however, this data was available from the OHCHR portal (Figure 14). However, it could not be readily ascertained whether and to what extent Compact entities has contributed to it.

![Status of Ratifications](https://indicators.ohchr.org/)

In sum, with the current evidence it is not possible to determine an increase in the number of MS that are parties to and implement the core international instruments on human rights law, refugee law and international humanitarian law. Nevertheless, information on some cases of success of MS in integrating international instruments into their national law and strengthening the adoption of human rights and rule of law to fight terrorism was evident.

OUTCOME 3.3: INCREASE IN NUMBER OF MEMBER STATES THAT DEVELOP AND MAINTAIN AN EFFECTIVE AND RULE OF LAW-BASED NATIONAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM FOR PREVENTING AND REDUCING TERRORISM.

For this outcome, there is scarce evidence found in the documents reviewed. A CTED report indicated that responses in the action taken by MS to counter terrorism financing demonstrated the need for further research into the integration of human rights obligations into the investigation and prosecution of terrorism-financing offences.133 The report concluded that many MS were still in need of technical assistance, training, and related equipment for fully and consistently implementing laws and mechanisms against terrorism financiers.

---


133 CTED, 2020: Joint report of the Counter-Terrorism Committee Executive Directorate and the Analytical Support and Sanctions Monitoring Team pursuant to resolutions 1526 (2004) and 2253 (2015) concerning Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant (ISIL) (Da’esh), Al-Qaeda and the Taliban and associated individuals and entities on actions taken by Member States to disrupt terrorist financing, prepared pursuant to paragraph 37 of Security Council resolution 2462.
A project evaluation in South Asia reported that it had helped increase knowledge of officials in the Philippines, improve coordination between agencies, and contributed to fewer cases being dismissed due to weaknesses in preparation. The report describes how improved collaboration was key to the very first conviction under the Philippines’ counter-terrorism law. This was recognized by the lead prosecutor of the case in a message to UN officials in March 2015 and confirmed in interviews with other stakeholders. The project also contributed to making the national criminal justice system in Viet Nam more effective and based on rule of law. National interviewees reported that the project’s Anti-Money Laundering (AML) and Combating the Financing of Terrorism (CFT) training in 2015 contributed to the first ever investigation of three cases, with two cases being sent to court.

According to other evaluation reports, considering the ratification of international CT instruments, MS have requested technical assistance to integrate these instruments into national legislative frameworks. Since 2016, TPB, UNODC, assisted forty countries with drafting, amending and/or adopting national CT legislation. This included Ethiopia (2020), Chad (2020), the Maldives (2019) and Nigeria (2017), all of which have adopted legislation on CT. Chad, notably, revised and adopted its national counter-terrorism legislation, abolishing the death penalty for terrorism-related offenses.

GLOR35 was reportedly contributing to developing an effective and rule of law-based national criminal justice system for preventing and reducing terrorism through different mechanisms. These included improved investigation, prosecution, and adjudication of CT cases, such as in Colombia (2016) and Nigeria (2018-2019), as well as for advancing the rights of indirect beneficiaries. In the case of Colombia, there were 9 convictions on the financing and administration of terrorist assets of which 7 could be attributed to GLOR35. In the case of Nigeria, at least 366 terrorism cases have led to convictions and 882 cases have been discharged, with hearings led by project-trained defense lawyers, prosecutors, and judges.

Additionally, TPB, UNODC, had reportedly contributed to the UN Counter-Terrorism Implementation Task Force (UNCTITF) and the eight Working Groups of the UNGCTCC, which were launched in 2019 to strengthen complementarity and coherence in the field of CT. TPB has continued to chair the Working Group on Countering the Financing of Terrorism with UNOCT, and the Working Group on Criminal Justice, Legal Responses and Countering the Financing of Terrorism of the UN Global Counter-Terrorism Coordination Compact Task Force with CTED. Despite recognition of its importance, Pillar IV of the UNGCTS is often referenced, but rarely, if ever, truly used in methodology, objectives and strategy.

Evidence shows incremental progress in respect to human rights and the rule of law as the fundamental basis of the fight against terrorism. Training of criminal justice and law enforcement officials has contributed to the strategic objective; however, MS still need technical assistance to fully implement fundamental freedoms and human rights and to consistently maintain an effective and rule of law-based national criminal justice system.

CROSS-CUTTING ISSUES: GENDER EQUALITY AND LEAVING NO ONE BEHIND

One of the major risks in the CT/PVE domain is aggravating the already precarious human rights situation of vulnerable categories of population, especially those of women, girls, boys, and youth overall. As the UN Security Council recognizes the most vulnerable population, Resolution S/RES/2178/2014 condemned violent extremism, and called on MS to support efforts to adopt longer-term solutions rooted in addressing the underlying causes of radicalization and violent extremism, including by empowering youth. Moreover, the
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136 UNODC, 2021: Final Independent In-Depth Evaluation: Strengthening the Legal Regime against Terrorism (GLOR35).
137 ibid, p. 25
138 The resolution provides a basis for the Secretary-General’s Plan of Action released in January 2016.
UN Security Council in Resolution 2242 on Women, Peace and Security also recognizes the differential impact on the human rights of women and girls of terrorism and violent extremism in terms of health, education and participation in public life.\textsuperscript{139}

Evidence from the data collected shows the importance of women’s involvement in reducing VE and CT. Not only in terms of tackling structural violence against women, but also empowerment of women, as well as their participation in all levels of public life is important to help reduce violent extremism. As presented in a UNDP report on misogyny in the VE context, “women often identify problems early, push back against them and bring communities together”.\textsuperscript{140} Thus, women’s engagement to better address violent extremism is key. In line with this, Figure 16 shows recommendations developed by 142 participants from 43 countries on how to build peace in contexts affected by violent extremism.\textsuperscript{141} The recommendations were the result of a global digital consultation with women led CSOs and gender equality activists to hear their perspectives on the gendered dimensions of terrorism and CT. The consultation was led by the Working Group on Adopting a Gender-Sensitive Approach to Preventing and Countering Terrorism (Gender Working Group) of the UNGCTCC.

\textbf{Figure 15: References to key outcomes in coded documents (Cross-cutting issues)}

\textsuperscript{139} UNDP, 2016: Preventing Violent Extremism through Promoting Inclusive Development, Tolerance and Respect for Diversity: A development response to addressing radicalization and violent extremism.
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FINDINGS

Figure 16. Outcome Report: Civil Society Voices on the Gendered Dimensions of Violent Extremism and Counter-Terrorism Responses

In terms of women’s participation in their communities and public life and reducing violence extremism, 19% of the evaluation reports indicated either positive or negative results. A UN Women evaluation report on Kenya, noted exemplary work of women from brokering peace between warring communities. The report, however, also pointed out that the women were not involved in decision making processes, particularly at senior levels where strategies to prevent and counter VE were designed and implemented. Similarly, a DPO report found mixed evidence, and suggested that women were active in some peace campaigns and local governance in certain places, but not in other events and places. The report still identified them as a potential force for peace.

Other reports, like the UNDP final evaluation of a project in Chad and Cameroon, indicate the impact of peacebuilding projects that empower community actors. This report, for instance, highlights the changes in the behavior of young people, parents and in/or between different communities. In terms of gender equality, parents’ perceptions of women’s rights, the importance of sending girls to school, the age of marriage and the freedom to choose spouses have significantly changed. Additional evidence can also be found in the 2020 UNDP report, which points out the case of Mindanao, the Philippines, where women play a central role within the conflict as direct combatants, military leaders and peacemakers. Women’s organizations, especially, play a crucial role in curtailing clan conflicts and establishing dialogue between competing sides.

Another strategy to counter VE has been by engaging both youth and women through cultural and artistic activities. A UN Women report describes the use of religious counternarratives, literature, drama, and vocational training to dissuade people from joining violent extremist groups. This resulted in local women and youth completing positive transformation programmes and forming volunteer peace groups. The report describes how these groups collaborate among themselves and with local police and religious leaders to

---

142 UN-Women, 2018: Evaluation of Women’s Active Participation in Preventing and Response to Violent Extremism and Terrorism in Kenya.
143 DPO, 2020: Final Evaluation: Engaging Youth in Building Peaceful Communities in Mali.
144 UNDP, 2019: Final Evaluation of the Project “Supporting mechanisms for consolidating peace at the community level and the inclusion of young people in areas located on the border between Chad and Cameroon.
better understand drivers of VE. This helps with early detection and interventions to promote social cohesion and non-violent conflict resolutions.

» Developing women’s capacity to engage in economic activity and access the formal labour market – through market-relevant skill-sets and networks – can enhance women’s status in their communities and enable their participation in peace-building/violence prevention structures. In addition, economic independence can build both young women’s and their children’s resistance and resilience to crime, including violent extremism, by addressing the ‘pull’ effects of poverty and social isolation.

Figure 17: Meta-synthesis: Prevention of Crime and Violent Extremism 2020, UNODC

11% of the reviewed documents, point out women’s involvement in reducing VE and CT through diverse capacity building efforts. For instance, a UN-Women programme actively engaged women in the PVE and peacebuilding by providing entrepreneurial skills as well as psychosocial support services to develop their skillsets and expand employment opportunities towards financial independence. \(^{147}\) It reportedly led to an increase in the number of women owning their businesses as well as leading community-based organizations focused on peacebuilding. Figure 17 highlights one of the lessons learned presented in the report.

In Kenya, a project led by UN Women in partnership with the National Counter Terrorism Centre brought women victims and survivors of extremism together (beneficiaries of the project) with implementing partners to share lessons learned, built synergies, improving relations and empathy on both sides. The forum enabled women to engage the National Counter Terrorism Centre in future programming. \(^{148}\) Overall, the evaluation concluded that despite the intervention’s strength in attempting to integrate gender equality and human rights concerns, its components could have benefited more from detailed content of the selected topics coupled with allocation of more time and resources.

Another relevant facet on gender equality pertains to the role of women in law enforcement and as criminal justice authorities. A UN-Women report from Niger points out that in order to support victims of terrorism and sexual and gender based violence, 5 gender offices in security forces stations (gendarmes, police & military) were established to provide friendly services for women to help the victims, while 400 security forces officers were trained to protect and support women survivors of terrorism and sexual and gender based violence. \(^{149}\) Another report includes evidence of integrating a gender equality approach in South-eastern and Eastern Europe. According to the report, activities such as gender mainstreaming of women in the police and military do not just prevent conflict in post-conflict states, but also change the atmosphere so that the use of violence is not acceptable, thereby making them less vulnerable to radicalization. \(^{150}\)

Finally, although limited, there is evidence in relation to gender equality and its key role with refugees and returnees in the context of violent extremism and CT. Although women’s participation is not necessarily visible, as mentioned in the 2019 UNDP report, women are filling the gaps in terms of responding to the needs of returnees as peace practitioners, human rights activists, social workers, lawyers, and many others. \(^{151}\) However, their participation reportedly raised alarms about the potential endangerment of these women despite their intentions. This appears to have resulted from a lack of clarity in policies and laws in most countries on supporting returnees -who have fought with IS- and the risk of being deemed as associating or providing material support to members of designated terrorist organizations, even though their support was vital for deradicalization and rehabilitation efforts.


\(^{148}\) UN-Women, 2018: Evaluation of Women’s Active Participation in Preventing and Response to Violent Extremism and Counter-Terrorism in Kenya.


The same report mentions an example to combat stigma of radicalization. In Nigeria, the Allamin Foundation for Peace and Development supported community leaders in reducing stigma and reintegrating female returnees into society. It also developed a holistic community-based reintegration module, combining it with realignment of social norms in communities where Boko Haram has heavily recruited. They also initiated two women’s groups, seeking accountability and justice for victims of enforced disappearance and survivors of mass atrocities.

On the other hand, on the empowerment of youth, 86 reports include the term youth. These reports focus on the involvement of youth in different capacities mainly to address the underlying causes of radicalization and VE. One example of this took place in Niger, where a radio programme was produced for the young refugees from Mali stationed in camps of the Tillabery region. The programme raised awareness on the importance of collaboration between refugees, the security and defense forces, the role of parents and camp chiefs in preventing the youths from joining violent extremist groups, along with the limits of traditional authority over camp newcomers, as well as peaceful cohabitation between refugees and the host populations. In contexts in which, like the case of Niger, a significant percentage of young people live under difficult socioeconomic circumstances with high unemployment rates, extremist groups become attractive alternatives offering resources, such as weapons, motorbikes, and fuel— the value of which is more symbolic and status-related than economic.

According to a UNDP review, 26% of projects work with young people, religious leaders, and media organizations to counter extremist messaging by promoting diverse voices. Some of these projects, integrated an innovative approach to PVE and are considered experiments. One of these cases included art-based initiatives (drama and storytelling) to promote critical thinking and resilience to exclusionary and violent rhetoric. The initiative has reached audiences of tens of millions, creating empathy towards those returning to communities after association with VE group. The report mentioned that 17 (50%) of country initiatives of these UNDP PVE-specific projects, priorities engagement of women and young people, including allocating them in a leadership role in designing and delivering project activities, as well as investing in their potential to shape the national conversation on PVE. In another report on Sudan, for instance, a UNDP evaluation describes the formation and strengthening of platforms such as peace committees, unity forums and advocacy groups among youth to transform their roles from actors in conflicts to “champions of peace” and positive change agents with skills to transform conflicts.

As supported by evidence in diverse contexts, gender equality and the empowerment of women and youth is crucial to CT/ PVE. Whether having a role in preventing radicalization in their communities, actively participating as law enforcement, criminal justice, or in policy-making roles; or as leaders to respond to diverse needs in violent extremism, it is essential to integrate women and youth into future projects and gender perspectives into evaluation of CT initiatives. The compliance with human rights considerations and gender equality and integration of youth needs to become a crucial indicator for measuring real progress.

Evidence shows that gender equality has been integrated to a certain degree in evaluation reports. The role of women in different positions in CT and PVE is certainly crucial. Several interventions describe the involvement of women and youth through capacity-building, peacebuilding nexus or in law enforcement and policymaking. Nevertheless, future evaluations will need to assess whether the strategies to incorporate women into CT and PVE are the most effective ones to impact society at large.

———

152 UNCRJ, 2020: Many hands on an elephant. What enhances community resilience to radicalization into violent extremism?
153 UNCRJ, 2020: Many hands on an elephant. What enhances community resilience to radicalization into violent extremism?
155 UNDP, 2019, Final evaluation of the Beyond Bentiu Protection of Civilian Site (PoC) Youth Reintegration Strategy: Creating Conditions for Peaceful Coexistence between Youth Internally Displaced Persons, Returnees and Host Community Members, p.6
This section synthesizes best practices, identified in the reports reviewed, that have relevance beyond specific projects and programmes. The findings are organized by their potential significance and not by sheer count of observations. To some degree, they have been generalized to be relevant for 37 disparate compact agencies (and beyond).

1) **Multi-dimensional approaches** that combined context-specific technical assistance, capacity-building, mentorship, community empowerment, unity forums, advocacy groups, attention to religious and cultural elements, as well as use of multimedia messaging incorporating theatre and radio for broadcasting positive messages of non-violent conflict resolution were found to be most effective at achieving their intended goals. 25 reports mentioned some variation on this theme. The most prominent examples included UNDP and UNIDO projects mentioned earlier, which appeared to have incorporated many of these elements. Similarly, UNICRI’s work with at-risk communities in the Sahel, Maghreb, and Nigeria, through grass-root organizations, appeared to have used multi-dimensional approaches in intercommunity reconciliation campaigns. This is in line with recent UNDP guidance notes, which call for using comprehensive approaches\(^{156}\), including insights from behavioral sciences\(^{157}\) on countering misinformation, disinformation and behavior.\(^{158}\)

2) **Partnerships**: 78 reports referenced some aspect of partnerships. However, the successful examples that stood out invariably involved leveraging partnerships to achieve either a larger or a wider (e.g., multidimensional) impact or both. Partnering with CSOs, academia, the private sector, regional bodies beyond traditional partnerships with MS and other international organizations was found to accelerate achievement of intended results (although it is also more cumbersome and bureaucratically challenging). Some of the most successful interventions found ways to leverage youth interests — arts, sports, media, informal learning, and personal relationships — to teach peace-building skills. These activities brought together not only the youth but communities as a whole, regardless of their differences. These approaches empowered and engaged youth as full partners in CT/PVE. Similarly, partnering with local communities using community policing models was found to be most effective when residents and policemen had repeated opportunities to interact, exchange contact information, and develop mutual understanding.\(^{159}\) Sharing lessons learned with community members who are survivors of violent extremism also helped in building community trust and partnerships.

