

21 June 2016

English only

---

**Implementation Review Group**

**Seventh session**

Vienna, 20-24 June 2016

Agenda item 2


**Review of the implementation of the United Nations  
Convention against Corruption**

**Suggested multi-year workplan for the Implementation  
Review Group: 2016-2019**

**Working paper submitted by the Government of Israel**

V.16-03743 (E)



Please recycle 

**Israel Ministry of Justice Working Paper**  
**Suggested multi-year workplan for the Implementation Review Group: 2016-2019**  
**(the following is based on the proposal submitted by the Secretariat with the aim of**  
**focusing discussion and progressing between IRG meetings)**

	<b>2016 Seventh session</b>	<b>2017 Eighth session</b>	<b>2018 Ninth session</b>	<b>2019 Tenth session</b>
<b>Implementation Review Group</b>	<p><b>Preparation for the second review cycle:</b>            Status of preparations, lessons learned, challenges and expectations.</p>	<p><b>Review of implementation of Chapter III of the Convention:</b>            Substantive general discussion on different challenges and gaps in implementation; advanced discussion of on outcome document for the first cycle review (lessons learned, best practices).</p>	<p><b>Review of implementation of Chapter V of the Convention:</b>            Substantive general discussion on different challenges and gaps in implementation, good practices and lessons learned. Experiences and best practices in preparing the replies to the Self Assessment Check List.</p>	<p><b>Review of implementation of Chapter II of the Convention:</b>            Substantive general discussion on different challenges and gaps in implementation, good practices and lessons learned. Experiences and best practices in preparing the replies to the Self Assessment Check List.</p>
<b>Implementation Review Group <i>Resumed session</i></b>	<p><b>Performance of the Mechanism: first cycle:</b>            Sharing of information on good practices, experiences and relevant measures taken after the completion of the country review reports;<sup>1</sup> initial discussion on the first cycle outcome document (lessons learned, best practices);<sup>2</sup> sharing of information on technical assistance received or provided as relates to needs identified in the country review reports.</p>	<p><b>Performance of the Mechanism: first and second cycle:</b>            Sharing of information on good practices, experiences and relevant measures taken after the completion of the country review reports; sharing of information on technical assistance received or provided as relates to needs identified in the country review reports.</p>	<p><b>Review of implementation of Chapter IV of the Convention:</b>            Sharing of information on good practices, experiences and relevant measures taken after the completion of the country review reports; substantive general discussion on different challenges and gaps in implementation, advanced discussion of on outcome document for the first cycle review (lessons learned, best practices).</p>	<p><b>Performance of the Mechanism: second cycle:</b>            Sharing of information on good practices, experiences and relevant measures taken after the completion of the country review reports; sharing of information on technical assistance received or provided as relates to needs identified in the country review reports.</p>

<sup>1</sup> We suggest a structured brief voluntary presentations process by States to be complemented by written submissions (possibly in an order predetermined by the Secretariat based on prior submission by member States).

<sup>2</sup> This document can be later potentially endorsed by the COSP.