3) **Critical mass**: Projects (e.g., EU-Nigeria-UNODC-CTED Partnership Project) that focused on the achievement of a critical mass of participants were reported to be not just more effective, but also more sustainable. Other agencies that seek to replicate this approach should consider using a more targeted approach to make an in-depth impact on a limited number of beneficiaries (e.g., smaller geographic area or narrowly defined groups) in programme designing. The success of these interventions can then be leveraged as the power of an example for others.

4) **Community service models**: Community service efforts, referenced in nine reports, combined with new attitudes of social responsibility, generated a sense of greater belonging, serving the implicit goal of social cohesion. These positive models of community belonging were more effective than negative

---

models (e.g., “here’s how you can empower others in your community” versus “don’t be an extremist”) in terms of creating sustained changes in behavior and senses of communal obligations. These community initiative efforts also had meaningful catalytic effects and empowered women to implement programmes the way that they felt most helpful. Enhancing the professionalism of security forces, law enforcement agencies and justice institutions, as well as ensuring effective oversight and accountability of such bodies, was found to be of special relevance in building respect for human rights and the rule of law. Projects and programmes that seek to replicate similar efforts should consider deeper involvement of community in needs assessment and programme designing.

5) **Establishing networks**: Building networks (e.g., The Asset Recovery Inter-Agency Network for Southern Africa) that bring together practitioners in exchanging valuable information with the aim of promoting collaboration, emerged as a best practice for promoting sustainability. By bringing together professional collaborators, these networks not only extend the reach of the projects, but also help advance agenda of common professional interest for the participants. This, in turn, makes interventions self-sustaining.

6) **Developing institutional infrastructure**: Projects such as a UNDP project on radicalization that relied on construction and rehabilitation of socio-economic infrastructure and strengthening the capacities of vulnerable groups such as young people, refugees, and displaced persons, were found to be more effective at preventing radicalization and VE. Such soft infrastructure can include strengthening legislation (as in the case of UNTOC), which can help institutionalize new practices.

7) **Strategic communications**: Strategic communications via social media were reported to play a vital role in prevention and countering VE narrative and the recruitment of youths into extremist groups. Strategies, such as conducting training sessions for media professionals and editors to share stories of extremist incidences responsibly and mindfully, or to share stories on positive youth behaviors, were found helpful in minimizing recruitment at the grass-roots level. So were also activities that empowered and enabled communities including victims of VE to prevent further VE in their communities. Information and Communication Technology (ICT) solutions can complement such communications. In one case, ICT solutions including Interactive Maps, Automated attendance tracking system (AATS), E-registry of new primary school entrants, and “My community policing officer” mobile application were used to address at least two crucial root causes of social tensions in suburban areas: dissatisfaction with social services and low trust in state and municipal authorities.

8) **Evidence-based programming**: The best designed programmes start with robust research, pay upfront attention to implementation plans including on continuous data collection for monitoring, pursue a long-term strategy, and adjust as needed to stay on track. This was reported to be the case, among others, in a joint IOM and UNDP project, which was reported to be based on grounded research that guided the design, implementation, monitoring and evaluation. Within the constraints of human and financial resources, upfront investment and encouragement for programme officers to be driven by evidence-based research can help achieve best potential results. It is also important to remember the important role high-quality data can play in evidence-based decision-making and empowerment of vulnerable people.

9) **Gender-responsive interventions**: Effective gender-sensitive and gender-responsive projects address the root causes of violence and discrimination against women in all spheres of life. Best practices involve mainstreaming gender into institutional policies, regulations, protocols, guidelines, and communication strategies, as well as designing, implementing, monitoring, and evaluating them to ensure they are effective and sustainable.

---

162 UNFPA, 2020: Evaluation of GPI Project “Addressing Social Disparity and Gender Inequality to Prevent Conflicts in new Settlements in Bishkek.”
163 UNDP, 2019: Beyond Bentiu Protection of Civilian Site (PoC) Youth Reintegration Strategy: Creating Conditions for Peaceful Coexistence between Youth Internally Displaced Persons, Returnees and Host Community Members.
164 <https://unstats.un.org/unsd/undataforum/blog/no-more-data-blah-blah-this-is-about-empowerment/>
programmes, policies and strategies which are intentional in engaging women, promoting the realization of women’s rights, and strengthening women’s economic independence. Multi-phased and adaptive training programmes were reported to be more effective for changing underlying attitudes, beliefs, and behavior in ways that logically support more tolerant communities.165

10) Monitoring and evaluation: Using standardized surveys and shared databases across countries and organizations is a best practice for enhancing the consistency and international comparability of CT statistics and use of data by national governments. An example of this practice is provided by MS participation by two UNODC evaluations.166 Other creative uses in M&E include hiring community liaison points for local contextual monitoring and direct engagement with beneficiaries. Given their high value and relatively low cost, an IOM audit report highly recommended their use.167 Similarly, a training for students at the National University of Trujillo helped strengthen local evaluation capacity on Human Security, which was expected to also help replicate the intervention in other communities in the immediate vicinity.168

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common best practices:</th>
<th># reports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building alliances and establishing community liaison points</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building trust in community</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creation of spaces for youths to express their opinions and be listened to</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focusing on role of municipalities and local government stakeholders in PVE</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local context monitoring</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting gender specific needs</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of sports and culture to PVE/CVE</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using implementing organizations to leverage youth engagement</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common lessons learned:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Focusing on partnership model as compared to viewing rightsholders as beneficiaries</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity building of community stakeholders including youth, religious leaders, women etc.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adopting a holistic approach and focusing on comprehensive projects, linking PVE to youth</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased cultural sensitivity and nuanced understanding of target context</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible funding to support different activities (soft earmarked)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased community engagement and building partnerships with community stakeholders</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meaningful participation of young women and marginalized youth</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respect for human rights</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of social media and online tools to PVE/CVE</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 18. Best practices and lessons learned: Content analysis


167 IOM, 2018: Member State Audit Scheme – Consolidated Audit Summary Report.

Lessons learned are general conclusions with a potential for wider application and use. These represent the documented information on the positive and negative experience of various development interventions. They enable learning from specific experience of certain implementers for their wider peer groups. More broadly, these reflections on previous experience should be treated as hypotheses to be considered and tested by others for a wider application. The lessons presented below, identified in the reports reviewed, offer a synthesis from the generalizable perspective of multiple Compact entities, while those of relevance only to individual entities are left to be covered in internal reviews of relevant entities.

1) **Funding model:** The project-based funding model emerged as one of the most significant challenges. Since the funding of CT is not institutionalized, it is primarily dependent on donors’ interests. It is reportedly easier to fund “harder” and short-term security issues than “softer” and longer-term solutions. This practice is also reflected at the level of national governments which find it easier to allocate resources for short-term and forceful measures than to invest in long-term sustainable changes.¹⁶⁹

2) **Beneficiaries and participants as co-creators:** Involving targeted beneficiaries (rightsholders) throughout the process was identified as an important issue. Maintaining contact with them from design and implementation to follow-up monitoring and eventual evaluation needs to be incorporated into related logistics and budgetary needs assessments.¹⁷⁰ Such real-time monitoring was found mutually beneficial as this enabled immediate adaptation and course correction. Five reports referred to this as using a (truer) partnership model, instead of viewing rightsholders as beneficiaries.¹⁷¹

3) **Field staff as co-developers:** Field staff should ideally be empowered to drive the process based on their intimate knowledge of local culture and context. At a minimum, including them in core coordination and cross-sectoral groups was found to help build a closer relationship between the field and HQ. It also better supported project development and facilitated sharing of knowledge and learning.

4) **Complementary interventions**¹⁷² significantly increase both the likelihood and sustainability of success (e.g., business loans and training, vocational training and labor market access, and advocacy campaigns with literacy education).¹⁷³ Such efforts provide both the incentives and means to adopt behavioral changes. As put succinctly in a UNDP evaluation, when youth have been trained in employment skills and they then work and train together in businesses and sports, tensions are reduced, if not eliminated altogether.¹⁷⁴

---

¹⁶⁹ See, among others, the UNODC GPML evaluation above. Assessment Of UNDP’s Libya Results on Reconciliation and Peace Building also noted that Sustainability was a major drawback of many international projects in conflict-affected states around the world, which have a strong desire to ‘doing something’ and a lesser focus on following up for long-term effectiveness.

¹⁷⁰ See, for example, the 2018 IOM audit report mentioned earlier.

¹⁷¹ At least one report also called for involving national institutions from the outset in the design process as otherwise their participation later cannot be guaranteed (UNDP, 2018: End of Project Evaluation: Conflict Prevention and Peace Preservation 2015-2017).

¹⁷² Some reports designate this as holistic approaches. See also, UNDP, 2019: Final Evaluation of the Project “Supporting mechanisms for consolidating peace at the community level and the inclusion of young people in areas located on the border between Chad and Cameroon.”

¹⁷³ UNDP, 2020: Final Report Evaluation of the Iraq Crisis Response and Resilience Programme (ICRRP). See also UNDP, 2019: Final Evaluation of the Project “Supporting mechanisms for consolidating peace at the community level and the inclusion of young people in areas located on the border between Chad and Cameroon.”

¹⁷⁴ UNDP, 2019: Final Evaluation of the Beyond Bentiu Protection of Civilian Site (PoC) Youth Reintegration Strategy.
5) **Anticipate resistance to change**: 14 reports contained lessons pertaining to participation of women and youth. The most significant example showed that despite good intentions to include women (as well as youth and other marginalized groups), projects often underestimate social resistance to their participation. Nine of these reports also advocated for increased cultural sensitivity and nuanced understanding of target contexts.175 Relatedly, encouragement from Core Learning Partners (CLPs) was found essential to help youth to build confidence and encourage active participation, something which was especially useful during the dialogues and initiatives process.176 These aspects should be paid closer attention to if the projects are to make a meaningful contribution.

6) **Mentoring**: At least nine reports highlighted the importance of mentoring in lessons learned (and at least two reports did so among best practices). A UNDP evaluation, for example, called for recognizing that long-term change requires mentorship.177 Another UNDP evaluation noted that (if mentored,) youths were “genuine artisans” for peace and social cohesion.178 Furthermore, a UNODC global programme, managed in partnership with World Bank and IMF, was recognized for using this strategy to both support legislative changes as well as implementation of capacity development.179

7) **Role of the religion**: While several reports highlighted the importance of incorporating religion in the design of interventions, notably only one did so in the lessons learned. A UNICRI report rightfully included a rejoinder on recognizing the importance of religion to local communities in several terrorism-afflicted countries.180 This report noted that the religion had an important role in the lives of people living in marginalized communities of the nine countries and that it had to be considered as an element that permeated the daily lives of community members and incorporated into the design of assistance programmes. On the flip side, reports and research presented in the findings section illustrate the danger of equating terrorism with Islamic terrorism, which while ignoring other kinds of terrorism, likely feeds into the narrative of extremists on all sides (for some to claim victimhood and for others to persecute). The instrumentalization of religion that serves political objectives and/or legitimates VE, though challenging, is clearly understudied. This is a gap in our understanding that calls for rigorous research.

8) **Environment and risk management**: There is a growing recognition of the role environment and climate change plays in disasters and radicalization (as in the case of Sahelian countries, such as Mali). Evaluators have started identifying specific lessons learned pertaining to risk management in this regard. A UNDP report, for example, noted that with environmental disasters becoming a recurring phenomenon, especially because of climate change, this reality must be considered in risk assessments to protect the results achieved through the projects.181 This was also brought to light in the UNICRI evaluation, referred to the previous paragraph, in the context of tribes living in the areas bordering Mali and Niger, who face poverty, political and social exclusion, security challenges and adverse effects of climate change.

---

175 See, for example. UNDP, 2020: Assessment of UNDP Libya Results on Reconciliation and Peace Building Processes.
176 Similarly, a UNICRI CVE report on Sahel-Maghreb observed that it was important to consider women’s social environment and make everybody aware of possibility for their participation and conditions for their doing so (e.g., no male trainers and no activities to be carried out in public spaces and family consent to participate).
177 IOM, 2019: Internal Programme Evaluation of Community Based Approaches to Support Youth in Targeted Municipalities in BiH - Bosnia and Herzegovina and Moving towards Sustainable Approaches to Prevent Violent Extremism.
179 UNDP, 2019: Final Evaluation of supplementary funds for Japan, which noted that it was clear that if mentored, youths are genuine artisans for the consolidation of peace and social cohesion.
181 UNICRI, 2020: Many hands on an elephant. What enhances community resilience to radicalization into violent extremism? Findings from the project on countering radicalization and violent extremism in the regions of Sahel and Maghreb.
9) **Evaluation methodologies:** Barring notable exceptions as noted earlier, most evaluation reports used perceptual measures such as interview and survey data, often without incorporating statistical methodologies that establish their validity and reliability. As far as feasible, Compact agencies would do well to encourage increased triangulation by incorporating objective data and methodologies, including archival and big data as well as impact evaluation and experimental designs, to validate their findings.

10) **Evaluation capacity development:** 50 reports suggested the need for building evaluation capacity of the programme/project/organization. These suggestions included training in the development and use of common indicators for outcomes and impacts, incorporating measurement strategies in programmes and projects, as well as encouraging a culture of quality monitoring and evaluation by key M&E specialists. There were also suggestions for more systematic needs assessments during the programme design stage, including strengthening coordination mechanisms with national statistical services to increase availability and accessibility of statistical data on patterns and trends of CT and PVE, developing more robust M&E systems to produce reliable and up-to-date data, as well as coordinating monitoring activities between HQ and field offices.
This study synthesized the findings from 118 evaluation, audit, oversight, and internal reviews on the UNGCTs conducted by various Compact entities in the last three years. To the extent possible, the synthesized findings were validated by interviews and survey of key informants, as well as by regular involvement and discussions with members of the Sub-Group on Evaluation of the RMME WG. Furthermore, preliminary findings from this meta-synthesis were presented at two meetings of the entire RMME WG for additional validation.

One of the first steps, after a preliminary desk review, was to reconstruct a ToC and associated logical framework for the extensive CT work being carried out by the Compact entities. UNGCTs provided the basis for this reconstruction, which was also validated following the procedure outlined above. This framework was used for data collection, synthesis, organization, and presentation of findings in this report. This report both documents the best available evidence of achievements (or not) of objectives and outcomes for the UNGCTS, as well as identifies gaps that were clearly discernible at this stage.

The meta-synthesis found adequate evidence to conclude that the Compact entities were delivering a wide variety of vital technical assistance and support to MS. There was no evidence to suggest that delay or cost overruns were affecting delivery of planned outputs in any significant manner. It also found evidence of progress on achieving most of the outcomes (excepting those pertaining to human rights on which limited information was available) envisaged in the ToC outlined above. It was, however, also apparent that most of the available evidence was inadequate to assess (not to mention quantify) the extent to which these outcomes were being achieved. This was true not just with respect to second-order outcomes with complex causal chains such as behavioral changes, but even with respect to the first-order outcomes such as change in knowledge and awareness.

Thus, capacity development for preventing or minimizing conditions conducive to the spread of terrorism is one of the key outcomes that most Compact entities seek. While 100 reports contained evidence relevant to this outcome, only a handful contained information that could be used to assess the extent of results in this regard. More microscopically, the meta-synthesis noted qualitative evidence for the use and usefulness of capacity building efforts of various Compact agencies, but most of it was immediate perception-based snapshots taken right after a training event, which were inadequate to understand what worked and what did not. The meta-synthesis also noted the need for studies, impact evaluations and more robust monitoring, evaluating, and reporting tools to assess the extent to which UNGCTS interventions were achieving their intended results.

Similarly, the meta-synthesis points out that there is sufficient evidence to indicate that the Compact agencies are contributing to the inculcation of a culture of peace, justice, rule of law and human rights. It was, however, not possible to assess if such efforts resulted in any long-term changes in targeted countries. While qualitative evidence suggested a reduction in incitement to commit terrorist acts, more definitive information is needed to assess overall impact and specific contributions of various Compact entities. The meta-synthesis, likewise, noted that the reviewed reports described in general terms high levels of satisfaction with the interventions. Concrete data on the outcomes and impacts (e.g., social rehabilitation and reintegration) of these interventions was however not available.

Similar patterns were observable across other notable outcomes. Qualitative evidence suggested increased international cooperation among MS on PVE/CT. While the meta-synthesis found adequate evidence for the promotion of international cooperation among MS towards PVE/CT, more evidence would be needed to conclude how closely Compact entities themselves collaborate with each other in supporting MS.

---

182 While reports reviewed for this synthesis did not provide any information, interviews suggested that the adoption of CT measures maybe undermining the rule of law, justice, and peace efforts in some cases. This should be assessed in the full-fledged evaluation.
The meta-synthesis also observed some variation on this theme. In general, the evidence was more apparent in respect to easier-to-monitor outcomes, such as ratification of treaties. Thus, for example, there was adequate evidence to suggest that an increased number of MS had joined UNTOC. In fact, there were 147 signatories and 190 parties to the Convention as of July 2018. However, it was not clear to what extent each of the MS was implementing UNTOC and with what degree of success. Similar evidence was available regarding the creation and strengthening of CT mechanisms or regarding implementation of the comprehensive international standards on Money-Laundering. The meta-synthesis noted significant progress had been made in adopting and implementing international standards on Money-Laundering but, given the constant evolution of this field (e.g., emergence of cybercrime, cryptocurrencies, and trade-based money-laundering to mention a few), the need for Compact entities and MS to remain vigilant and continuously adapt in response is also evident.

In contrast, some outcomes had sparse evidence. The meta-synthesis found no evidence on use or abuse of asylum processes or on ensuring effective, transparent, fair, and humanitarian international travel and transport practices. It must be explicitly noted that this could be due to the result of lack of data and participation by relevant Compact entities.

Despite identifiable data gaps, some gaps in the scope of the work being carried out by the Compact entities were also discernible. The meta-synthesis noted that most CT/PVE projects were being carried out in developing countries of Asia and Africa. Even more significantly, Islamic terrorism was found to be the chief focus across these projects. Other kinds of violent extremist acts, such as state violence, right-wing or “green” terrorism, especially in the global north, did not appear to have received much, if any, attention. It is possible that this was partly related to the capacity of countries in the global north to address their own challenges. It is just as likely that it was due to the successful ideological association between terrorism and Islamic terrorism (which would echo the challenges noted in the academic literature). The meta-synthesis concluded that this observation called for at the least some self-examination by Compact agencies as they undertake new projects and programmes.

On cross-cutting issues, such as human rights and gender equity, the meta-synthesis noted evidence for incremental progress in respect for human rights and the rule of law as the fundamental basis of the fight against terrorism. Training of criminal justice and law enforcement officials was contributing to the intended results; however, MS still needed technical assistance in maintaining an effective and rule of law-based criminal justice systems. The meta-synthesis noted that gender equality was being integrated to a certain degree, however more work was needed to mainstream the crucial role of women in PVE/CT. Several interventions described the involvement of women and youth through capacity-building, peacebuilding nexus or in law enforcement and policymaking. Nevertheless, future evaluations would need to assess the degree to which the strategies to incorporate women into CT and PVE are effective to societies at large.

Thus, overall, the meta-synthesis noted evidence for success as well as areas where perceptible improvements can be made. Even more importantly, it identified large gaps in availability of evidence that require more information to assess the degree of success. Thus, the meta-synthesis concluded the need for a full-fledged evaluation of the UNGCTS.

While this meta-synthesis lays the foundation for a potential evaluation, the ToC also provides a framework that can serve as the initial basis for developing such an evaluation. It can also help in the identification of evaluation capacities (and gaps), as well as in the development of a common evaluation framework across disparate Compact entities.
While this meta-synthesis is a retrospective accounting exercise of what has been achieved, learned, and improved in a meaningful way during the last three years or so, it also identifies what actions are needed for the immediate future. From this perspective of projecting possible ways forward, the following recommendations are proposed for the consideration of Compact entities. These recommendations are arranged sequentially in the order in which they should ideally be undertaken and the management response, coordinated by the RMME WG, should be based on an inclusive process and provide dedicated responsibilities and steps for implementation.

RECOMMENDATION 1 – FULL-FLEDGED EVALUATION

While this meta-synthesis provides a comprehensive look at information gleaned from 118 evaluation and other oversight reports, it was intended to be a building block towards a full-fledged, independent evaluation of the UNGCTS. Therefore, as a logical next step, the Subgroup on Evaluation of the RMME WG; in coordination and cooperation with all Compact entities; should initiate a full-fledged independent evaluation of the UNGCTS and related Compact to derive robust findings on results achieved, good practices, and lessons learned, also in the context of the SDGs.

In concrete terms, the Subgroup on Evaluation of the RMME WG should undertake the following:

1. As a first step, and fully considering the results of the UNGCTS desk review by UNOCT (currently ongoing) and this meta-synthesis, develop a concrete concept note and/or project proposal for the planning, implementation, and dissemination of a strategic evaluation, thereby ensuring a realistic budget plan and an appropriate timeline for the full evaluation process and related evaluation capacity building, as necessary.

2. The evaluation needs to entail sufficient resources to allow for an appropriate assessment of results at national, regional, inter-regional and global level, thereby ensuring that results are assessed from the local – e.g., through case studies - up to the global level in terms of effectiveness and impact of the UNGCTS. Moreover, sufficient funding is essential to ensure appropriate evaluation planning, identifying the scope for evaluation, implementation and follow-up.

3. Once sufficient funding is secured, an evaluation that follows – as per usual practice – the norms and standards of the United Nations Evaluation Group (UNEG) and is fully in line with the universally recognized evaluation criteria of relevance, efficiency, effectiveness, coherence, sustainability, impact, as well as consideration of human rights and gender equality aspects, should be initiated. Such an evaluation should be conducted in an inclusive, participatory, utilization-focused manner and should focus on the work of Compact entities towards their contribution to the results outlined in the UNGCTS.

4. The evaluation needs to entail a clear process for stakeholder participation and engagement to ensure that all stakeholders, including Compact entities and MS, are fully engaged throughout this process. High-level events to MS and senior management of Compact entities should be planned from the onset beginning with the launch of the evaluation itself as well as throughout various stages of the evaluation. A wide dissemination of evaluation results will be essential to ensure utilization of evaluation results across Compact entities.

Timeframe: To be initiated within the next 9-12 months, or once sufficient funding is available (Proposed action: Subgroup on Evaluation of the RMME WG; in coordination and cooperation with all Compact entities)
RECOMMENDATION 2 – KNOWLEDGE PLATFORM

One of the challenges that the meta-synthesis found was the absence of a common M&E framework and knowledge management platform for sharing evaluation and other oversight reports. It was validated in interviews and the online survey that most M&E officers, and not to mention programme and project officers, found it extremely difficult to access knowledge created across 37 Compact and 6 observer entities. The meta-synthesis established preliminary evidence to suggest the need for establishing a knowledge platform for sharing knowledge. UNODC’s evaluation management and knowledge sharing tool, Unite Evaluations, could provide the model for developing such a system. (However, this can and should be confirmed in the full-fledged evaluation referenced above).

Therefore, it is recommended to:

- Identify, leverage, or build on already available systems (e.g., UNOCT’s “Connect & Learn” platform) for sharing knowledge for disseminating relevant evaluation and oversight results to the Global CT Compact entities.

Timeframe: To be initiated within the next 12-18 months (Proposed action: Subgroup on Evaluation of the RMME WG)

RECOMMENDATION 3 – EVALUATION CAPACITY AND COMMON M&E FRAMEWORK

The meta-synthesis made clear the need for assessing and developing evaluation capacity among the Compact entities, as well as indicated the absence of a common M&E framework of initiatives under the UNGCTS. The meta-synthesis established preliminary evidence to suggest the need for harmonizing the framework and strengthening evaluation capacity. Not only do half of the reviewed reports mention this need, as validated in interviews, the data and methodologies used in evaluation products further show the need to focus on developing this capacity among programme staff and evaluators engaged by the Compact entities. Such an assessment should pay close attention to whether the entities possess capacity to assess impact on human rights and rule of law of CT actions by UN entities and their partners.

Therefore, it is recommended to:

- Develop a concrete project proposal/concept note for:
  - Strengthening evaluation knowledge and capacities of internal and external stakeholders (e.g., through trainings, webinars, community of practice, etc.) as well as
  - Developing a common M&E framework for the UNGCTS for identifying and measuring results and impact of the work conducted by all Compact entities under the UNGCTS.

This concept note/proposal should include budget requirements and clearly identify measures needed for assessing and strengthening evaluation capacity and for developing a common M&E framework, fully taking into consideration the results of this meta-synthesis and the full-fledged evaluation of the UNGCTS.

Timeframe: To be initiated within the next 12-18 months (Proposed Action: Subgroup on Evaluation of the RMME WG)

RECOMMENDATION 4 – POLICY DIALOGUE ON COUNTERTERRORISM

Echoing the analysis of this report and the recommendation made in the OCHA’s 2013 Independent Study of the Impact of Donor Counter-Terrorism Measures on Principled Humanitarian Action, this meta-synthesis calls for Compact entities to equip the international community and donor States with the knowledge base necessary for engaging in a sustained and open policy dialogue on what constitutes terrorism and CT and how best to reconcile CT measures with humanitarian action (especially if the full-fledged evaluation validates this finding). This work should encompass all relevant CT/PVE sectors as well as the humanitarian community at both HQ and field level. This should be implemented bearing in mind recommendation no. 5 on research.
Therefore, it is recommended to:

- **Identify and implement measures to continue engaging in a sustained and open policy dialogue with the international community and donors on what constitutes terrorism and CT.**
- **Identify measures on how to best reconcile CT with humanitarian action, thereby encompassing all relevant CT/PVE sectors.**

Timeframe: To be initiated within the next 24-36 months (Proposed coordination: UNOCT in coordination with all Compact entities)

**RECOMMENDATION 5 – GROUNDED RESEARCH**

Due to the need for better understanding on how people are radicalized and driven to violent extremism, investment in research is essential to enlarge the intellectual horizon, stimulate critical thinking and advance understanding and approaches to contemporary violence, asymmetrical conflicts, and peacebuilding. As an example, going beyond stocktaking, only a limited number of experimental studies (that are the gold standard for establishing causality) have so far been undertaken.

Therefore, it is recommended for Compact entities to:

- **partner with research sectors of the UN and beyond, including CSOs and private sector, to leverage existing research and**
- **conduct further studies on what works and what does not in the area of UNGCTS activities, projects, programmes, strategies on CT and PCVE.**

Timeframe: To be initiated as feasible by individual Compact entities or different Working Groups (Proposed coordination: UNOCT, UNICRI and UNODC in cooperation with all Compact entities)

**RECOMMENDATION 6 – SPECIAL ATTENTION TO HUMAN RIGHTS ISSUES**

This meta-synthesis found limited evidence of an increase in respect of human rights and rule of law within the framework of activities that fight terrorism. While training of criminal justice and law enforcement officials was contributing towards this goal, it also appeared that MS need specific technical assistance to ensure full respect of fundamental freedoms and human rights, as well as an effective rule of law-based national criminal justice system. Furthermore, the meta-synthesis found that Compact entities themselves need additional resources and capacity for the effective mainstreaming of the UN agenda on human rights, gender equality and leaving no one behind. Thus, any future full-fledged evaluation of the UNGCTS— as well as to the extent possible every evaluation of Compact entities— should consider the support provided by Compact entities to strengthen human rights and gender equality as a crucial indicator of real and measurable progress and success.

Therefore, it is recommended to:

- **Identify concrete measures to strengthen technical assistance to MS on human rights**
- **Increase resources – through dedicated fundraising - and capacity of Compact entities for the effective mainstreaming of the UN agenda on human rights, gender equality and leaving no one behind;**
- **Fully consider the support provided by Compact entities in the full-fledged evaluation of the UNGCTS to strengthen human rights and gender equality as a crucial indicator of real and measurable progress and success.**

Timeframe: To be initiated as feasible by individual Compact entities (Proposed coordination: UNOCT/WG on Human Rights in consultation with RMME WG and the Subgroup on Evaluation)
## A. GENERAL INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Project submitted by</strong></th>
<th>UNODC, UNOCT, UNICRI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pillar supported</strong></td>
<td>Primarily Pillar 3: Measures to build states’ capacity to prevent and combat terrorism and to strengthen the role of the United Nations (UN) system in that regard. And cuts across all Pillars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Indicate only one Pillar of the Global CT Strategy that the project primarily supports.</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcome/Output supported</strong></td>
<td>Outcome 4: International cooperation: With the UN Counter-Terrorism Centre (UNCCT) support, Member States (MS), UN entities and other stakeholders improve their cooperation to prevent violent extremism and counter terrorism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(UNCCT 5-Year Programme/ UNOCT strategic frameworks)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Geographical scope</strong></td>
<td>Global</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Indicate global, regional, or national</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Regions or countries covered</strong></td>
<td>Global</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Substantive partner(s)</strong></td>
<td>Sub-Group on Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Implementing partner(s)</strong></td>
<td>UNODC; UNOCT; UNICRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main beneficiary(ies)</strong></td>
<td>Compact members; MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key stakeholders</strong></td>
<td>Compact members; Working Group on Resource Mobilization, Monitoring and Evaluation (RMME WG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Timescale</strong></td>
<td>12 months (from receipt of funding until delivery of final report)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Indicate the total planned duration of project in months. If known, include planned start-end dates.</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Outline business case** | As per the Terms of Reference (ToR) of the RMME WG—in particular relating to sharing best practices and applying best practices in evaluation—UNODC, UNOCT and UNICRI in the framework of the Sub-Group on Evaluation, plan to undertake an independent meta-synthesis of evaluations conducted by the UN Global Counter-Terrorism Coordination Compact (UNGCTCC) entities particularly since 2018 under the UN Global Counter-Terrorism Strategy (UNGCTS). The assessment will also include a review to what extent CTED recommendations were referred to in the analyzed evaluations. This is fully in line with the SG's report A/73/866 to further strengthen evaluation frameworks for the UN to better measure the results of its counter-terrorism work and encourage the sharing of project evaluations to promote peer learning and the dissemination of good practices. Moreover, it will offer aggregate evaluation results of Compact entities vis-à-vis the implementation of the UNGCTS to the extent possible.

The results of the meta-synthesis will support Compact entities to share with a wide audience, including MS, aggregate results, lessons learned and best practices of its work and identify possible ways forward and recommendations in strengthening common evaluation approaches, frameworks and systems. |
|---|---|
| **Project goal** | The overall objective of the projects is to improve the quality delivery of technical assistance to MS under the UNGCTS through the promotion of utilization of lessons learned across entities.

To achieve such, the project will contribute to accountability and learning of the Global Compact entities in their support to MS in preventing and countering violent extremism conducive to terrorism. |
| **Outcomes** | Increased stakeholders’ knowledge, awareness and application of recurring recommendations and best practices contained in evaluation reports of the Global Counter-Terrorism Coordination Compact entities. Further, increased utility of evaluation results and contribution to evidence-based decision-making.

Increased stakeholder’s awareness of concrete requirements, mechanisms, and parameters for a strategic evaluation of UNGCTS |
| **Outputs** | Approach/concept paper delivered.

Synthesis review team recruited.

Inception Report delivered.

Data collection finalized.

Draft meta-synthesis report prepared, including a dedicated chapter on requirements and mechanisms for a strategic evaluation of the UNGCTS.

Final, fully edited and visually appealing meta-synthesis report produced.

2-page Brief with key results and recommendations prepared, including identified requirements and mechanisms for a strategic evaluation of the UNGCTS.

Presentation delivered to key stakeholders. |
| Main activities | In close consultation with the Sub-Group on Evaluation and on the basis of briefings on key results to the RMME WG:  
Prepare an approach/concept paper for the meta-synthesis, including an initial desk review list and proposed methodological approach, as well as the consultants ToRs, a work plan and clear roles and responsibilities.  
Recruit the Synthesis review team.  
Prepare an Inception report with an outline of the methodological approach to the meta-synthesis.;  
Conduct data collection for the meta-synthesis, including through questionnaires to all UN agencies of the Working Group.  
Produce a draft meta-synthesis report.  
Produce a final, fully edited and visually appealing meta-synthesis report.  
Conduct an external quality assessment of the meta-synthesis report.  
Prepare a 2-page Brief with the key results.;  
Present the results of the meta-synthesis to relevant stakeholders, including other Compact Working Groups. |
|---|
| Project approach | This project will be implemented by UNODC, UNOCT, and UNICRI with the support of the members of the Sub-Group on Evaluation.  
The Subgroup on Evaluation of the RMME WG will work as the reference group for the meta-synthesis evaluation and backstop the work of the consultant(s).  
The SubGroup on Evaluation of the RMME WG will be reporting on a quarterly basis its work to the Co-Chairs of the RMME WG who will continuously provide overall guidance and support to the meta-synthesis evaluation process. |
| Project Management Team structure and role descriptions | UNODC, substantive partner & project management: Mr. Emanuel Lohninger, Evaluation Officer; Ms. Katharina Kayser, Chief, Independent Evaluation Section (IES)  
UNOCT, Programme Manager, Monitoring and Evaluation: Ms. Josephine Mwenda  
UNICRI, implementing partner: Mr. Odhran McCarthy; Ms. Tamara Neskovic  
In coordination and close consultation with the Evaluation Sub-Group 4 consultants: including a core team of 1 evaluation expert and 1 expert on Prevention of Counter-Terrorism (P/CT) and Prevention of Violent Extremism (P/CVE); as well as 1 senior reviewer on P/CT & P/CVE; 1 senior external evaluation quality assurance reviewer; to be identified  
Dedicated 4 work months for 1 Evaluation Officer (UNODC) and 2 work months for 1 Programme Officer (UNICRI). |
### Human Rights Mainstreaming 183

Describe how human rights is integrated in the project design and how it will be mainstreamed throughout the project lifecycle. Specify the key outputs/activities dedicated to human rights.

The United Nations Evaluation Group (UNEG) Norms and Standards fully include human rights and gender mainstreaming, thereby ensuring that particular emphasis is placed on these topics in evaluations.

This meta-synthesis will include a dedicated chapter on human rights and gender equality and the analysis process will ensure that related findings, recommendations, lessons learned, and best practices are identified.

---

### Gender Mainstreaming 184

Describe how gender dimensions are integrated in the project design and how these will be mainstreamed throughout the project lifecycle. Include the gender marker for the project (0-3) based on its gender responsiveness and provide short explanation for the rating.

UNEG Norms and Standards fully include human rights and gender mainstreaming, thereby ensuring that particular emphasis is placed on these topics in evaluations.

This meta-synthesis will include a dedicated chapter on human rights and gender equality and the analysis process will ensure that related findings, recommendations, lessons learned, and best practices are identified.

Guidance documents for gender-responsive evaluations will be included in the meta-synthesis as well for reference.

The project will promote gender equality through including a dedicated chapter on related evaluation findings in the meta-synthesis, contributing thereby to increased visibility of gender equality. The gender marker will be assessed as 1.

The recruitment process for the meta-synthesis evaluation will ensure that competences in human rights and gender are part of the ToR for recruitment.

---

### Risks, Constraints, Assumptions

One of the key risks is that evaluation results are to some extent too heterogeneous to allow for appropriate aggregation of data. Moreover, the quality of evaluation results may vary according to the type of evaluation. These risks will be overcome by also including external reviews and assessments, as necessary and by developing a mixed-methods approach to data collection, including a survey to Compact entities.

Constraints relate to the fact that no evaluation framework is in place for evaluations which are conducted by compact members – e.g., no standard questions, scope, etc. However, the meta-synthesis may provide recommendations on how to further enhance the standardization of evaluation processes, systems and frameworks.

Furthermore, the sensitivity of the topic may lead to limited access to evaluations that are available for internal use only. However, this will be counteracted by aggregating results to a higher level to ensure confidentiality of individual evaluations if necessary.

A key assumption is based on an active collaboration of all Compact entities to ensure that the meta-synthesis includes all available information and that focal points for evaluation are identified in each entity. An active outreach through the Chair of the RMME WG was already fostered to ensure active participation.

---

183 Refer to the Human Rights Mainstreaming Guidelines for UNOCT Projects to mainstream human rights in project design (logical framework).

184 Refer to the Gender Mainstreaming Guidelines for UNOCT Projects to mainstream gender in the project design (logical framework). Refer to the UNOCT Gender Marker Information Note to scale the project in terms of gender responsiveness (gender marker).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Seed fund for Global Compact Working Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Indicate the funding modality (e.g., co-funding, Trust Fund, etc.) and specify potential/interested donors if known</em></td>
<td>Contribution by UNODC through dedicated work months by an Evaluation Officer, as well as the Deputy Chief and Chief of IES.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contribution by UNICRI through dedicated work time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In-kind contribution by UNOCT and evaluation Sub-Group members</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SURVEY INSTRUMENT
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The UN needs your feedback! Thank you!

Dear colleagues,

As a member of the UN Global Counter-Terrorism Coordination Compact, we need your support to develop the first ever UN-led independent analysis of evaluation and oversight products to identify and compile findings, conclusions, recommendations, lessons learned and good practices, advancing the implementation of the UN Global Counter-Terrorism Strategy.

Under the auspices of the Global Counter-Terrorism Coordination Compact, and its eight inter-agency working groups, 43 entities mobilize resources and expertise for the implementation of the UN Global Counter-Terrorism Strategy (A/RES/60/288). Over the 14 years since the adoption of the Strategy, these entities have implemented a vast number of national, regional and international programmes, projects and activities, all of which yield important lessons and critical insights for the fight against terrorism and violent extremism.

By tapping into these insights and sharing experiences, the Compact entities can support one another to deliver assistance more effectively and ensure that resources are expended on strategies and initiatives that have been tried and tested with positive outcomes. Moreover, the exercise will pave the way for a potential strategic evaluation of the Global Counter-Terrorism Strategy to be conducted by the inter-agency Working Group on Resource Mobilisation, Monitoring and Evaluation.

The identified common trends across all analyzed documents, interviews and this survey – lessons learned and good practices – will be aggregated, synthesized and shared at a strategic level for learning purposes, offering insights into evaluation capacities and approaches on counter-terrorism and PCVE, informing a potential evaluation of the Global Counter-Terrorism Strategy, and, ultimately, further strengthening and better coordinating the delivery of technical assistance to Member States.

Your responses to the survey will be kept strictly anonymous. They will be reported in an aggregate form only. If you have questions or concerns about this survey, please feel free to contact us at the addresses provided below. We understand pressures on your time and have kept the survey very short. It should take no more than 20 minutes of your time.

We appreciate your feedback!

Sincerely,

Punit Arora
Independent meta-synthesis team leader
punit.arora@un.org

Emanuel Lohninger, UNODC, emanuel.lohninger@un.org
Josephine Mwenda, UNOCT, josephine.mwenda@un.org
Odhraun McCarthy, UNICRI, odhraun.mccarthy@un.org
Tamara Neskovic, UNICRI, tamara.neskovic@un.org
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United Nations
* 1. Name

* 2. Email

United Nations
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* 3. Which of the following UN Global Compact Entities on counter terrorism (UNGCEs or compact entities) do you currently work for?

4. Have you worked for any other compact entity?
   - Yes
   - No

United Nations
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5. Which other compact entities did you work for? Please select all that apply

- 1267 Committee Monitoring Team
- 1540 Committee Expert Group
- Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty Organization (CTBT)
- Counter-Terrorism Committee Executive Directorate (CTED)
- Department of Safety and Security (DSS)
- Department of Peace Operations (DPO)
- Department of Political and Peacebuilding Affairs (DPA)
- Department of Global Communications (DGC)
- Executive Office of the Secretary-General of Law Unit (EOSG Req.)
- International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO)
- International Criminal Police Organization (INTERPOL)
- International Labor Organization (ILO)
- International Maritime Organization (IMO)
- International Organization for Migration (IOM)
- Office of Disarmament Affairs (ODA)
- Office of Information and Communications Technology (OICT)
- Office of Legal Affairs (OLA)
- Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR)
- Office of the Secretary-General’s Envoy on Youth Organization for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons (OPCW)
- Special Representative on the Promotion and Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms while Countering Terrorism
- Special Adviser on the Prevention of Genocide
- Special Representative of the Secretary-General on Sexual Violence in Conflict Special Representative of the Secretary-General on Violence Against Children
- United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women (UN Women)
- United Nations Alliance of Civilizations (UNAOC)
- United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)
- United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO)
- United Nations Interregional Crime and Justice Research Institute (UNICRI)
- United Nations Institute for Disarmament Research (UNIDIR)
- United Nations Office of Counter-Terrorism (UNOCT)
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC)
- United Nations Office of the Special Adviser on Africa (UNOSAA)
- Special Representative of the Secretary-General on Children and Armed Conflict (SIRC CAAC)
- World Customs Organization (WCO)
- World Health Organization (WHO)
- United Nations Institute for Training and Research (UNITAR)
- United Nations System Staff College (UNSSC)
- Department of Economic and Social Affairs (DESA)

United Nations
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6. Which of the following best describes your role? Please select all that apply

- Monitoring
- Evaluation
- Planning
- Budgeting
- Programme implementation
- Audit/oversight
- Senior management
- Other (please specify)

7. In the period 2018 - 2021, has your entity conducted any evaluation, audit, internal review or an assessment pertaining to countering terrorism or preventing violent extremism?

- Yes
- No

If yes, please add them here:

8. If any of these documents are not publicly available, would you agree for them to be included in this meta-synthesis on a confidential basis?

- Yes
- No

United Nations
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Assessing reports produced by your entity
Please rate the relevance and effectiveness of the evaluation, audit, oversight, internal reviews and other assessments produced by your entity.
9. In your assessment, how relevant are following products of your entity to UN's counterterrorism work?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation reports</th>
<th>Not relevant</th>
<th>Partially relevant</th>
<th>Relevant</th>
<th>Very relevant</th>
<th>Highly relevant</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audit report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal reviews</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other assessment/ oversight products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please elaborate:

10. What is the purpose for which you consult evaluation, audit, oversight, internal reviews and other assessment reports of your entity? (Please check all that apply).

- Programme / project conception
- Planning
- Programme / project implementation
- Data and/or research
- General reference
- Learning
- Policy design
- Fundraising
- Donor reporting
- Other (please specify)

11. What best practices and lessons can be identified from the work of your entity pertaining to preventing and countering terrorism and extremist violence, which might be useful for other compact entities to be aware of?

United Nations
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Awareness of other Compact entities' products
* 12. How often do you consult evaluation, audit, oversight, internal reviews and other assessment reports of other compact entities?

- Never
- Rarely
- Occasionally
- Sometimes
- Frequently
- N/A

Please elaborate your responses:

[Blank space for response]

United Nations
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13. What is the purpose for which you consult evaluation, audit, oversight, internal reviews and other assessment reports of other compact entities? (Please check all that apply).

- Programme/project conception
- Planning
- Programme/project implementation
- Data and/or research
- General reference
- Learning
- Policy design
- Fundraising
- Donor reporting
- Other (please specify)

[Blank space for other purpose]

United Nations
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14. When you have used the following products of other compact entities, how relevant did you find them to your work?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation reports</th>
<th>Not relevant</th>
<th>Partially relevant</th>
<th>Relevant</th>
<th>Very relevant</th>
<th>Highly relevant</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audit report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal reviews</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other assessment/ oversight products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please elaborate your responses

15. What best practices have you observed in the evaluation, audit, oversight, internal reviews and other assessment reports produced by other compact entities?

1
2
3

16. What lessons have you drawn from reading the evaluation, audit, oversight, internal reviews and other assessment reports produced by other compact entities?

1
2
3

---

**United Nations**
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**UN Global Compact Entities (UNGCEs) as a whole**

This question pertains to the entire work of UNGCEs, and not just evaluation reports. It seeks to identify major gaps in the UN counter-terrorism strategies that may need to be addressed going forward.

*17. What can compact entities do to increase their impact in the domain of preventing and combatting terrorism and extremist violence? Please use the space below to make your recommendations.*

---

**United Nations**
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If you are open for a confidential follow up call with the independent meta-synthesis team, please complete the information below.
18. Contact information:
- Time zone
- Preferred dates (in July 2023)
- Preferred times
- Phone

19. How would you prefer to be interviewed?
- Microsoft Teams
- Zoom
- Google Meet
- Skype
- WhatsApp
- Telephone
- Email only
- Other (please specify)
INTERVIEW GUIDE & QUESTIONNAIRE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interview Details</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name, organization, and position</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of interviewee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date and time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviewer(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of interview</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following interview protocol for in-person or telephonic interviews is comprehensive. Interviewers should customize and adapt questions for each interview based on interviewee’s role, time constraints, response, and level of knowledge/ familiarity with topics revealed during interviews. (Note that all interviews should start with informed consent).

Introductions:

- This is a formative meta-synthesis with a forward-looking focus. The overarching purpose is to help Global Compact entities learn from their collective experience to date so that is undertaken going forward is as impactful and enduring as possible.
- Be assured that this is a completely confidential conversation. Only the evaluation team will have access to the interview notes, and we will never mention you by name (or title) in the meta-synthesis report.

(Question bank: To be revised on the basis of ongoing data collection, including content analysis of documents).

1) From the perspective of your office or organization, what major outcomes are the UN Global Compact entities on counterterrorism (UNGCEs) expected to achieve?

2) How would you know if it is delivering those outcomes?

3) What do you expect to gain from this synthesis? What would make it most useful for you and your office/ organization? (Scoping question)

4) To what extent do you consider the evaluation/audit/assessment reports produced by your organization useful to your work? How could these be improved?

5) How frequently do you access the evaluation/audit/assessment reports of Compact entities other than the one you work for? What are the barriers to accessing these reports more often?

6) Do you think there is a need for potential for evaluation capacity development? To what extent?

7) What is the purpose for which you access such reports? Do you incorporate the findings from these reports in your work? How?

8) How useful do you find these reports?

9) Would you find it useful to have an independent evaluation of the whole UN Strategy?

10) What knowledge management systems and practices have been put in place to manage organizational learning across various Compact entities?

11) How is your office responding to UN Reform, UNDAF formulation and SDG Agenda 2030? What role do you visualize for your organization in this environment?
12) Describe the cooperation among various UNGCEs in the field of counterterrorism? Which lessons learned could be drawn from this cooperation?

13) From your perspective, what are the strengths and weaknesses of various UNGCEs that you are familiar with?

14) How and to what extent do the projects incorporate human rights and gender dimensions? How satisfied are you with HRG related efforts? What could be done differently or significantly improved?

15) What new opportunities and threats are emerging that various UNGCEs should be aware of in the field of counterterrorism?

16) For someone who does not know your organization, how would you define counterterrorism? What kind of actions and operations do you include in this definition? What do you exclude?

17) Do you consider that the evaluation/audit/assessment reports produced by your organization take into consideration the perception and feedbacks from people directly concerned and involved by your projects and programmes? If so, to which extent? If no, what could be done to fill the gap?

18) What lessons can be learned on issues related to counter-terrorism from the operations conducted by your office and organization on the field?

19) What is the main successful project-programme-operation in which you were personally involved? How do you explain its achievement?

20) Do you perceive a tension or a difference of perception between the work done by your office and organization at the headquarter and the operations conducted on the ground?

21) Do you see a difference or a divide between the representation of terrorism by decision- and policy makers and the perception of directly affected populations or communities?

22) Outside your office and organization, what is the work that is the most useful to you or that inspires you the most in what is produced by other Global Compact entities?

23) Can you identify instructive projects on counterterrorism conducted by actors outside the Global Compact entities? If so, can you identify some lessons learned?

24) In the fight against terrorism, do you think that occasionally and unintentionally there has been a confusion between symptoms and root causes of this phenomenon? How have your office and organization concretely contributed to distinguish between these two aspects?

25) Has fight against terrorism, in some countries, left some collateral damage, especially relating to the situation of human rights in general and of women's rights in particular?

26) Could you provide an example of an initiative of counterterrorism that has reduced extreme violence among a community and that has at the same time improved the situation of human rights and gender issue?

27) According to you, what should be improved, changed, or reformed within your organization to better counter terrorism and violent extremism?

28) In terms of top priorities and considering the four pillars of the Global Counter-Terrorism Strategy, the pillars of UN Reform and the Sustainable Development Goals for 2030, how do you see the place devoted to counterterrorism in the future?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1267 Monitoring Committee</td>
<td>Joint Report of the Counter-Terrorism Committee Executive Directorate and the Analytical Support and Sanctions Monitoring Team on actions taken by Member States to disrupt terrorism financing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1540 Committee</td>
<td>2016 Comprehensive Review Background Paper for the Formal Consultation by the 1540 Committee 20 to 22 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CIC</td>
<td>DPO Review of the Global Focal Point for Police, Justice and Corrections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CTED</td>
<td>The Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic on Terrorism, Counter-Terrorism and Countering violent extremism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>DPO</td>
<td>Final Evaluation: Engaging Youth in Building Peaceful Communities in Mali</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>IMO</td>
<td>IMO Member State Audit Scheme – Consolidated Audit Summary Report (CASR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>IMO</td>
<td>IMO Member State Audit Scheme – Consolidated Audit Summary Report (CASR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>IMO</td>
<td>Internal Oversight and Ethics Office: 2018 Annual Summary of Activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>IOM</td>
<td>Ex-Post Internal Evaluation of The Project “Community-Based Approach to Support Youth in a Targeted Municipality”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>IOM</td>
<td>Strengthening Social Cohesion and Stability in Slum Populations Project (SSCOS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>IOM</td>
<td>Mid-term Evaluation Report of the Project: Prevention of Violent Extremism through local voices and initiatives in the Western Balkans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>IOM</td>
<td>Evaluation of Community based approaches to PVE in Bosnia and Herzegovina: Community based approach to support youth in targeted municipalities in BiH and moving towards sustainable approaches to prevent violent extremism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>IOM</td>
<td>Final Evaluation of the Enhancing Border Management to Counter-Terrorism in Burkina Faso Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>IOM</td>
<td>Dignity and Rights in Central Asia Final Performance Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>IOM</td>
<td>End line Evaluation of Niger Community Cohesion Initiative (NCCI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>OHCHR</td>
<td>2017-2018 Assessments of OHCHR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>OHCHR</td>
<td>OCHA Independent Study of the Impact of Donor Counter-Terrorism Measures on Principled Humanitarian Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Agency</td>
<td>Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>OHCHR</td>
<td>Evaluation of the effectiveness of human rights monitoring, reporting and follow-up in the United Nations multi-dimensional peacekeeping operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>UN-Women</td>
<td>2021 Outcome Report: Global Digital Consultation: Civil Society Voices on the Gendered Dimensions of Violent Extremism and Counter-Terrorism Responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>UN-Women</td>
<td>Evaluation of Women’s Active Participation in Preventing and Response to Violent Extremism and Terrorism in Kenya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>UN-Women</td>
<td>Gender sensitive humanitarian action and aid to women and girls affected by Boko Haram terrorism in Diffar, Niger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>UN-Women</td>
<td>Final evaluation of the project &quot;Anticipating &amp; preventing women and young girls’ vulnerability and terrorism through empowering community dialogue and education in Northern part of Cote d’voire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>UNAOC</td>
<td>Final Report of the 2018 EUNA Cohort “Fostering youth resilience to prevent violent extremism and build sustainable peace”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>UNAOC</td>
<td>Financial Statements for the year ended 31 December 2018: Schedule of Individual Trust Funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Final Evaluation of the Project &quot;Building Capacities for Sustaining Peace in Mindanao&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Entry and Exit Points: Violent Extremism in South-East Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Journey to Extremism in Africa: Drivers, Incentives and the Tipping Point for Recruitment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Violent Extremism in Sudan: An Evidence-Based Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Conflicting Identities: The Nexus between Masculinities, Femininities and Violent Extremism in Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Community-level Recommendations for Prevention of Violent Extremism in Kosovo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Convergence Matrix of Cape Verdean Municipalities towards the MDGs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Review of UNDP’s Global PVE practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Design, Monitoring &amp; Evaluation of PVE Projects in Jordan - A Baseline Assessment of PeaceGeeks’ Projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Impact of Regional Dynamics of Violent Extremism on Muslim Communities in Indonesia,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Root Causes of Radicalization in Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Agency</td>
<td>Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Social media in Africa: A double-edged sword for security and development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Applying behavioral science to support the prevention of violent extremism experiences and lessons learned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Youth Economy and Counter-Terrorism Policies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>UNDP Policy Brief: Local Drivers of Violent Extremism in Central Mali</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Pesantren Community Resilience against Radicalization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Measuring the Economic Impact of Violent Extremism to Terrorism in Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Invisible Women: Gendered Dimension of Return, Rehabilitation and Reintegration from Violent Extremism in areas at risk in Guinea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>EU-UNDP Project: Preventing Violent Extremism through Promoting Inclusive Development, Tolerance and Respect for Diversity: A development response to addressing radicalization and violent extremism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Narratives of Religious Extremism in Indonesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Stepping out of Extremism: Eight Stories of &quot;Hijrah&quot; from Violence to Peacebuilding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Conflict Sensitivity in Approaches to Preventing Violent Extremism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>The United Nations: A Champion for Youth?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>We are Here: An Integrated Approach to Youth-Inclusive Peace Processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Youth Participation in Peace Processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Frontlines: young people at the forefront of preventing and responding to violent extremism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Public pulse analysis on prevention of violent extremism in Kosovo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>As long as they don’t use violence: making peace and resisting violent extremism in South-East Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Research: The Roles of Islamic Moderate Organizations in Countering Violent Extremism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>The Degree of Radicalism in Campus Mosques in 10 State Universities in Indonesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Policy Brief: UNDP Climate Security Nexus and Prevention of Violent Extremism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Amman Forum on Measuring, Monitoring and Assessing PVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Agency</td>
<td>Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Youth Vulnerability Assessment in the Maldives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>When is Conventional Wisdom Wise? Testing the Assumptions behind Preventing Violent Extremism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>COVID-19 and Violent Extremism in the Lake Chad region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Misogyny: The Extremist Gateway?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Enhancing the Role of Religious Education in Countering Violent Extremism in Indonesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Strengthening Community Resilience and Regional Cooperation for Prevention of Violent Extremism in Central Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Cross Border Project Mid-Term Review Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Final Evaluation of Partnership for a Tolerant, Inclusive Bangladesh (PTIB) Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Final Evaluation of the Beyond Bentiu Protection of Civilian Site (PoC) Youth Reintegration Strategy: Creating Conditions for Peaceful Coexistence between Youth Internally Displaced Persons, Returnees and Host Community Members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Assessment of UNDP Libya Results on Reconciliation and Peace Building Processes Phase One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Final Report Evaluation of the Iraq Crisis Response and Resilience Programme (ICRRP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Final Evaluation of the Project “Supporting mechanisms for consolidating peace at the community level and the inclusion of young people in areas located on the border between Chad and Cameroon”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Evaluation of the &quot;Joint Peace Programme for the Post-Conflict Generation&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Final Report of the UN Joint Programme: Strengthening Human Security and Community Resilience by Fostering Peaceful Coexistence in Peru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Mid-term Evaluation of the Conflict Prevention and Mitigation during the Electoral Cycle in Sierra Leone 2017-2018 project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Outcome Evaluation of UNDP’s Crisis Prevention and Recovery Programme in Lebanon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Agency</td>
<td>Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Final Evaluation of supplementary funds for Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Mid-term Project Evaluation Report: Enhancing the Role of Religious Education in Countering Violent Extremism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Final Evaluation of the Capacity building Project for Border Management and Access to Security for the Fight Against Terrorism in the Hodh El Chargui Region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>UNDP Security Sector Reform Project in the Union of the Comoros (RSS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Mid-term Evaluation of the Radicalization and Violent Extremism Prevention Programme (PROPEV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Mid-term Evaluation of Inclusive Democratic Governance Pillar/Evaluation of Tanzania PVE Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Understanding Pull and Push Factors in Kosovo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>End of Evaluation Report: Women and Girls as Drivers of Peace and the Prevention of Radicalization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>EU-UNDP Project: Preventing Violent Extremism through Promoting Tolerance and Respect for Diversity: A development response to addressing radicalization and violent extremism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Mid-term Evaluation of the &quot;Support for promotion tolerance, civility, citizenship in the community education and prevention of risky behavior”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>UNESCO</td>
<td>Evaluation of UNESCO’s thematic area of work “History memory and intercultural dialogue for inclusive societies”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>UNESCO</td>
<td>Evaluation of the Networks of Mediterranean Youth (NET-MED Youth) Project 2014-2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>UNESCO</td>
<td>Evaluation of UNESCO’s Action to Prevent Violent Extremism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>UNESCO</td>
<td>Overview of UNESCO’s contribution to the prevention of violent extremism (PVE) worldwide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>UNFPA</td>
<td>Project for the Prevention of Radicalization and Violent Extremism (PREV) in Areas at Risk in Guinea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>UNFPA</td>
<td>Evaluation of GPI project &quot; Addressing Social Disparity and Gender Inequality to Prevent Conflicts in new Settlements in Bishkek&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>UNFPA</td>
<td>Evaluation of GPI project &quot; Addressing Social Disparity and Gender Inequality to Prevent Conflicts in new Settlements in Bishkek&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>UNICRI</td>
<td>Special Report: Can the EU Centres of Excellence initiative contribute effectively to mitigating chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear risks from outside the EU?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Agency</td>
<td>Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>International alert/UNICRI</td>
<td>Dogmatism or pragmatism? Violent extremism and gender in the central Sahel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>UNICRI</td>
<td>Special Report: The EU Chemical, Biological, Radiological and Nuclear Centres of Excellence: more progress needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>UNICRI</td>
<td>Preliminary Findings: Evaluation of pilot project on countering violent extremism in the regions of Maghreb and Sahel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>UNICRI</td>
<td>Mali (Dis-)Engagement and (Re-)Integration related to Terrorism (MERIT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>UNICRI</td>
<td>Many hands on an elephant. What enhances community resilience to radicalization into violent extremism?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>International alert/UNICRI</td>
<td>If victims become perpetrators. Factors contributing to vulnerability and resilience to violent extremism in the central Sahel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>UNITAR</td>
<td>Independent Evaluation of the “Youth-Led Peace and Reconciliation in Colombia: A Transformational Approach” Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>UNITAR</td>
<td>Independent Evaluation of the Sustaining Peace in Mali and the Sahel Region through Strengthening Peacekeeping Capacities Project (Phase 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>UNOCT</td>
<td>Review of the related Pillar of the United Nations Global Counter-Terrorism Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>UNOCT</td>
<td>Final Report of the Evaluation of the United Nations Counter-Terrorism Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>UNOCT</td>
<td>Audit Report of the United Nations Counter-Terrorism Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>UNODC</td>
<td>Final Independent In-depth Evaluation: Strengthening the Legal Regime against Terrorism (GLOR35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>UNODC</td>
<td>Final Independent Project Evaluation: Sub-Programme on CounterTerrorism: East and Southeast Asia Partnership on Criminal Justice Responses to Terrorism (XAPX37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>UNODC</td>
<td>In-depth Thematic Cluster Evaluation: Law Enforcement and Border Control in Central Asia (Sub-programme XACZ60 and projects TAI/E24, TAJH03, RERH22 and XACK22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>UNODC</td>
<td>Final Independent In-depth Evaluation: Strengthening the Legal Regime against Terrorism (GLOR35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

185 Produced with the financial assistance of the European Union within the framework of a United Nations Interregional Crime and Justice Research Institute (UNICRI) projects.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>UNODC</td>
<td>Meta-synthesis: Prevention of Crime and Violent Extremism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>UNODC</td>
<td>Mid-term Independent In-depth Evaluation: Global Programme against Money-Laundering, Proceeds of Crime and the Financing of Terrorism (GPML) (GLOU40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>UNODC</td>
<td>Final In-depth Evaluation: Regional Program for Southeast Asia and the Pacific, including the Country Programmes Myanmar and Indonesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>UNODC</td>
<td>Audit of the Management of the Global Programme on Strengthening the Legal Regime against Terrorism (GLOR35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>UNODC</td>
<td>Final Independent Project Evaluation: Integrating crime, corruption, drugs and terrorism related issues into the preparation of national plans and processes (UNDA project 1213V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>UNODC</td>
<td>Mid-term Independent Project Evaluation: Strengthening criminal justice systems in the Sahel in order to effectively combat drug trafficking, illicit trafficking, organized crime, terrorism and corruption in the region (XAMZ17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>UNODC</td>
<td>Final Independent Project Evaluation: Strengthening customs services and other law enforcement agencies in implementing border &amp; trade control in particular, strategic export/import control regimes under counterterrorism related international instruments (TKMX57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>UNODC</td>
<td>Final Independent Project Evaluation: Activities conducted in the Mekong Region under the Global Programme against Money Laundering, Proceeds of Crime &amp; Financing of Terrorism (GLOU40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>UNODC</td>
<td>Final Independent Project Evaluation: Global eLearning - making the world safe from drugs, crime and terrorism (GLOU61)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total number of reports included in the meta-synthesis: 118**
ANNEX IV: STAKEHOLDERS CONTACTED DURING THE EVALUATION

Survey respondents represented the following UNGC entities:

![Survey respondents represented the following UNGC entities diagram]
ANNEX V: UN GLOBAL COUNTER-TERRORISM COORDINATION COMPACT ENTITIES

MEMBERS OF THE GLOBAL COMPACT:

2. 1267 Committee Monitoring Team
3. 1540 Committee Expert Group
4. Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty Organization (CTBTO)
5. Counter-Terrorism Committee Executive Directorate (CTED)
6. Department of Safety and Security (DSS)
7. Department of Peace Operations (DPO)
8. Department of Political and Peacebuilding Affairs (DPPA)
9. Department of Global Communications (DGC)
10. Executive Office of the Secretary-General Rule of Law Unit (EOSG RoL)
11. International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO)
12. International Criminal Police Organization (INTERPOL)
13. International Labour Organization (ILO)
14. International Maritime Organization (IMO)
15. Office of Disarmament Affairs (ODA)
16. Office of Information and Communications Technology (OICT)
17. Office of Legal Affairs (OLA)
18. Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR)
19. Office of the Secretary-General’s Envoy on Youth
20. Organization for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons (OPCW)
21. Special Adviser on the Prevention of Genocide
22. Special Rapporteur on the Promotion and Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms while Countering Terrorism
23. Special Representative of the Secretary-General on Sexual Violence in Conflict
24. Special Representative of the Secretary-General on Violence Against Children
25. United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women (UN Women)
26. United Nations Alliance of Civilizations (UNAOC)
27. United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)
28. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO)
29. United Nations Interregional Crime and Justice Research Institute (UNICRI)
30. United Nations Institute for Disarmament Research (UNIDIR)
31. United Nations Office of Counter-Terrorism (UNOCT)
32. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC)
33. United Nations Office of the Special Adviser on Africa (UNOSAA)
34. Special Representative of the Secretary-General on Children and Armed Conflict (SRSG CAAC)
35. World Customs Organization (WCO)
36. World Health Organization (WHO)
37. United Nations Institute for Training and Research (UNITAR)
38. United Nations System Staff College (UNSSC)

OBSERVERS OF THE GLOBAL COMPACT

1. Department of Economic and Social Affairs (DESA)
2. International Organization for Migration (IOM)
3. Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU)
4. Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA)
5. United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF)
6. United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR)

ANNEX VI. UN DEVELOPMENT AGENDA AND COUNTERTERRORISM

The pillars of the counter-terrorism strategy in the framework of the guiding principles and priorities of the UN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UN 4 founding pillars (1945)</th>
<th>GCTS 4 pillars (2005)</th>
<th>UN Reform 3 pillars (2017)</th>
<th>17 Sustainable Development Goals (2030)</th>
<th>UN75 top priorities (2020 and beyond)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I – Peace and Security</td>
<td>I – Address the conditions conducive to the spread of terrorism</td>
<td>I – Development</td>
<td>1. No poverty</td>
<td>Priorities for post-Covid recovery*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II – Human rights</td>
<td>II – Prevent and combat terrorism</td>
<td>II – Peace and Security</td>
<td>2. Zero hunger</td>
<td>Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III – Rule of law</td>
<td>III – Build States’ capacity to prevent and combat terrorism and strengthen role of UN system in this regard</td>
<td>III – Management</td>
<td>3. Good health &amp; well-being</td>
<td>Access to basic services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV – Development</td>
<td>IV – Ensure respect for Human Rights for all and the rule of law as the fundamental basis for the fight against terrorism</td>
<td></td>
<td>4. Quality education</td>
<td>Global solidarity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5. Gender equality</td>
<td>Inclusive economy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6. Clean water &amp; sanitation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7. Affordable and clean energy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8. Decent work &amp; economic growth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9. Industry, innovation and infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10. Reduced inequalities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11. Sustainable cities &amp; communities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12. Responsible consumption &amp; production</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13. Climate action</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14. Life below water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15. Life on Land</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16. Peace, justice &amp; strong institutions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17. Partnership for the goals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Top priorities and key findings identified by one million respondents to the global consultation done from January to September 2020 on the occasion of the 75th anniversary of the United Nations. https://un75.online/
This results matrix was developed by the synthesis team on the basis of the UNGCTS. For detailed explanation on this, please refer to the ToC in Figure 1.

**Overall objective/intended impact:** Prevention and reduction in global terrorism.

**Impact indicators:** (1) The extent to which terror incidents were prevented (2) The extent to which effective action to combat terror incidents was taken (Source: Any data that captures trends over time in the evaluation reports or with the Compact agencies).

### Specific objective 1 (SO1): The conditions conducive to the spread of terrorism are minimized (Pillar I).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outputs</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Key performance indicators</th>
<th>Data sources</th>
<th>Data collection methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capacity development of the United Nations in areas such as conflict prevention, negotiation, mediation, conciliation, judicial settlement, rule of law, peacekeeping, and peacebuilding</td>
<td>1.1 Increased capacity for successful prevention and peaceful resolution of unresolved conflicts.</td>
<td>Use of capacity development interventions</td>
<td>Primary: Evaluation reports</td>
<td>Content analysis, data harvesting Survey analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Usefulness of capacity development interventions (as reported in the reports)</td>
<td>Supplementary: Interviews and survey of project/program and evaluation staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Any evidence on conflict prevention and resolution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interventions aimed at encouraging dialogue, tolerance and understanding among civilizations, cultures, peoples and religions</td>
<td>1.2 Increased inculcation of a culture of peace, justice, rule of law and human rights.</td>
<td>Use of relevant interventions</td>
<td>Primary: Evaluation reports</td>
<td>Content analysis, data harvesting Survey analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaled up cooperation and assistance in the fields of rule of law, human rights and good governance</td>
<td></td>
<td>Usefulness of relevant interventions</td>
<td>Supplementary: Interviews and survey of project/program and evaluation staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Any evidence on increased dialogue, respect, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necessary and appropriate measures in accordance with our respective obligations under international law</td>
<td>1.3 Reduction in incitement to commit a terrorist act or acts</td>
<td>Use of relevant interventions</td>
<td>Primary: Evaluation reports</td>
<td>Content analysis, data harvesting Survey analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Usefulness of relevant interventions</td>
<td>Supplementary: Interviews and survey of project/program and evaluation staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Any evidence on reduction in incitement, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outputs</td>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td>Key performance indicators</td>
<td>Data sources</td>
<td>Data collection methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development and social inclusion interventions, especially on youth</td>
<td>1.4 Reduced perceptions on marginalization and victimization</td>
<td>Use of relevant interventions</td>
<td>Primary: Evaluation reports</td>
<td>Content analysis, data harvesting Survey analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unemployment, which could reduce marginalization and the subsequent</td>
<td></td>
<td>Usefulness of relevant interventions</td>
<td>Supplementary: Interviews and survey of project/program and evaluation staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sense of victimization that propels extremism and the recruitment of</td>
<td></td>
<td>Any evidence on reduction in marginalization/victimization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>terrorists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical assistance and capacity development</td>
<td>1.5 National systems of assistance that promote the needs of victims of</td>
<td>Use of relevant interventions</td>
<td>Primary: Evaluation reports</td>
<td>Content analysis, data harvesting Survey analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>terrorism and their families and facilitate the normalization of their</td>
<td>Usefulness of relevant interventions</td>
<td>Supplementary: Interviews and survey of project/program and evaluation staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lives are put in place.</td>
<td>Any evidence on national systems put in place with UN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>assistance with UN assistance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Beyond the scope of this meta-synthesis)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Specific objective 2 (SO2): The infrastructure and systems to prevent and combat terrorism is strengthened (Pillars II & III)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outputs</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Key performance indicators</th>
<th>Data sources</th>
<th>Data collection methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UN interventions (e.g., conventions, agreements) aimed at encouraging Member States to refrain from organizing, instigating, facilitating, participating in, financing, encouraging or tolerating terrorist activities and to take appropriate practical measures to ensure that their respective territories are not used for terrorist installations or training camps, or for the preparation or organization of terrorist acts intended to be committed against other States or their citizen. Setting up of a comprehensive database on biological incidents. Coordination of efforts at the international and regional levels to counter terrorism in all its forms and manifestations on the Internet. Support for national, bilateral, subregional, regional and international cooperation, as appropriate, to improve border and customs controls. Harmonized/ rationalized reporting requirements, sharing best practices, informal meetings for exchange of information, etc.</td>
<td>2.1 Increased international cooperation among MS towards prevention and reduction of terrorism.</td>
<td>1. Any evidence on increased international cooperation (e.g., denial of safe haven, extraditions, apprehension, cross-border prosecution, timely sharing of information, etc.) 2. Any evidence on coordination and cooperation among States in combating crimes that might be connected with terrorism, including drug trafficking, illicit arms trade, money-laundering and smuggling of nuclear, chemical, biological, radiological and other potentially deadly materials</td>
<td>Primary: Evaluation reports Supplementary: Interviews and survey of project/program and evaluation staff</td>
<td>Content analysis, data harvesting Survey analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outputs</td>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td>Key performance indicators</td>
<td>Data sources</td>
<td>Data collection methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advocacy, technical assistance and capacity development</td>
<td>2.2 Increased number of MS who join and implement United Nations Convention</td>
<td>1. Any evidence on the increase in number of MS joining and implementing UNTOC and supplementing protocols</td>
<td>Primary: Evaluation reports Supplementary: Interviews and survey of project/program and evaluation staff</td>
<td>Content analysis, data harvesting Survey analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>against Transnational Organized Crime and the three protocols supplementing it.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advocacy, technical assistance and capacity development</td>
<td>2.3 Increased number of MS, who take appropriate measures to ensure that asylum is not abused for terrorist activity.</td>
<td>1. Any evidence on MS taking appropriate measures</td>
<td>Primary: Evaluation reports Supplementary: Interviews and survey of project/program and evaluation staff</td>
<td>Content analysis, data harvesting Survey analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counter-Terrorism Committee and its Executive Directorate and, where consistent with their existing mandates, the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime and the International Criminal Police Organization, to facilitate the creation and/or strengthening of counter-terrorism mechanisms or centres.</td>
<td>2.4 Relevant regional and subregional organizations create and/or strengthen counter-terrorism mechanisms or centres.</td>
<td>1. Any evidence on the creation and/or strengthening of counter-terrorism mechanisms or centres.</td>
<td>Primary: Evaluation reports Supplementary: Interviews and survey of project/program and evaluation staff</td>
<td>Content analysis, data harvesting Survey analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Monetary Fund, the World Bank, the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime and the International Criminal Police Organization support Member States in complying with international norms and obligations to combat money-laundering and the financing of terrorism.</td>
<td>2.5 MS implement the comprehensive international standards embodied in the Forty Recommendations on Money-Laundering and Nine Special Recommendations on Terrorist Financing of the Financial Action Task Force</td>
<td>1. Any evidence on the implementation of 40+9 FATF recommendations. 2. Any evidence on reduced opportunities for money laundering and terrorist financing.</td>
<td>Primary: Evaluation reports Supplementary: Interviews and survey of project/program and evaluation staff</td>
<td>Content analysis, data harvesting Survey analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outputs</td>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td>Key performance indicators</td>
<td>Data sources</td>
<td>Data collection methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counter-Terrorism Committee and its Executive Directorate work with States, at their request, to facilitate the adoption of legislation and administrative measures to implement the terrorist travel-related obligations and to identify best practices. Committee established pursuant to Security Council resolution 1267 (1999) to continue to work to strengthen the effectiveness of the travel ban. Support for efforts and cooperation at every level, as appropriate, to improve the security of manufacturing and issuing identity and travel documents and to prevent and detect their alteration or fraudulent use. The International Maritime Organization, the World Customs Organization and the International Civil Aviation Organization to strengthen their cooperation, work with States to identify any national shortfalls in areas of transport security and provide assistance, upon request, to address them.</td>
<td>2.6 Effective international travel and transport practices that curb opportunities for terrorism, but are fair, transparent and humanitarian.</td>
<td>1. Any evidence on the effectiveness of travel practices from a CT perspective.</td>
<td>Primary: Evaluation reports Supplementary: Interviews and survey of project/program and evaluation staff</td>
<td>Content analysis, data harvesting Survey analysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outputs</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Key performance indicators</th>
<th>Data sources</th>
<th>Data collection methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United Nations to support coordination in planning a response to a terrorist attack using nuclear, chemical, biological or radiological weapons or materials, in particular by reviewing and improving the effectiveness of the existing inter-agency coordination mechanisms for assistance delivery, relief operations and victim support. Support for the security and protection of other vulnerable targets.</td>
<td>2.7 Increased security and protection of particularly vulnerable targets such as infrastructure and public places.</td>
<td>1. Any evidence on the security and protection of particularly vulnerable targets such as infrastructure and public places.</td>
<td>Primary: Evaluation reports Supplementary: Interviews and survey of project/program and evaluation staff</td>
<td>Content analysis, data harvesting Survey analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, including its Terrorism Prevention Branch, in close consultation with the Counter-Terrorism Committee and its Executive Directorate, facilitates technical assistance to Member States</td>
<td>2.8 Strengthened implementation of the international conventions and protocols related to the prevention and suppression of terrorism and relevant United Nations resolutions</td>
<td>Use of support provided \ Usefulness of relevant support \ Any evidence on the implementation of international conventions and protocols</td>
<td>Primary: Evaluation reports Supplementary: Interviews and survey of project/program and evaluation staff</td>
<td>Content analysis, data harvesting Survey analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outputs</td>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td>Key performance indicators</td>
<td>Data sources</td>
<td>Data collection methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Atomic Energy Agency and the Organization for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons to continue their efforts, within their respective mandates, in helping States to build capacity to prevent terrorists from accessing nuclear, chemical or radiological materials, to ensure security at related facilities and to respond effectively in the event of an attack using such materials. World Health Organization to step up its technical assistance to help States to improve their public health systems to prevent and prepare for biological attacks by terrorists.</td>
<td>2.9 Reduced opportunities for the use of and danger from nuclear, chemical or radiological materials in terror.</td>
<td>Use of support provided</td>
<td>Primary: Evaluation reports Supplementary: Interviews and survey of project/program and evaluation staff</td>
<td>Content analysis, data harvesting Survey analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific objective (SO3): Increased respect for human rights &amp; rule of law as the fundamental basis of the fight against terrorism (Pillar IV).</td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased awareness on the framework Use of support provided Usefulness of relevant support Any evidence on the implementation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advocacy and support for the implementation of General Assembly resolution 60/158 of 16 December 2005</td>
<td>3.1 Increase in the number of MS, which implement the fundamental framework for the “Protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms while countering terrorism”</td>
<td>Increased awareness on the framework Use of support provided Usefulness of relevant support Any evidence on the implementation.</td>
<td>Primary: Evaluation reports Supplementary: Interviews and survey of project/program and evaluation staff</td>
<td>Content analysis, data harvesting Survey analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advocacy, technical assistance and capacity development</td>
<td>3.2 Increase in MS that are parties to and implement the core international instruments on human rights law, refugee law and international humanitarian law,</td>
<td>Increased awareness on the framework Use of support provided Usefulness of relevant support Any evidence on the implementation.</td>
<td>Primary: Evaluation reports Supplementary: Interviews and survey of project/program and evaluation staff</td>
<td>Content analysis, data harvesting Survey analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outputs</td>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td>Key performance indicators</td>
<td>Data sources</td>
<td>Data collection methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advocacy, technical assistance and capacity development</td>
<td>3.3 Increase in number of MS that develop and maintain an effective and rule of law-based national criminal justice system for preventing and reducing terrorism.</td>
<td>Use of support provided</td>
<td>Primary: Evaluation reports</td>
<td>Content analysis, data harvesting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strengthened operational capacity of the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, Human Rights Council, and Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms.</td>
<td>Usefulness of relevant support</td>
<td>Supplementary: Interviews and survey of project/program and evaluation staff</td>
<td>Supplementary: Interviews and survey of project/program and evaluation staff</td>
<td>Survey analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Any evidence on the increased maintenance of the rule of law.</td>
<td>Primary: Evaluation reports</td>
<td>Supplementary: Interviews and survey of project/program and evaluation staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Any other outcomes that emerge from data collection, including on facets such as need for strategic evaluation; common M&amp;E framework for CT; identifying potential need for evaluation capacity in the area of CT)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Supplementary: Interviews and survey of project/program and evaluation staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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UNDEFINED NOTIONS OF TERRORISM/COUNTER TERRORISM

There is no clear definition or shared understanding on terrorism or CT. A shared understanding of what constitutes CT is critical for the evaluation, assessment, audit, or reviews to assess whether a project or a programme is successful. The conceptual fuzziness around the umbrella term that covers a range of activities is not an impediment to the work, but it shows that, beyond security, military and judiciary measures easily and immediately associated to this term, it is difficult to develop a unified collective action within the UNGCTCC. This is a major gap, especially when considering the risk of states engaging in anti-terrorism measures with oppressive actions and threatening human rights. This omnipresent risk associated to a “misuse” of an undefined terminology was clearly identified in 2005 at a UN Economic and Social Council:

“The absence of a universal, comprehensive and precise definition of “terrorism” is problematic for the effective protection of human rights while countering terrorism.” Fighting terrorism, “without defining the term, can be understood as leaving it to individual States to define what is meant by the term. This carries the potential for unintended human rights abuses and even the deliberate misuse of the term. Furthermore, there is a risk that the international community’s use of the notion of “terrorism”, without defining the term, results in the unintentional international legitimization of conduct undertaken by oppressive regimes, through delivering the message that the international community wants strong action against “terrorism” however defined.”

The sentence “one man’s terrorist is another man’s freedom fighter” was mentioned several times during the interviews, indicating the relativist perspective of terrorism and therefore of CT itself. The same realities associated with these terms may appear differently from different perspectives. The absence of a definition is a gap with geopolitical consequences since it is not clear whether, where and when an entity, a movement, an individual may be classified as a terrorist and treated as such according to international laws, procedures, sanctions associated to it. The lack of proper definition is also an impediment to checking new threats and new forms of terrorism in this century.

Some research reports of security-oriented entities may have identified the right-wing extremism as a new emerging threat in Northern Europe and Europe: but interviews indicated that, within the current structures and funding model, it was challenging to mobilize resources to prevent or fight it. The most powerful donor states are not amenable to CT actions by international organizations in their own sovereign space. Relatedly, aviation security, maritime security, border security, travel documents control, and immigration control, are all based on a set of standards that seek to prevent terrorist threats from abroad. These security standards are inadequate when terrorists are nationals, and the threat is intrinsically domestic.

Further, ideological lines between mainstream politics and right-wing extremism are blurrier today than they were with left-wing extremism in the 1960s and 1970s. Countries where right-wing extremism or extreme-right radicalization is taking place are usually the states that are funding CT projects in other countries. So right-wing terrorism is a major emerging threat in global north, but these states tend to underestimate this danger, and more importantly, are not used to external interventions. If no country is immune to terrorism, then the prevailing funding model is not compatible with the scrutiny of domestic affairs of donor states by international institutions engaged in counterterrorism.

---

During the last 20 years, the international community has been highly vigilant about radical Islamism/Salafi-jihadi terrorism. Unlike the African and Asian states which are engaged in countering this threat, many MS are reluctant to use the “terrorist/ism” qualification for mass-kilings perpetrated by white supremacists or right-wing activists (most of them are often categorized as “lone wolves” affected by “mental illness”) and to consequently undertake strong CT measures to fight them. The dominant but undefined terminology does not necessarily allow a universal approach to the armed and political violence qualified as “terrorism” despite the effort undertook by the Security Council to specify acts of that nature, and may, in situation of new threats, even end-up as another North-South divide or double standard prevailing between “the West and the Rest”.

**BRIEF HISTORY OF “TERRORISM” IN MODERN TIMES**

The terrorist attacks of the last 20 years are perceived and presented as a singularity in the history of political violence, and therefore their exceptionality has found a parallel in the treatment and the detainment of terrorists. However, this is not the first time in modern history that nation-states are threatened by a terrorist form of violence. First, it is important to remember that terrorism may be practiced by state actors. The first use of *Terror* in modern times to achieve political objectives – through laws or state of exception, arbitrary arrests, summary, and massive executions – was the fact of the French state (1793-1794) during its revolutionary process which exported in Europe and beyond both its violence and its universal values of human and citizen rights. In the case of France, its revolution was the cradle of both state violent terror and the *Enlightenment*, the civilization project of free market, parliament democracy and equality for all citizens. This project was progressively adopted with its inherent violence in most of the European countries. In the mid-19th century, terrorism started to designate the non-state actors that were using violence against the state in an ideological reconstruction. Anarchists, nihilists, nationalists spread violence in Europe until the 20th century, and their acts – bombings, assassinations, mass shootings – were largely reported and commented in the press. The list of assassinations includes monarchs, heads of state, prime ministers, and numerous statesmen. This historical background could be pursued all along the 20th century with the eruption of nationalism in Europe and struggles for national liberation in colonized countries, and later with the left-wing armed groups in post-war Europe, and Marxist guerrillas in Latin America, Africa, and Asia. It is heuristically important to put today’s terrorism in a historical perspective with its specificities but also its continuities. It appears that today’s jihadists express a form of nihilism that was dominant in Europe’s 19th century. A brief history of violence and terrorism in modern times shows common patterns and ideological differentiation based on race, ethnicity, nationalism, and religion.

From the social sciences perspective, the expression “terrorist” does not present any heuristic value and does not bring a particular light to the view of the violent phenomenology associated to “armed groups”, “armed dissidents”, “violent rebels” or “insurgents”. It does not help either to apprehend the perceptions of the communities and their own description of armed conflicts and war occurring in the territories where they live. The use of the term “terrorism” has a value from a political standpoint and is to a certain extent tactically...

---

188 Security Council resolution 1566 (2004) call all States to cooperate in the fight against terrorism by preventing and punishing acts having the three cumulative characteristics: “(a) acts committed with the intention of causing death or serious bodily injury, or the taking of hostages; (b) for the purpose of provoking a state of terror, intimidating a population, or compelling a Government or international organization to do or abstain from doing any act; and (c) constituting offences within the scope of and as defined in the international conventions and protocols relating to terrorism.” Ibid, p. 11.

189 Among the prominent victims of anarchist terrorism, there are the Russian Tsar Alexander II in 1881, the Empress of Austria and Queen of Hungary Sisi in 1896, the Italian King Umberto in 1901, the French President Sadi Carnot in 1894, the US President William McKinley in 1901, the Spanish Prime Ministers Antonio Cánovas del Castillo in 1897 and Eduardo Dato in 1920... Nationalist violence has also been responsible for countless attacks, the most famous being the political event that started the First World War, that is the assassination in 1914 of Archduke Franz Ferdinand of Austria in Sarajevo by a clandestine group of Serbian nationalists. This kind of highly symbolic and traumatic violence – killings of crowned heads and presidents by ordinary citizens in the name of an ideology – is out of reach of current globalized terrorist groups.
operational in the sense that what is designated as such is immediately denigrated and denied from any legitimacy or right associated to an armed struggle and, more problematic, even a civil political life.

“Terrorist or freedom fighter” is a perspectivist proposition that is also based on historical evidence. Further, yesterday’s terrorists may be tomorrow’s politicians. This rule was valid in the case of the Israeli Irgoun (1931-1948), the Algerian FLN (1954-1962), the PLO (1964-1974), the South African ANC (1960-1990), the IRA (1916-2006), the Lebanese Hezbollah (1982-1991) and the Palestinian Hamas (1987-1991). However, since the events of September 11 and the “war on terror”, this is no more validated in the case of the Algerian GIA, the International Al Qaida and ISIS in Iraq, Syria, and West Africa. Nevertheless, the recent negotiated return to power of the Taliban in Afghanistan, 20 years after 11 September 2001, should nuance the observation on these exceptions.

It is important to notice that some prominent Western scholars, mainly specialists of political Islam, endorse the conventional terminology on “terrorism” and integrate it even in the general frame of political scientist Samuel Huntington’s theory of “civilizational clash” between the West and Islam, China, and the demographic peril of mass immigration in “senescent” Europe and North America. Although very controversial, the proposed theory of cultural and civilizational confrontation has been very influential in the governing sphere since the unprecedented terrorist attacks of Nine-eleven. More recently, on the ideological influence of ISIS, a polemic debate was engaged by French “Islamologists” to determine whether we are witnessing a “radicalization of islam-ism” or an “Islamization of radicalism.” From this perspective, in the aftermath of terrorist attacks in many cities of the world, some authors have even tried to conceptualize a ‘sociology of rage and anger’ to describe what may encourage young people to engage with terrorist groups under the franchise of Al Qaeda or ISIS/Daesh. This trend in the literature which puts the emphasis on “rage”, “anger” to explain contemporary violence, extremism and hatred is best represented with the magisterial thesis developed by Indian writer Pankaj Mishra. What is proposed is a unified vision on these phenomena that is the absolute opposite of the dominant theory of clash of civilizations. On the contrary, what is often perceived as a violence against the Enlightenment, modernity, the Western cultural values, etc., is a reaction by its children affected by a Rousseauist-Nietzschean resentment who feel excluded from the Enlightenment promise of free markets, universal suffrage, educational and personal advancement. Since the French revolution, the age of Enlightenment was also an age of anger and terror. New political expressions have emerged from nationalism to terrorism, led by individuals living on the margins of the great narrative on progress, modernity, and globalization, moved by an appropriative and mimetic rivalry.

In the long historical run, history of mass violence and terrorism is the hidden and “unthought” facet of the “sanitized” history of modernization. Today’s violence and terrorism correspond to another series of “shocks of modernity”, in the 19th century, this nihilist violence made of “negative solidarity” (Hannah Arendt) spread to all European countries; in the 20th century, it produced world wars, genocides, colonial carnages; in the 21st century, it affects nowadays billions of individuals in Africa and Asia. The latter shocks lack the immemorial cultural, social, and economic structures as well as the welfare state able to absorb them.


193 “We must return to the convulsions of that [resentment, nihilist and anarchist] period in order to understand our own age of anger. For the Frenchmen who bombed music halls, cafés and the Paris stock exchange in the late nineteenth century, and the French anarchist newspaper that issued the call to ‘destroy’ the ‘den’ (a music hall in Lyon) where ‘the fine flower of the bourgeoisie and of commerce’ gather after midnight, have more in common than we realize with the ISIS-inspired young EU citizens who massacred nearly two hundred people at a rock concert, bars and restaurants in Paris in November 2015. Much in our experience resonates with that of people in the nineteenth century. German and then Italian nationalists called for a ‘holy war’ more than a century before the word ‘jihad’ entered common parlance, and young Europeans all through the nineteenth century joined political crusades in remote places, resolved on liberty or death.” Ibid., p. 11.
CONFLICTUAL TERMINOLOGY, SEMANTIC WARFARE

The issue of the terminology associated with terrorism and VE has been studied and is still debated in the academic world. Most social scientists, who do empirical work, field survey, and research on the ground, avoid using terms associated with terrorism. The work on legal and illegitimate violence does not acquire more meaning by using the terms terrorism/terrorist. On the contrary, what research reports often show is that it is preferable to refer neutrally to the denomination of the armed groups and/or also indicate the name given by the populations. For example, in the case of the Nigerian armed group Boko Haram, one of the most extreme and violent terrorist groups currently fought by four African armies, it is instructive to know that its usual name was given by the population of Maiduguri (northeast of Nigeria) in 2009 after hearing a speech from one of its leaders preaching and sermonizing educated but unemployed youth about the uselessness of “western education and diploma”. “Boko Haram” is a contraction of Hausa (“Boko” referring to “book”, “education”) and Arabic terms (“haram” meaning “forbidden”) meanwhile the organization’s original Arabic name is Jama’at Ahl al-Sunnah li Da’wa wa-l-Jihad, which means “Association of the People of the Sunna for Preaching and Jihad”.194

Part of terrorism’s strategy not only relies but depends essentially on information and communication. Terrorism in modern age without relays of transmission and diffusion is inconceivable. More than anything else, it needs mass communication to show the violent and staggering acts perpetrated. A terrorist act denied of any form of communication, not covered by mainstream media and social networks completely misses its main target of creating fear and awe not only within affected communities but far beyond their surrounding socio-political environment. In today’s globalized world, with ubiquitous communication, internet, and smartphones, more than ever semantic and semiotic conflicts precede and accompany terrorist acts and military operations. The most patent and tragic example of these conflicts of images, signs and languages is the case of the caricatures published in 2006 with the headline “The Face of Mohammed” by the Danish conservative newspaper Jyllands-Posten, which resulted into violence and anger across the Muslim world in February 2006. These caricatures were reprinted in 2012 by the French satirical weekly Charlie Hebdo where 12 people were killed in 2015. The battlefields are now on the cyberspace around symbols, icons, signs of all sorts, and CT and VE or extreme violence should take into consideration the catalytic role of mass media and social networks in the diffusion of hate speech, in the ideological sway of terrorist groups, in the designation and targeting of cultural and religious minorities as “scapegoats” of violence. The fight against terrorism is also an endless battle of interpretations (what is terrorism? Jihad?), a clash over words (“Axis of evil”, “Crusade”, “War on terror”, “Allah akbar”), concepts (secularization, laïcity, East and West, North, and South), symbols (Bamiyan Buddhas, Palmyryan ruins) and images (Danish cartoons).

Semantic and semiotic wars are real-time and low intensity conflicts fed by social and mass media with hate, fear, and anxiety as structural emotions. Semantic and semiotics are profound and powerful stockpiles for both terrorism and CT rhetoric artilleries. Using the appropriate terminology, designing meaningful, solid, and operative concepts based on vernacular realities can contribute to a therapeutic arsenal for the prevention of terrorism and extreme violence. In order to neutralize the dangers of terminology, an alternative strategy would be to use preferably the original appellations of VE groups (to not underestimate or despise the enemy), to de-penalize and de-remilitarize the polysemous (multiple meanings) religious notion of jihad (so that a civil

194 All observers and experts of Boko Haram recognize that from the creation of the group until 2009, the Nigerian association was a pietistic and non-violent one. It is only after the arrest and the death of Boko Haram’s leader, Muhammad Yusuf, and many of his followers, that the group entered the cycle of armed violence. Regarding the name “Association of the People of the Sunna for Preaching and Jihad”, here again the use of the word “jihad” may be misleading for experts and researchers who are not familiar with the Islamic faith. The Arabic word “jihad” is one of the most searched word in Google and it means literally “effort”, “force”. It has no intrinsic martial connotation, even in the Quran, the sacred book of Muslims, the mention of jihad refers in most occurrences to the “effort” of spiritual, introspective, meditative nature. So, asking Muslim leaders and clerics to “condemn jihad” is a complete misunderstanding (but an ideological victory for those groups who promote it in the form of “holy war”) since it would be equivalent to remove one fundamental aspect of the Islamic faith. We may say that jihadism is a modern ideological and bellicose interpretation of the religious notion of “jihad” like Islamism is a socio-political interpretation of Islam. Jihadism emerged gradually as an extremist ideology in Afghanistan during the nine-year guerrilla (1980-1989) against the Soviet occupation of Afghanistan.

ANNEX VIII: PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE OF TERRORISM
and peaceful jihad (“spiritual effort”) against extreme violence can be legitimized), to control and if needed sanction hate speech and racist demagogic expressions in mainstream television news channels, to promote mass digital literacy – as an alternative to digital surveillance and other heavy security-oriented measures – on the responsible use of social networks, etc. In an age of universal access to information and communication, the strategic dimension of meaning and symbolism can be no more underestimated in any convincing analysis of 21st century conflict-ridden climate.

FROM THE “WAR ON TERROR” TO THE “FIGHT AGAINST TERRORISM AND VIOLENT EXTREMISM”

The bellicose trend of the “war on terror,” which was the dominant paradigm characterizing the military operations conducted mainly in Afghanistan and Iraq in early 2000s, was a decade later openly criticized within the international cooperation sphere. The launching of the Global Counter-Terrorism Forum (New York, 2011) and other international institutions such as Hedayah (Abu Dhabi, 2012), the International Institute of Justice and the Rule of Law (Valetta, 2014) and the Global Community Engagement and Resilience Fund (Geneva, 2014), were additional (p)layers in the international effort initiated by the UNGCTS in 2006. It is in this decade that progressively the terminology of “countering/preventing violent extremism” (CVE/PVE) was adopted to focus more on the root causes of terrorism than on its symptomatic phenomenology. In 2015, President Barack Obama chaired in Washington the White House Summit on Countering Violent Extremism with representatives of more than 60 countries, leaders of the EU and the UN. This summit represents a sort of symbolic turning point since it established for the first time and at the highest level of the international community a recognition that the “war on terror” has generated abuse of power from security forces, repression of dissenting voices that may have encouraged more terror and extremism. The official statement of the summit acknowledges:

“that intelligence gathering, military force, and law enforcement alone will not solve – and when misused can in fact exacerbate – the problem of violent extremism and reiterated that comprehensive rule of law and community-based strategies are an essential part of the global effort to counter violent extremism and, like all measures aimed at addressing the terrorist threat, should be developed and implemented in full compliance with international law, in particular international human rights law, international refugee law, and international humanitarian law, as well as with the principles and purposes of the UN Charter.”

In this same CVE summit statement, it was also:

“reaffirmed the central role of the UN in efforts to address violent extremism and the comprehensive framework that the UN Global Counter-Terrorism Strategy offers for addressing the conditions conducive to the spread of terrorism”.

---

195 “The GCTF is an informal, apolitical, multilateral counterterrorism (CT) platform that contributes to the international architecture for addressing terrorism. The GCTF’s mission is to diminish terrorist recruitment and increase countries’ civilian capabilities for dealing with terrorist threats within their borders and regions. (...) A main objective of the Forum is to support and catalyze implementation of the United Nations (UN) Global Counter-Terrorism Strategy, reviewed in June 2021, and the UN CT Framework more broadly, including for instance the UN Secretary-General’s Plan of Action to Prevent Violent Extremism presented to the UN General Assembly in January 2016. The GCTF works closely with UN bodies to pursue this goal.” [https://www.thegctf.org/Who-we-are/Background-and-Mission](https://www.thegctf.org/Who-we-are/Background-and-Mission)

196 “Hedayah is the premier international organization dedicated to using its expertise and experiences to counter violent extremism (CVE) in all of its forms and manifestations through dialogue, communications, capacity building programs, research and analysis.” [https://www.hedayahcenter.org/about/](https://www.hedayahcenter.org/about/)

197 “The International Institute for Justice and the Rule of Law (IIJ) provides rule of law-based training to lawmakers, police, prosecutors, judges, corrections officials, and other justice sector stakeholders on how to address terrorism and related transnational criminal activities within a rule of law framework.” [https://theiij.org/about-us/](https://theiij.org/about-us/)

198 GCERF is the “global fund that strengthens community resilience by supporting local initiatives to address the drivers of violent extremism.” [https://www.gcerf.org/about-us/](https://www.gcerf.org/about-us/)

This clear and unambiguous acknowledgement of “misused intelligence gathering, military force and law enforcement” signals a new approach of terrorism and CT that is taking place and implemented in various countries and regions around countless projects and programmes of radicalization and deradicalization, rehabilitation and reintegration of former violent extremists since then. But how can this “misuse” be identified without an evaluation or a monitoring process? The diplomatic dialog and the international encounters of the past decade have tacitly recognized that it is not possible to eradicate terrorism, it can’t be defeated by war and more generally by military, security and intelligence means, but it can be considerably diminished and finally resorbed by an engagement in a larger civil/civic fight on multiple fronts corresponding to its political, economic, social root causes. The logical outcome of this turning point unveiled in the White House CVE Summit nowadays will consist in the evaluation of how to improve, and if necessary, revise or reform the CVE strategies adopted by the international community. In the current decade, it seems almost inevitable that the international community would seek to develop mechanisms and tools of assessment in order to identify possible “misused” and “abusive” security and CT policies and operations. The next diplomatic activity on terrorism should be on the assessment of the strategies put in place to fight it and on the long-term impact of the projects and programmes implemented for this end. And it is in this context of ideological shift (expressed by a change in the terminology) adopted by the international community (from the “war on terror” to the “fight against terrorism and violent extremism”) that this synthesis apprehends some basic elements for a future potential evaluation of a CT strategy.

Constantly increasing in demand and influence in private companies, international and governmental institutions, public programmes, the field of evaluation with its methodology, procedures, tools, guidelines, and recommendation could enrich considerably the CT expertise for which there should be a thorough risk assessment directly correlated with human rights and gender compliance. So, there might be an emerging new field of evaluation, the CT evaluation, which would be designed by experts on CT and by representatives from the civil society. It is not in the framework of the meta-synthesis to apprehend this whole new emerging field, but the present document can at least indicate certain limitations and gaps in the evaluation studies and why is necessary to deepen critical issues, lessons learned, open questions and gaps beyond and beneath the levels of managerial, programmatic, and technical expertise.

Source: UNDP Entry and Exit Points: Violent Extremism in South-East Asia
MONITORING OF COUNTER-TERRORISM AND INTERNATIONAL HUMANITARIAN LAW

If we consider the role of the international community in the assessment of asymmetrical conflicts and extreme violence, it is also instructive to consider how one of the oldest, most respected, and prestigious international institutions like the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) – founded in 1863 and at the origin of the first Geneva convention on humanitarian right in times of war – considers terrorism and CT. On the issues of violence, armed conflicts and wars, ICRC has a kind of precedence within the international community because this organization was created long before the League of Nations (1920-1946) and the United Nations (1945) and it has been closely associated with the formulation of the Geneva Conventions (four treaties and three protocols international containing “the most important rules limiting the barbarity of war”200) and the International Humanitarian Law (IHL). The baseline that it articulates as international humanitarian law is the establishment of a fundamental distinction between civilians and combatants in an armed conflict:

“When a situation of violence amounts to an armed conflict, there is little added value in calling such acts "terrorism", because they already constitute war crimes under international humanitarian law (...) A crucial difference between IHL and the legal regime governing terrorism is that IHL is based on a premise that certain acts of violence in war – against military objectives and personnel – are not prohibited. Any act of "terrorism", however, is prohibited and criminal. The two legal regimes should not be blurred given the different logic and rules that apply.” 201

ICRC has 100'000 employees present in 100 countries that guarantee neutrality and impartiality of humanitarian work in armed conflicts. This organization is in position to and has the legitimacy to evaluate if and when CT activities are transgressing IHL. On several occasions, ICRC has alerted on “the potential adverse effects on humanitarian action of certain counter-terrorism measures taken by States, both internationally and domestically.” 202 It is part of the general mission of the ICRC to assess if the humanitarian right is applied when individuals suspected of terrorism are detained.

“Independent and neutral monitoring mechanisms, such as the International Committee of the Red Cross, should be granted access to these individuals, so that they can assist detaining authorities in ensuring that detainees are treated humanely and in conformity with applicable international law and standards.” 203

ICRC do not hesitate to signal to the international community when “unintended consequences of counter-terrorism measures” are “limiting humanitarian assistance” and “jeopardizing the neutral, impartial and independent humanitarian action”204. Since humanitarian work is based on neutrality and impartiality, ICRC remains extremely vigilant about the use and misuse of the humanitarian right in risky geopolitical and conflictual contexts. ICRC is aware that the credibility associated to IHL can be lost in the eyes of civil populations when military-humanitarian interventions are blurred – like in the case of a “right” to interfere militarily on humanitarian grounds or in the ideological context of the global “war on terror” that has generalized the use of drones and other lethal weaponry in Central Asia and the Middle East. On the military side, new forms of CT and counter insurgency tactics are developed increasingly relying on artificial

---


203 Ibid.

204 "In recent months the ICRC has faced several challenging situations which have delayed or blocked our ability to protect and assist people affected by conflict and violence. These have come in diverse forms, including domestic counter-terrorism legislation, criminal laws, sanctions regimes and measures, clauses in grant contracts, de-risking measures, or simply politically-motivated or security-based restrictions, or economic activities in theatres of conflict in which we operate.” Combating terrorism should not come at the expense of humanitarian action or principles. Remarks to UN General Assembly High-Level Side Event on "Counter-terrorism Frameworks and Sanctions Regimes: Safeguarding Humanitarian Space", 26 September 2019. https://www.icrc.org/en/document/combatting-terrorism-should-not-come-expense-humanitarian-action-or-principles
intelligence and unmanned systems (“flying, high-resolution video cameras armed with missiles.”). The question of their compliance with humanitarian and human rights is completely open and “unthought”, since the new warfare opposes on one side lethal algorithms (that assures “combatant immunity” while limiting “collateral damage”) to both combatants and non-combatants on the other side (with the omnipresent risk, in front of a faceless and ubiquitous adversary, of driving civilians into the arms of the terrorist enemy). Fighting militarily terrorism has imposed a new kind of unconventional wars and asymmetrical conflicts. The new wars from afar that guarantee “surgical strikes” while “projecting power without projecting vulnerability” require new amendments in humanitarian and human rights. The combination of humanitarian and military operations in the perception of populations caught in the middle contributes to a combined “humiliitarian” action that at the same time kills and saves civilians near the areas of intervention, simultaneously produce targeted assassinations, and provide care.205 It appears that nowadays not only new – AI-based – forms and of both terrorism and CT206 may undermine the application of IHL and challenge the universality of human rights in the 21st century.

IMBALANCE BETWEEN SECURITY AND HUMAN RIGHTS AND GENDER ISSUES

The UN have long integrated in their evaluation process the human rights and gender equality compliance. In 2011, a handbook was released which details step-by-step how these issues can be integrated into evaluation practice.207 These guidelines have been since promoted and adapted in other UN agencies’ evaluation handbooks. Despite that “all UN interventions have a mandate to address HR & GE issues”, the necessity to provide a manual was a means to change real situations where “interventions do not always mainstream HR & GE” as it is stated in the UNEG handbook. Evaluation criteria to assess human rights and gender equality for the capacity of “duty-bearers” (state and non-state actors) and the benefit of “rights holders” (grassroots communities, women, youth) have been adapted from the use of the well-known evaluation criteria of the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development’s (OECD) Development Assistance Committee (DAC): relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, impact, and sustainability.

During the 2010s, most of evaluation/audit/assessment reports not only took into consideration HR and Gender issues but developed detailed sections in their final report to better quantify and qualify their integration in the monitoring and evaluation process. It is nowadays a prerequisite condition of any evaluation study to mainstream human rights and gender equality. Most, if not all, recent evaluation reports related to CT – as well as to all other UN activities – are sensitizing on these issues of global concern. “All UN evaluations address HR & GE issues”, but from the perspective of future improvement of evaluation studies, the question remains to determine if CT interventions do always mainstream HR & GE, and consequently their direct and concrete impact on the rights holders is. Beyond the HR & GE evaluation criteria and training curriculum, and considering the highly sensitive geopolitical, security military and judiciary issues at stake, the evaluation of the impact of human rights and gender mainstreaming is an extremely difficult task that requires far more robust long-term data than those studied and coded in evaluation/audit/assessment reports. It is beyond the current CT evaluation reports that focus on projects designed, programmed, and implemented in a very limited time (2-3 years). However, on the other hand, there exist actors, within the UN, that have the capacity to assess in a systematic monitoring and a long-term perspective the “evaluability” of the respect of human rights and gender issue: UN specialized agencies, independent international institutions, NGOs, and grassroots associations can establish a monitoring based on the depth of their engagement. Their presence

and their influence in the UN Global Compact entities might guarantee that CT interventions may resolve conflictual problems and diminish VE while improving the situation of human rights and gender issue.

Mainstreaming human rights and gender issue in projects and programmes does not necessarily traduce or imply real changes on the ground. For an expert working from an international observatory of human rights and gender condition who is not familiar with the evaluation approach, the systematic mentions and verification of the “prerequisite” in CT projects may appear essentially like an inescapable “quality certification” but it is not enough to establish that the said projects promote social conditions, individual freedom, and equality in treatment in risky geopolitical contexts. One of the best practices of evaluation process developed by a Compact entity is the one systematically applied by the UN Department of Political and Peacebuilding Affairs for all its projects. Instead of having a centralized and homogenized evaluation procedure systematically applied to different projects, contexts and countries, the Peacebuilding Fund requires that every financed PVE project must be evaluated by the recipients. Consequently, all evaluations are done with different actors on the field, organizations, methodological approaches. In this decentralized evaluation strategy, recipients are both participants and evaluators of the projects implemented. Furthermore, their evaluation is budgeted in the project itself. This innovative approach of evaluation allows more flexibility, adaptability, autonomy, and participation from the recipients. The evaluation architecture – the Peacebuilding Fund’s recipients being the ones in charge of assessment – is evolutive and has the capacity to gain evaluation skills and knowledge on an empirical basis, by exploring different methods and allowing multiple ways of understanding the evaluation results. It also concretely traduces in real and risky geographies the behavior and institutional changes promoted by the Peacebuilding Fund.

This best practice reflects the didactic aspect involved in an evaluation process, where negotiation and power are in the hands of different stakeholders. In the handbook *Integrating Human Rights and Gender Equality in Evaluation* a meaningful quotation from Joachim Theis, specialist of child protection and expert on evaluation and monitoring, is particularly highlighted:

“A rights-based evaluation is not just a technical exercise in data collection and analysis. It is a dialogue and a democratic process to learn from each other, to strengthen accountability and to change power relations between stakeholders.”

For all aspects related to fragilized communities, human rights, women, and youth in contexts of conflict and violence, the evaluation cannot be reduced at a top-down verification process that reports on “good or very good” scores and results, it involves a complex relation of mutual dependency and trust, a negotiation and delegation of power between truly participating stakeholders.

---
208 For an overview of the Peacebuilding Fund’s evaluations, consult the thematic and country reviews available online: [https://www.un.org/peacebuilding/fund/documents/evaluations](https://www.un.org/peacebuilding/fund/documents/evaluations)
Mainstreaming human rights and gender issue in CT evaluation reports somehow assesses the importance of these issues according to the specialization and areas of expertise of the UN Global Compact entities. It also reflects the priorities of decision-makers and donors, the actual balance between “hard” security, military, judiciary treatments of CT and “soft” human rights, gender, socioeconomic empowerment, and development approaches. Entities working on the “soft” and no less strong and demanding methodologies may find a gap between statements, formal indications and the effective situation prevailing among fragilized communities or within oppressive regimes fully engaged in the CT mobilization. Since there is no consequent funding on human rights issues within the UN Global Compact entities (that might hold the comparison with the funding of “harder” and “over-securitized” issues), mainstreaming human rights and gender issue appear to the UN human rights community more as a “rhetorical” and “talismanic” practice (recurrent keywords appropriately disseminated in security-oriented reports allow them to be ranked among “HR & GE” ones) than a dedicated and in-situ engagement in the fight against terrorism and VE. MS have expressed on many occasion the importance to include the global civil society in their fight against terrorism, but its absence in the UN Global Compact entities remains problematic, especially when discourses call on inclusiveness. If the civil society and NGOs are excluded, the risk is to develop human rights and gender mainstreaming in an intellectually closed and poor space where no critical assessment is made possible.

What has been confirmed in the interviews is that the most outstanding asset of the Global Compact entities consists in the richness of approaches and expertise, the diversity of communities that constitute it. But these communities objectively don’t have the same weight and influence in the access to funding, decision-making mechanism, design of projects and programmes within the UN Global Compact entities. The human rights compliance after all remains rather weak when at the same time, security measures are in a certain manner believed to be the priority ones that can stop terrorist threats. This significant imbalance is a reality beyond the large UN CT community. Security policies are the top priorities in the international affairs since 11 September 2001, and behind large-scale military interventions conducted (in Iraq, Afghanistan, and Libya)

---

210 Schematic table after and Inspired from Joachim Theis’ illustration, Ibid.
with the support of the international community, the working hypothesis is that it is possible to simultaneously defeat terrorism, export and implement democracy in “fragilized” and/or “rogue” states. The assessment of the empirical evidence of this hypothetical assumption (security and democracy can be imposed by legal force, exported, and therefore overcome de facto an illegitimate violence) is not attested and never required by any international terrorism monitoring centre. The research community, including the one that is working with many UN agencies (members and non-members of the UN Global Compact entities), has considerably contributed to our understanding of some fundamental concepts such as the human rights and gender equality development: it has the scientific ability to critically review our understanding of violence - be it (il)legal, (il)legitimate, (inter)national, extreme - and its intricate links to state, security and power relations. It is probable that our understanding of violence will considerably evolve during the 21st century as well as our shared views on development considerably progressed over time. What is important to notice here is that we assume without robust data and empirical evidence:

“First that all forms of violence are commensurate, such that it makes sense to say that ‘violence’ is on the increase or alternatively on the decline, globally and nationally. Second, that it can be measured and fitted into causal models, on the one hand of its causes or determinants; and on the other hand of its developmental and other impacts. Third, that violence by its nature unsettles established political and social orders and is thus inseparable from state failure or fragility and also from wider international insecurity. Fourth, that violence is the polar opposite of security, just as war is the absence of peace. And fifth, that violence and insecurity can be portrayed as ‘development in reverse’, or to put it the other way around, security is an essential prerequisite of development. All of these assumptions are open to question and debate”211.

A certain number of hidden assumptions and premises are guiding our perception of violence as a process that is linear (more security will lead to a state of violence diminishing returns) and homogenous despite the variety of its manifestations (organized crime, jihadism, pastoral conflicts, urban riots, vigilantism, paramilitary violence, law enforcement and traditional authorities violence, electoral and political violence, ethnic cleansing, forced migration, human trafficking, domestic violence, sexual violence, etc.). The phenomenology of 21st violence is multifaceted, multicausal and eminently complex and the lack of knowledge on these dimensions within the UN Global Compact entities can be solved only by a significant investment of the international scientific research community – especially within the UN, notably through its dedicated institutes – and the inclusion of the global civil society through its planetary network of NGOs and grassroots associations.

The historical moment is particularly appropriate to engage an intellectual debate on these issues of global concern. Our perception of violence must evolve since its manifestations differ radically from 20th century (two world wars, a mass genocide, and dozens of millions of deaths) to 21st century (with a myriad of non-linear, asymmetrical, and low-intensity conflicts at the horizon). If we were to compare with our apprehension of the notion of development – which is part of the core mission of the UN -, we may observe that it has changed from the linear and universal stages of economic development212, a dominant paradigm in the 1960s to the human development index adopted by the UN in the 1990s. Research centres and institute of development studies on their side have contributed to criticize the conventional understandings of determined and linear development and their premises, they have encouraged the knowledge production of different schools of thought from North and South that have considerably enriched the debate which has been later shared with the civil society and the grassroots organizations. Those who elaborated the socioeconomic visions that became global shared views on development were above all scholars: from the classical works done by American economist (and former national security adviser to the US presidency) Walt Whitman Rostow to those elaborated by the Pakistani economist (and former finance minister) Mahbub ul Haq, we see how in a time frame of thirty years, development has meant and expressed different quests -


from material prosperity to human wellbeing and ecological sustainability – as well as different focus and needs. Similarly, with a critical distance of twenty years of CT mobilization, it is timely appropriate to engage in a global intellectual debate, to stimulate research and to explore new paradigms for security, peace, and violence studies. New understandings of the contemporary violence might consequently better integrate human rights and gender issue if the Global Compact entities could fully integrate the vast UN human rights community and progressively shift from the viewpoints of the MS actors – for whom security is a prior step conducive to peace, development, democracy – to more empirical and vernacular viewpoints reflected by the perceptions and representations of the populations concerned – whose sense of safety doesn’t necessarily coincide with MS’ concern but is no less essential.
## ANNEX IX: SURVEY RESULTS FROM THE REVIEWED REPORTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency Name</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th># of Participants</th>
<th>Satisfaction Rate (%)</th>
<th>Knowledge Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNODC</td>
<td>Judicial Officials</td>
<td>16,566</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNODC</td>
<td>Criminal justice and law enforcement practitioners</td>
<td>10,993</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNODC</td>
<td>Member States and practitioners in financial investigation</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNODC</td>
<td>Citizens, UNODC staff, governments, UN agencies</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNODC</td>
<td>Criminal justice officials, border officers and practitioners in financial management</td>
<td>1,083</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNODC</td>
<td>Security and judicial staff</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNODC</td>
<td>Border control and law enforcement officers</td>
<td>1,410</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Young people, women, members of village committees &amp; soldiers</td>
<td>2,003</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Youth and adults, religious leaders, academics, Joint Crisis Coordination Centre (JCC) staff and Joint Crisis Monitoring Centre (JCMC) staff</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Community monitors</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Municipal police</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNICRI</td>
<td>Young leaders</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNICRI</td>
<td>Community participants (youth, women and men)</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNOCT</td>
<td>Youth and journalists</td>
<td>5,012</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Women and girls, religious leaders, journalists, lawyers and other individual participants</td>
<td>2,095</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNITAR</td>
<td>Youth and other community members</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercy Corps</td>
<td>Youth, women and community leaders</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Representatives from Air Force, Army, Bangladesh Institute of International and Strategic Studies (BIISS), United Nations Global Counter-Terrorism Coordination Compact (CTCC), Directorate General of Forces Intelligence in Bangladesh (DGFI), Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Navy, and the Police</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOM</td>
<td>Police officers, community members</td>
<td>12,02</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Officials representing the hakems, security forces, gendarmerie, police, guards, Customs, as well as justice actors, representatives of associations of Ulemas and Imams, young people and women</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN-Women</td>
<td>Women, girls and internally displaced persons (IDPs)</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNFPA</td>
<td>Specialists in families in Difficult Life Situations (DLS)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ANNEX IX: SURVEY RESULTS FROM THE REVIEWED REPORTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency Name</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th># of Participants</th>
<th>Satisfaction Rate (%)</th>
<th>Knowledge Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Christians, Muslims, youth (girls and boys), students, teachers, other community leaders</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Young people, IDPs, returnees, refugees</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNICRI</td>
<td>Women, men and minors, returnees including Fulanis in Mali and Mazingh in Tunisia</td>
<td>1,683</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Young people, other community beneficiaries (men and women)</td>
<td>7,560</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>86.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Youth and other community members</td>
<td>7,616</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Members of the security forces</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Young people</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNITAR</td>
<td>Formal Police Units</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOM</td>
<td>Teachers, social workers, and community leaders</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOM</td>
<td>At risk youth</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDPO</td>
<td>Rule of law and human rights practitioners from DPA, DPKO, OHCHR, UNDP, UNHCR, UNICEF, UNODC and UNOPS, as well as from the African Union. Representatives from 11 country contexts</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOM</td>
<td>Police Officers</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNCCT</td>
<td>All 42 member entities of the Compact</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Journalists</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNESCO</td>
<td>Member States</td>
<td></td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNESCO</td>
<td>Government partners</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNFPA</td>
<td>Women and girls</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total 39 reports</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>164,350</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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